Calhoun
Institutional Archive of the Naval Postgraduate School

Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

DSpace Repository

Theses and Dissertations | 1. Thesis and Dissertation Collection, all items

1953

An investigation of the effects of pulsating charging current on the performance of lead-acid storage cells

Blair, Carvel Hall; Donaldson, Charles Eugene

Monterey, California: U.S. Naval Postgraduate School

https://hdl.handle.net/10945/14305

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY

http://www.nps.edu/library

Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF FULSATING CHARGING CURRENT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF LEAD-ACID STORAGE CELLS

CARVEL HALL BLAIR
AND
CHARLES EUGENE DONALDSON, III

1953

Library
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

01-29

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF PULSATING CHARGING CURRENT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF LEAD-ACID STORAGE CELLS

Carvel Hall Blair

and

Charles Eugene Donaldson, III.

Library
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF PULSATING CHARGING CURRENT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF LEAD-ACID STORAGE CELLS

By

Carvel Hall Blair

Lieutenant, United States Navy

and

Charles Eugene Donaldson, III

Lieutenant, United States Navy

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

United States Naval Postgraduate School

Monterey, California

1953

B546

This work is accepted as fulfilling the

thesis requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

United States Naval Postgraduate School

PREFACE

The experiment discussed in this report was carried on from September, 1952, to May, 1953, at the U. S. Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California. The work was done in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science and in a desire to improve submarine storage battery performance. The investigators are grateful for the encouragement of Professor Allen E. Vivell and the technical assistance of Mr. Harold Schauer.

Carvel Hall Blair

Charles Eugene Donaldson, III

Monterey, California

May, 1953

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
SUMMARY		1
CHAPTER		
I	Previous Investigations	2
II	Procedure	4
III	Findings	16
IV	Discussion of Results	27
V	Suggestions For Further Investigations	38
VI	Conclusion	42
BIBLIOGRAPHY		43
APPENDIX		
A.	Experimental Setup	44
B.	Sample Calculations	67
C.	Raw Data	70

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
SYNOPSIS		1
CHAPTER		
I	Previous Investigations	2
II	Procedure	4
III	Findings	16
IV	Discussion of Results	47
V	Suggestions For Further Investigations	58
VI	Conclusion	62
BIBLIOGRAPHY		63
APPENDIX		
A.	Supplemental Data	64
B.	Sample Calculations	67
C.	Raw Data	70

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.	Simplified block diagram of experimental setup.	6
2.	Performance curves for Willard Cell Type ER-24-2.	8
3.	Drawing of test cell.	9
4.	Temperature-voltage-gassing Curve.	11
5.	Temperature corrections to hydrometer readings.	11
6.	Shape of charging current pulses.	12
7.	Graph of voltage ripple.	18
8.	Voltage ripple data.	17
9.	Voltage wave shapes.	19
10.	Cell performance as a function of frequency.	21-23
11.	Gas volume per ampere-hour of surcharge.	24
12.	Compatibility of variances.	36
13.	Volt-ampere characteristics of "Amperite" ballast tubes.	45
14.	Reverse current relay detail.	50
15.	Functional block diagram.	52
16.	Cell voltmeter switch detail.	54
17.	Galvanometer circuit detail.	56
18.	Current selector switch detail.	57
19.	Motor driven timer switch.	58
20.	Gas collecting apparatus.	62
21.	Overall circuit wiring diagram.	63
22.	Photographs of setup	64-66

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.	Simplified block diagram of experimental data.	6
2.	Performance curves for Willard Cell Type MS-2M-C.	8
3.	Drawing of test cell.	9
4.	Temperature-voltage-reading Curve.	11
5.	Temperature corrections to hydrometer readings.	13
6.	Rate of charging current values.	15
7.	Cross of voltage ripple.	16
8.	Voltage ripple form.	17
9.	Tolling wave shapes.	19
10.	Cell performance as a function of temperature.	21-23
11.	Gas volume per ampere-hour of overcharge.	24
12.	Compatibility of materials.	25
13.	Volt-ampere characteristics of "Adpezite" ballast tubes.	26
14.	Reverse current relay detail.	28
15.	Functional block diagram.	29
16.	Cell voltmeter switch detail.	31
17.	Galvanometer circuit detail.	35
18.	Current selector switch detail.	37
19.	Motor driven timer switch.	38
20.	Gas collecting apparatus.	43
21.	Overall circuit wiring diagram.	47
22.	Photographs of setup.	48-50

TABLE OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Cross sectional area of gas collecting vessel.
°C - Degrees Centigrade.
cps - Cycles per second.
°F - Degrees Fahrenheit.
F - Fisher's statistical variable; Faraday's constant.
h - Height of water in gas collecting vessel.
I - Current.
KVA - Kilo-volt amperes.
KW - Kilowatts.
n - Number of observations.
Q - Charge, in ampere-hours.
R - Gas constant.
r - Virtual cell resistance.
s_2 Sample standard deviation.
s^2 - Sample variance.
T - Temperature.
t - Time.
V - Volume of saturated gas at 27°C., atmospheric pressure.
V' - Uncorrected volume of gas.
W - Energy in watt-hours.
X - Sample mean.

η - Efficiency.
θ - Dacos' time fraction.
μ - Population mean.
σ - Population standard deviation.
σ^2 - Population variance.

Subscripts:

c - Pertaining to total charge.
d - Pertaining to discharge.
f - Pertaining to finishing rate charge.
m - Mean.
s - Pertaining to starting rate charge.

Observations:

c - Particles in total charge.
ϕ - Perpendicular to diameter.
f - Perpendicular to fluxoid rate change.
m - mass.
s - Perpendicular to standing rate change.

SUMMARY

Objective: An investigation of the effects of charging lead-acid storage cells with a pulsating direct current.

General Methods: A charging circuit was designed and constructed to permit charging a battery of three 24-ampere-hour cells with a pulsating direct current, carefully regulated and metered at 2.4 amperes average value, at a frequency variable from 0.2 to 400 cycles per second. The battery was repeatedly cycled under similar conditions except for frequency of the finishing rate charging current, which was varied from 0.5 to 400 cycles. Control charges, with a steady, non-pulsating current, were also conducted. The gas generation, ampere-hour efficiency, and watt-hour efficiency were determined for each charge, and an attempt was made to correlate these with frequency.

Findings: The investigators demonstrated qualitatively that charging a battery with pulsating current improved performance. For Willard ER-24-2 cells, the best results were observed at a frequency of about 0.5 to 1.0 cycles per second. Further tests, employing statistical methods, are necessary to find the reason for this improvement and to determine quantitatively its magnitude.

SUMMARY

Objective: An investigation of the effects of charging lead-acid storage cells with a pulsating direct current.

General Method: A charging circuit was designed and constructed to permit charging a battery of three 6-ampere-hour cells with a pulsating direct current, centrally regulated and metered at 2.4 amperes average value, at a frequency variable from 0.5 to 500 cycles per second. The battery was repeatedly cycled under similar conditions except for frequency of the finishing rate charging current, which was varied from 0.5 to 500 cycles. Control charges, with a steady, non-pulsating current, were also conducted. The gas generation, ampere-hour efficiency, and watt-hour efficiency were determined for each charge, and an attempt was made to correlate these with frequency.

Findings: The investigators demonstrated qualitatively that charging a battery with pulsating current improved performance. The Willard EM-3M-2 cells, the best results were observed at a frequency of about 0.5 to 1.0 cycles per second. Further tests, employing statistical methods, are necessary to find the reason for this improvement and to determine quantitatively its magnitude.

CHAPTER I

PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

An investigation of the effects of a pulsating battery-charging current leads the experimenter into almost virgin territory. The pioneer in the field is F. Dacos of the University of Liége, who summarized his findings in the Revue Universelle des Mines. [3] His experiments compared generator charging of lead-acid cells to rectifier charging. (In a letter to the investigators Dacos stated that he used a 50 cycle per second current and an unfiltered, full-wave dry rectifier.) He concluded that charging with a pulsating current produced "remarkably better" performance than charging with a steady current. In particular, pulsing the current caused:

(1) Higher efficiencies, both watt-hour and ampere-hour;

(2) Decreased gassing (by an average of 15% in 100 tests);

(3) Higher mean voltage on discharge;

(4) Decreased "shedding" of active material; and

(5) Increased cell life (by 34% in a single longevity test).

(6) Decreased consumption of water.

Dacos found the shape of the current pulses to be relatively unimportant. His brief, qualitative explanation of these phenomena will be discussed later in this paper.

The literature revealed no other reference to battery performance as affected by pulsating charging current, even in Vinal's authoritative Storage Batteries [12]. Vinal's discussion of the physical chemistry of the lead-acid cell, however, suggests an attack on an explanation of these results and will be discussed below.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTORY CONSIDERATIONS

An investigation of the effects of a pulsating battery-charging current
leads the experimenter into almost virgin territory. The pioneer in the
field is F. Dacre of the University of Liège, who summarized his findings
in the Revue Électrotechnique des Pluies. [3] His experiments comprised pre-
arbor charging of lead-acid cells to rectifier charging. (In a letter to
the investigators Dacre stated that he used a 50 cycle per second current
and an unfiltered, full-wave dry rectifier.) He concluded that charging
with a pulsating current produced "remarkably better" performance than
charging with a steady current. In particular, relating the current removal:

(1) Higher efficiencies, both watt-hour and ampere-hour;

(2) Decreased gassing (by an average of 14% in 100 tests);

(3) Higher mean voltage on discharge;

(4) Decreased "shedding" of active material; and

(5) Increased cell life (by 74% in a single longevity test).

(6) Decreased consumption of water.

His brief, qualitative explanation of these phenomena will be discussed
later in this paper.

The literature revealed no other reference to battery performance as
affected by pulsating charging current, even in Vinal's authoritative
Storage Batteries [11]. Vinal's discussion of the physical chemistry of
the lead-acid cell, however, suggests an attack on an explanation of these
results and will be discussed below.

G. W. Jernstedt, of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation, investigated and patented an electroplating process in which the plating current is periodically reversed. In the Westinghouse Engineer [7] he described the circuits and apparatus used, and gave a qualitative theory explaining the improved plating produced by this method. Neither this article, however, nor any of the other references to periodic-reversal, or "PR" plating (see Bibliography) suggested an explanation for the effects of pulsating charging on storage batteries.

Guided by these references and some experience with submarine storage batteries, the investigators decided to conduct an experiment in which a lead-acid battery would be repeatedly cycled, the finishing-rate current being pulsed at different frequencies. Steady current control charges were to be made for comparison. It was hoped to discover whether benefits similar to Dacos' could be obtained if the charging current were pulsed at a frequency on the order of 1 or 2 cycles per second. Varying the field of a conventional submarine main generator, perhaps by a commercial PR electroplating control, could produce such a current, while a higher frequency on the order of 50 cycles would be difficult to obtain. While the improved performance achieved by Dacos would be valuable in any battery, it would be exceptionally desirable in a submarine battery where high performance and minimum gassing are essential. Since the experimental setup could be adapted for higher frequency work, the frequency range was extended from 0.5 to 400 cycles per second.

from 0.5 to 500 cycles per second.

be adapted for higher frequency work, the frequency range was extended and minimum cycling are essential. Since the experimental setup could be exceptionally desirable in a submarine battery where high performance performance achieved by Deeds would be valuable in any battery, it would the order of 50 cycles would be difficult to obtain. While the improved plating control, could produce such a current, while a higher frequency on a conventional automotive main generator, perhaps by a commercial 25 cycle- frequency on the order of 1 or 2 cycles per second. Varying the field of to be made for comparison. It was hoped to discover whether benefits simi- lar to Deeds' could be obtained if the charging current were pulsed at a being pulsed at different frequencies. Steady current control charger was a lead-acid battery would be temporarily cycled, the flashing-rate current

Guided by these references and some experiences with automotive stor- age batteries, the investigators decided to conduct an experiment to study ating operation on storage batteries.

ing (see Bibliography) suggested an explanation for the effects of pul- the improved plating produced by this method. Neither this article, how- ever, nor any of the other references in Periodic-Reversal, or "PR" plat- the citrate and tartrate used, and gave a qualitative theory explaining le periodically reversed. In the Pavitzky-Dubrow Engineer [7] he described

0. F. Barnhart, of the Pavitzky-Dubrow Corporation, investi- gated and patented an electroplating process in which the plating current

CHAPTER II

PROCEDURE

The first step in a study of pulsating-current charging, the investigators decided, should be to determine the effects on cell performance rather than to study electro-chemical phenomena. Several motives prompted this decision:

(1) Unless improved performance were found to exist, there would be little incentive to study the electro-chemical reactions involved.

(2) The background of the investigators was electrical rather than chemical.

(3) Available laboratory facilities lent themselves better to measuring and controlling electrical rather than chemical variables.

It was therefore decided to study the variation with pulse repetition frequency of the following indices of cell performance:

(1) Ampere-hours per charge, and ampere-hour efficiency;

(2) Watt-hours per charge, and watt-hour efficiency;

(3) Gas generation per charge, per watt-hour, and per ampere-hour; and

(4) Duration of charge.

The general scheme was to connect several cells in series and repeatedly cycle the battery. Since variations in ambient temperature were small, temperature was left uncontrolled with the thought that its effect could be neglected. Otherwise all cycles were as nearly identical as possible except that the current during the finishing rate of each charge was pulsed at a different frequency. Average current was kept the same for each charge.

CHAPTER II

THEORY

Thus any variation in performance among the cycles should have been due only to the variation in frequency.

A program of experimental work was laid out to determine these data. It later turned out to be too ambitious for the time available, and only parts a, b, c, and d were completed. The schedule, with the approximate times of laboratory and shop work required, was:

a. Design, construct, and test the experimental setup (20 weeks).

b. Cycle the battery at frequencies of 0.5, 1, 3, 7.8, 20, 40, 100, and 400 cycles. Use square pulses at low frequencies, half wave rectification at higher frequencies. (4 weeks)

c. Cycle the battery several times with steady current charging for a comparison with step b. (2 weeks)

d. Investigate reproducibility of results of steps b and c. (1 week)

e. If step d reveals low reproducibility, repeat steps b and c often enough to obtain an accurate mean for each frequency. A statistical analysis of the results of steps b and c will be required to determine how many additional runs at each frequency are required.

f. Repeat these steps for different wave shapes including full wave rectification and pulsed field excitation.

The experimental setup was designed along the lines of Figure 1, which shows a simplified block diagram of the equipment. In general, the battery was discharged for a predetermined number of ampere-hours, then fully charged. The charge was considered to be completed when cell voltage ceased to rise. The gas generated in each cell during the finishing rate was collected and measured. Cell current and voltages were recorded. Electrolyte



FIGURE 1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

temperature was measured but not regulated.

To regulate and measure these simple data (voltage, current, gas volume, and temperature) required a more complicated arrangement than originally anticipated. Like Topsy it "just growed", until sometimes ten pieces of relatively large rotating machinery had to be run simultaneously. The details of the setup are given at length in Appendix A. As the experiment proceeded, some improvements were made, and some were noted but could not be effected. In particular, the substitution of recording instruments for indicating instruments would have simplified both the regulating and data-taking problems. This and other improvements are more fully discussed in Chapter V.

It was decided to use three Willard Type MR-24-2 cells for the experiment. This cell is a small, plastic-encased, non-spill type having a nominal capacity of 24 ampere-hours with a specific gravity of 1.280. The manufacturer's performance curves are shown in Figure 2 and the Bureau of Ships drawing in Figure 3. A small cell with a low charging current was necessary if square current pulses were to be produced by relaying. A small cell also would generate a relatively small volume of gas and minimize the problem of gas collection and metering and the hazard from hydrogen-oxygen explosions. While realizing that this cell is a far cry from the 5000 ampere-hour submarine cell in which they were primarily interested, the investigators considered that both cells would react similarly to pulsating-current charges. The reactions are the same in each cell, and the voltage, specific gravity, and plate-current density



DRY WEIGHT 2.86 POUNDS
WET WEIGHT 3.82 POUNDS

TERMINAL VOLTAGE 2.0 VOLTS

MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS		
LENGTH	3 31/32	IN.
WIDTH	3	IN.
HEIGHT	5 1/2	IN.

2 VOLTS	
TYPE	ER-24-2
DATE	5-29-50
CURVE	17

FIGURE 2 PERFORMANCE CURVES FOR WILLARD CELL TYPE ER-24-2



NOTES

1. BATTERY INDICATOR BALLS
   GREEN RISES WHEN FULLY CHARGED
   WHITE DROPS WHEN 50% DISCHARGED
   RED DROPS WHEN 90 % DISCHARGED
2. CEMENT LINER IN PLACE AT POINTS INDICATED BY *
3. THE CASE AND COVER SHALL BE MADE OF POLYSTYRENE OR OTHER APPROVED MATERIAL. (NOT NAIL RUBBER)
4. LETTERS TO BE STAMPED, MOLDED OR ENGRAVED ON CASE IN AN APPROVED METHOD.

No	REMARKS	DATE	BY	CHK	TEC
1	NAME PLATE DATA AND NOTE 4 ADDED TITLE BLOCK CHANGED FROM TO 20 AH	9-24-43	BSG	[illegible]	

ALTERATIONS

PORTABLE STORAGE BATTERY

CLASS 2V-SBP-20AH

SCALE 12 INCHES = 1 FOOT

BUREAU OF SHIPS
NAVY DEPARTMENT

WASHINGTON, D.C. FEB 8, 1943

FOR CHIEF OF BUREAU

INDEX	GROUP	FILE No.
9	S	5408L ALT. 1

FIGURE 3

TEST CELL

are similar. Furthermore there was no available equipment for cycling a cell of very large capacity.

The cells were obtained in the charged and dry condition, with the cell openings sealed. All cells were filled with 365 milliliters of reagent grade sulfuric acid of 1.280 specific gravity. After charging for 24 hours, as recommended by the manufacturer, the cells were cycled 4 times. Discharges were at 15 amperes for 48 minutes, or 12 ampere-hours. Charges used two steps of constant current with a starting rate of 6 amperes and a finishing rate of 2.4 amperes. Current was lowered to the finishing rate when any cell reached the gassing voltage determined from the Temperature-Voltage-Gassing curve shown in Figure 4. By the fourth preliminary cycle, cell voltages at end of discharge and at end of charge were substantially constant, and it was considered that no appreciable change of cell characteristics would occur with further cycling.

To be able to fill a hydrometer barrel, it was necessary to keep the electrolyte level about one centimeter above the level line on the cell jar. The gravity of this upper layer of electrolyte changed very slowly, dropping only ten or twenty points during a one-hour discharge. Since gravity readings were not significant, the filling plugs were inserted and sealed with wax after the fourth preliminary cycle. No more gravities were taken until the completion of the experiment, when they were again read, and found to have dropped about 10 points. This small change was assumed to have negligible effect. Hydrometer readings were corrected



TEMPERATURE-VOLTAGE-GASSING CURVE

FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5 TEMPERATURE CORRECTIONS TO HYDROMETER



0.5 cps



1 cps



3 cps



7.8 cps

20 cps

40 cps

100 cps



400 cps

steady(with
zero current datum)

FIGURE 6. CHARGING CURRENT PULSE SHAPES

Notes 1. Scales differ among the traces.
2. In each case mean current is 2.4 amperes.
3. Traces below 10 cycles per second by Brush recorder; above 10 cycles by cathode ray oscillograph.

to 80°F/80°F by the curves of Figure 5.

The current pulse shape was chosen as a square wave of equal on and off periods for frequencies below 10 cycles per second. For higher frequencies a half sine wave was used. In both cases the mean value was 2.4 amperes. Figure 6 shows the current wave shapes actually used. In some cases, on and off times were not precisely equal; in others, slot harmonics were prominent. In every case the average value was measured to be 2.4 amperes. Although the same pulse shape, either half-sine or square, would have been desirable for the entire frequency range, practical difficulties prevented it. It proved impractical to operate a relay or switch faster than about 8 cycles per second. When available alternators were run at speeds lower than that corresponding to about 15 cycles per second, it was impossible to generate sufficient voltage. Bacon [3, page 17] stated that

> careful trials, made with different forms of rectified alternating current, showed a very light supplementary benefit in gas evolution when one used 3rd harmonic current.

It was consequently assumed that the square wave and the half sine wave would produce similar results. The "steady" current actually had a small slot ripple, but it was believed that the ripple produced no appreciable difference from a truly constant direct current.

After the fourth preliminary cycle the test cycles were begun. Steady and pulsed runs were conducted in a random order to counteract any effect of progressive changes in the battery with cycling. The test cycles followed the same procedure as the preliminary cycles. The cells were discharged for 45 minutes at 15 amperes, then put on charge at 6

amperes steady current. When the gassing voltage was reached on any cell, the current was dropped to 2.4 amperes and gas collection was begun. The finishing rate current was pulsed or steady depending on whether the run was a test run or a control run. The gas was collected, individually for each cell, over water, and kept at atmospheric pressure by a leveling bulb. No attempt was made, nor was it practicable, to separate the hydrogen and oxygen formed at the negative and positive plates respectively. The gas collected was therefore a saturated mixture of hydrogen and oxygen plus the air initially in the collection apparatus. Difficulty with gas leakage was overcome by sealing the filling caps with wax.

During discharges, voltage readings were recorded at 6 minute intervals. During charge, voltage readings were taken at 12 minute intervals until the charge was almost completed. The temperature correction to voltage, 1.1×10^{-4} volts per degree F., was neglected. For the last hour or so, readings of voltage and gas level were taken at 6 minute intervals. Gas volume was corrected for temperature but not for pressure. As explained in Appendix A, the current pulsations were stopped during voltage readings at the lower frequencies and the current maintained at 2.4 amperes steady until the cell voltages were read. Although this procedure masked somewhat the change in performance due to pulsing, it was accepted as a necessary evil. Since cessation of voltage rise was the criterion for determining the end of the charge, it was essential to measure voltage accurately. A ballistic galvanometer could have been used to read the average of the pulsating voltage, but it would have added complications, especially in

maintaining accurate calibration. In any event, the data could be corrected for the amount of time when the current was steady instead of pulsating. An ordinary d'Arsonval movement voltmeter was therefore used for all charges.

Part b of the program was considered completed after runs at 0.5, 1, 3, 7.5, 20, 40, 100, and 400 cycles per second, and part c after 4 steady current control runs. As discussed in Chapter III, it was difficult to reproduce results in the control runs. To discover whether the lack of reproducibility was caused by faulty experimental techniques or was inherent in cell performance, the investigators commenced part d of the program. Five cycles were run under nearly identical conditions, except that electrolyte temperature was allowed to vary over a small range. Each cycle consisted of a discharge at 15 amperes for 10 minutes plus a charge at 2.4 amperes steady current until voltage ceased to rise. Gas was collected as before. Although even a cursory study of the results showed the desirability of more runs, lack of time forced a stop to experimental work. The analysis of results and writing of the report were then undertaken.

CHAPTER III

FINDINGS

The experiment proved several points conclusively and gave somewhat less conclusive answers to several other questions. An incidental conclusion was that the manufacturer overestimated the cell discharge capacity at the 15 ampere rate. According to the curves of Figure 2, the cells should deliver 15 amperes for 71 minutes at 80°F., for 65 minutes at 70°F., or for 60 minutes at 60°F., before cell voltage reached the minimum allowable of 1.65 volts. On one occasion, the cells reached a voltage of 1.62 by the end of a 48 minute discharge at 15 amperes. On another occasion, when the cells were discharged to the low voltage level, they delivered only 80% of rated capacity. The usual voltage at the end of 48 minutes was 1.8, dropping rapidly. Although the discharges were always terminated after 48 minutes, it was exceedingly doubtful whether the cells would have discharged for 17 more minutes without reaching 1.65 volts. A similar conclusion was reached by the Mare Island Naval Shipyard Industrial Laboratory [8] in tests of 12 similar cells. (They were of the same Navy stock number, but manufacturer not specified.) The laboratory found an average ampere-hour efficiency of 94% at the 15 ampere rate, although performance at the 5 minute and 10 hour rates was excellent.

A second conclusion was that fluctuation in cell voltage, when the battery was charged with a pulsating current, decreased as the frequency increased. Voltage ripple, defined as the ratio between voltage fluctuation and mean voltage, decreased from .124 at 0.2 cycles per second

CHAPTER XII

FINDINGS

The experiment proved several points conclusively and gave answers to several other questions. An incidental conclusion was that the manufacturer overestimated the cell discharge capacity at the 15 ampere rate. According to the curves of Figure 2, the cells should deliver 15 amperes for 11 minutes at 80°F., for 45 minutes at 70°F., or for 60 minutes at 50°F., before cell voltage reached the minimum allowable of 1.65 volts. On one occasion, the cells reached a voltage of 1.65 by the end of a 34 minute discharge at 15 amperes. On another occasion, when the cells were discharged to the low voltage level, they delivered only 80% of rated capacity. The *usual* voltage at the end of 38 minutes was 1.8, drooping rapidly. Although the discharges were always terminated after 38 minutes, it was exceedingly doubtful whether the cells would have discharged for 17 more minutes without reaching 1.65 volts. A similar conclusion was reached by the Mare Island Naval Shipyard Industrial Laboratory [8] in tests of 12 similar cells. (They were of the same duty stock number, but manufacturer not specified.) The laboratory found an average ampere-hour efficiency of 94% at the 15 ampere rate, although performance at the 5 minute and 10 hour rates was excellent.

A second conclusion was that fluctuation in cell voltage, when the battery was charged with a pulsating current, decreased as the frequency increased. Voltage ripple, defined as the ratio between volume fluctuation and mean voltage, decreased from 12% at 0.2 cycles per second

becoming roughly asymptotic to .025 at frequencies above 2 cycles per second. The actual values are shown in Figures 7 and 8.

Frequency	Ripple	Current Shape	Source of Data
0.2	0.124	square	Brush recorder
0.5	0.090	do.	do.
1.0	0.053	do.	do.
3.0	0.025	do.	do.
7.5	0.024	do.	Oscilloscope with voltage calib.
20	0.013	half sine	Oscillograph photo
40	0.017	do.	do.
100	0.027	do.	Oscilloscope with voltage calib.
400	0.024	do.	do.
"Steady"	0.002	ripple	do.

Figure 8. Voltage Ripple

Shapes of the cell voltages and voltage fluctuations are shown in Figure 9, while current shapes are shown in Figure 6 above. Ripple was substantially the same for both current shapes at frequencies above 3 cycles, although the shape of the fluctuation was different. The small, 540 cycle current ripple produced an almost negligible voltage ripple.

A third conclusion is that storage cell performance is not reproducible under ordinary laboratory conditions. Tests run under very similar, if not identical, conditions produced varying results. The investigators were unable to correlate indices of performance with any variable. They were forced to conclude that the indices obtained in this experiment were distributed according to an unknown frequency distribution. The experimentally observed means of these indices cannot be said to equal the true indices. It is possible, however, under certain not unreasonable assumptions, to state a range within which, at a high confidence level, each true index should lie. These confidence limits are shown on the curves

Frequency	Ripple	Percent Ripple	Source of Data
0.2	0.148	square	Frank Vandolaar
0.5	0.030	do.	do.
1.0	0.021	do.	do.
3.0	0.009	do.	do.
7.0	0.004	do.	Oscillogram with voltage scale.
20	0.017	Half sine	Oscillogram photo
40	0.017	do.	do.
100	0.027	do.	Oscillogram with voltage scale.
400	0.068	do.	do.
"Steady"	0.002	ripple	do.

Figure 8. Voltage Ripple

shape of the coil voltages and voltage fluctuations are shown in Figure 9. while current shapes are shown in Figure 6 above. Ripple was substantially the same for both current shapes at frequencies above 3 cycles, although the shape of the fluctuations was different. The small, 400 cycle current ripple produced an almost negligible voltage ripple.

A third consideration is that storage coil performance is not reproducible under ordinary laboratory conditions. Tests run under very similar, if not identical, conditions produced varying results. The investigators were unable to correlate indices of performance with any variable. They were forced to conclude that the indices obtained in this experiment were distributed according to an unknown frequency distribution. The experimentally observed mean of these indices cannot be said to equate the true index. It is possible, however, under certain not unreasonably assumptions, to state a range within which, at a high confidence level, each true index would lie. These confidence limits are shown on the curves

FIGURE 7

VOLTAGE RIPPLE vs. FREQUENCY





A. BATTERY VOLTAGE. Horizontal line at bottom of trace is voltage datum. Voltage 7.5 volts.



B. BATTERY VOLTAGE FLUCTUATION. Scales differ among the traces.

FIGURE 9. VOLTAGE WAVE SHAPES

of Figure 10. Since the statistical analysis is rather lengthy, it is discussed in detail in the following chapter rather than here.

A surprising fact was noted when the volume of gas per ampere-hour during the surcharges was compared with that predicted by Faraday's Law. During the surcharge (portion of charge after voltage ceases to rise) all the charge sent through the cell is transmitted by electrolysis of the water of the electrolyte. Since all the $PbSO_4$ has been transformed into Pb and PbO_2, there is no other mechanism for current flow. One then expects to generate one equivalent of gas at each electrode for every faraday of electricity sent through the cell. If the hydrogen and oxygen were collected together, one would expect to collect about 715 cubic centimeters of the saturated mixture at 27°C. for every ampere-hour of charge. For all frequencies, however, the volume of gas collected was about 444 cubic centimeters per ampere-hour, 62% of the expected value. (Electrochemists would say that the current efficiency was 62%.) Figure 11 shows the values of gas per ampere-hour. The only explanation is that some of the hydrogen and oxygen have recombined into water before escaping from the electrolyte. The investigators then concluded that some of the gas produced prior to the surcharge must also recombine rather than escape. The volume of gas collected was then a function of two phenomena:

(1) during the gassing before completion of the charge, part of the current desulfated the plates and the rest electrolyzed the water of the electrolyte,

(2) part of the electrolytically produced hydrogen and oxygen recom-



Gas Indices versus Frequency

Figure 10-A



Time Indices
versus
Frequency

Figure 10-B


Efficiencies
versus
Frequency
Figure 10-C
100
90
80
70
60
Percent
Ampere-hour
Watt-hour
1.0
10
100
Cycles per second.



Gas Volume per Ampere-hour of Surcharge.

Figure 11

bined into water and part escaped. The relative effect of these two phenomena was not determined, but it was concluded that both occurred to some extent.

The lack of reproducibility of indices of performance prevented giving an exact quantitative answer to the question, "How does battery performance vary with frequency of current pulsation?" It was obvious, however, that pulsating charges improved most indices of performance, particularly at low frequencies on the order of one cycle per second. Figure 10 shows graphically the values of the most important indices. The dashed lines in each graph represent the respective means of the steady current control runs, while the dot-dash lines on either side represent the 90% confidence limits for this mean. That is, the probability is 0.9 that the true value of the index lies between the dot-dash lines. A straight line was fitted to the points for pulsating current as the best estimate of the true situation. Confidence limits in the form of a shaded area centered on this line could have been plotted, but the computation is so tedious and the added information so little that this work was not undertaken. In general, the width of this band would be several times that of the control run confidence band. Quantitative statements being out of order, the following qualitative conclusions were reached for the various indices of performance:

Time: Possibly a slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Ampere-hours: Possibly a slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Watt-hours: Slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Gas evolved: Definite improvement at the lower frequencies; little or none above 100 cycles per second.

of more above 100 cycles per second.

One evolved: Definite improvement at the lower frequencies: little

Vest-Pocke: Slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Jumper-Purse: Possibly a slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Time: Possibly a slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

Qualitative statements being put of order, the following qualitative conclusions were reached for the various indices of performance:

This book would be several times that of the control run confidence band. Also so little that this work was not undertaken. In general, the width of Confidence Limits in the form of a shaded area centered on this line could have been plotted, but the complexities is so jarring and the added interpretation of this graph represents the response of the steady current control case, while the dot-dash lines on either side represent the 90% confidence limits for this case. That is, the probability is 0.9 that the true value of the index lies between the dot-dash lines. A straight line was fitted to the points for polarizing current by the best estimate of the true situation. Complicated by the various indices of performance, particularly at low frequencies on the order of one cycle per second. Figure 10 shows graphically the values of the most important indices. The dashed lines in each graph represent the response of the steady current control case, varying with frequency of current polarization. In one obvious, however, that polarizing changes improved most indices of performance, particularly at

an exact quantitative answer to the question, "How does battery performance

The lack of reproducibility of indices of performance prevented giving

plated into water and part escaped. The relative effect of these two phenomena was not determined, but it was concluded that both occurred to some extent.

Gas per ampere-hour and per watt-hour: Decided improvement at all frequencies tested, greatest at the lower frequencies.

Efficiencies: Slight improvement at the lower frequencies.

In summary, the greatest benefits were noted in gas evolution, with slight improvement in other indices.

Use for twelve-hour and one week-long: Useful improvement on all
comparable tests, greatest at the lower temperatures.

Definitely: Slight improvement at the lower temperatures.

In summary, the greatest benefits were noted in the geriatrics, with slight improvements in other indices.

CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

According to the double sulfate theory, the reaction in a lead acid cell is:

$$2\ PbSO_4 + 2H_2O \rightleftarrows PbO_2 + Pb + 2H_2SO_4.$$

During charge the reaction goes from left to right. According to Vinal [12, page 171] the cell terminal voltage is:

$$V = Ir + 1.87 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left\{ \frac{[Pb^{++++}]}{[Pb^{++}]^2} \right\},$$

where

I = current

r = cell internal resistance (virtual)

R = universal gas constant

T = absolute temperature, °Rankine.

F = Faraday's constant

$[Pb^{++++}]$ = concentration of tetravalent lead ions

$[Pb^{++}]$ = concentration of bivalent lead ions.

It can be shown that the concentration of the tetravalent ion increases and that of the bivalent ion decreases as the charge proceeds, the voltage thus increasing. Cell temperature tends to rise because of I^2r heating as well as because of the heat of this exothermic reaction, and cell voltage is further increased.

Electrolysis of water occurs at a voltage which varies linearly with temperature. As shown in Figure 4 above, the value is 2.38 volts at 80°F

CHAPTER 12

Electrochemistry

Important to the double salt/Pb electrode, the reaction in a lead acid cell is:

$$2\ PbSO_4 + 2H_2O \rightleftharpoons PbO_2 + Pb + 2H_2SO_4.$$

Buried observe the reaction goes from left to right. According to Pind [12, page 171] the cell terminal voltage is:

$$V = 1.7 + 1.82 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln\left\{\frac{[PbO_2][Pb^{++++}]}{[Pb^{++}]^2}\right\},$$

where

R = universal gas constant

T = cell internal resistance (ohms)

F = Faraday's constant

T = absolute temperature, °Kelvin,

$[Pb^{++}]$ = concentration of divalent lead ion.

$[Pb^{++++}]$ = concentration of tetravalent lead ion

It can be shown that the concentration of the tetravalent ion increases and that of the divalent ion decreases as the charge proceeds, the voltage thus increasing. Cell temperature tends to rise because of I^2R heating as well as because of the heat of this exothermic reaction, and cell voltage is further increased.

Electrolysis at water requires +4 v voltage with reactive Pb and PbO_2 electrodes. As shown in figure 9 above, the value is 2.2 volts at 80°F

and decreases as temperature increases. This voltage is usually reached when about 90% of the ampere-hours discharged have been replaced. By this time the desulfating reaction, which proceeds into the plates layer by layer, has reached almost the mid-plane of the plates. When electrolysis begins, the gas is thus formed in the midst of the active material of the plates. Until all the lead sulfate has been decomposed, part of the current goes into the charging reaction and part goes into electrolysis. When all sulfate has been decomposed, the charge is complete and all further current must cause electrolysis. The escape of the resulting hydrogen and oxygen bubbles is called gassing. These bubbles must escape through the pores of the active material. In doing so, especially at a high rate and at a high temperature, they loosen particles of active material which tend to "shed" or drop to the bottom of the cell as sediment. The capacity of the cell is proportionally reduced, and when the sediment pile grows high enough it may short circuit the plates. The mixture of hydrogen and oxygen creates an explosion hazard. As the bubbles leave the cell they entrain particles of electrolyte which lower cell capacity and corrode ventilation ducting. The energy which goes into electrolysis causes a drop in efficiency. In short, except for mixing the electrolyte, gassing performs no useful purpose and should be minimized. Reduction of gassing leads to safer, more economical operation and longer cell life.

Dacos [3, page 15] explains the reduction of gassing which he obtained as follows:

Moose [3, page 15] explains the reduction of gassing which be obtained as follows:

and decrease as temperature increases. This voltage is usually reached when about 90% of the ampere-hours discharged have been replaced. By this time the densifying reaction, which proceeds into the plates layer by layer, has reached almost the mid-plane of the plates. When electrolysis begins, the gas is thus formed in the midst of the active material of the plate. Until all the lead sulfate has been decomposed, part of the current goes into the charging reaction and part goes into electrolysis. When all sulfate has been decomposed, the charge is complete and all further current must cause electrolysis. The escape of the resulting hydrogen and oxygen bubbles is called gassing. These bubbles must escape through the pores of the active material. In doing so, especially at a high rate and at a high temperature, they loosen particles of active material which tend to "shed" or drop to the bottom of the cell as sediment. The capacity of the cell is proportionally reduced, and when the sediment pile grows high enough it may short circuit the plates. The mixture of hydrogen and oxygen creates an explosion hazard. As the bubbles leave the cell they entrain particles of electrolyte which lower cell capacity and corrode ventilation ducting. The energy which goes into electrolysis causes a drop in efficiency. In short, except for mixing the electrolyte, gassing performs no useful purpose and should be minimized. Reduction of gassing leads to safer, more economical operation and longer cell life.

> .... this reduction in volume of gas comes from the fact that during a charge under pulsating voltage, the voltage is, during a fraction θ of a half-period, greater than the equivalent mean steady current, and during the rest of the half period, smaller. But, during the latter interval of time, gas stops being evolved while the voltage of decomposition [of water] is not reached, and the ions which have just reached the reacting strata of the active material enter into chemical reaction with the lead sulfate.

Since he investigated only one frequency, Dacos did not consider the effect of changing the duration of his "fraction θ". There is no obvious reason why a long non-gassing period should cause more reaction than several short non-gassing periods, although the higher ampere-hour efficiencies noted at low frequencies suggest that this is the case. Solution of this question would require a study using physical chemistry methods. Some of the obvious factors are geometry of the plates, porosity of the active material, temperature, specific gravity, and viscosity of the electrolyte, velocity of ions and gas bubbles, pressure, and current density. In Dacos' opinion,

> The behavior of the cell depends essentially on the phenomenon of diffusion.

An investigation of this nature was out of the scope of the experiment.

Vinal's voltage equation, together with the observed variation of voltage ripple, sheds some light on the matter. A step increase of charging current from 0 to 4.8 amperes did not produce a step change in cell voltage, as seen in Figures 6 and 9. With the current level at a constant 4.8 amperes, the voltage gradually approached a maximum. The change in voltage must have been due to a change in one or more of the quantities r, T, and ionic concentrations. It is known that r is not constant and is a function at least of current and condition of charge. In fact Vinal

.... this resumption in volume of gas comes from the first step during a charge cycle, the voltage is, therefore, the rising θ of a half-period, greater than the polarization was already present, and during the rest of the half period, smaller. Then, during the latter interval of time, the steps taken evolved while the voltage of [of values] is not reached, and the time which does just counter the resulting effects of the active material after the chemical reaction with the lead sulfate.

Diman be investigated only one frequency, there is not counting the effect of changing the location of the "floating P". There is no obvious reason why a long non-working period should cause more reaction than several short one-hearing periods, although the higher sulfate-loss efficiencies noted at low frequencies suggest that this is the case. Solution of this question would require a study using physical chemistry methods. Loss of the obvious factors are porosity of the plates, porosity of the active material, temperature, specific gravity, and viscosity of the electrolyte, velocity of ions and ion mobility, pressure, and current density. In Jacobi's opinion,

The behavior of the cell depends essentially on the phenomenon of diffusion.

An investigation of this matter was out of the scope of the experiment.

Vinal's voltage equation, together with the observed variation of voltage ripple, sheds some light on the matter. A sharp increase of alternating current from 0 to 1.8 amperes did not produce a step change in cell voltage, as seen in Figures 5 and 7. With the current level at a constant 1.8 amperes, the voltage gradually encountered a maximum. The change in voltage must have been due to a change in one or more of the quantities r, r', and ionic concentrations. It is known that r is not constant and is a function at least of current and condition of charge. In fact Vinal

[12, page 34] states that cell resistance is only a quantity which must be added to $R_{external}$ to satisfy the equations $I=\frac{V_{cell}}{R_{external}+r}$.

Furthermore he states that the ionic concentrations cannot be measured directly. "T" could hardly be considered to vary significantly under a current pulsing as rapidly as once or twice a second. Therefore the variations in performance might be linked to variations in cell resistance or ionic concentrations. One difficulty with this hypothesis is the constancy of voltage ripple above 2 cycles. Battery performance was not constant over this range.

Although voltage ripple was not measured at frequencies below 0.2 cycles per second, it is possible to predict that it will approach a maximum on the order of 0.25 for frequencies on the order of 20 minutes per cycle for a square wave of 10 minutes at 4.8 amperes and 10 minutes at zero current. This value was computed by noting that the voltage of a fully charged cell drops from about 2.8 to about 2.2 volts within 10 minutes after a charging current of 4.8 amperes is open-circuited. If performance is best at highest voltage ripple, the optimum frequency would then be on the order of 20 to 30 minutes per cycle. (The electroplating industry uses "PR" cycles on the order of 15 seconds plate and 5 seconds deplate.) A minimum practical frequency would be set by excessive shedding that would probably be caused if peak current were maintained for a matter of minutes, even if followed by an open circuit period of equal duration. For example, the prescribed finishing rate for the Willard ER-24-2 cell is 2.4 amperes. Suppose that a "pulsating" current of 4.8 amperes for 30 minutes followed by open circuit for 30 minutes, etc., were used for the finishing rate.

by open circuit for 30 minutes, etc., were used for the finishing rate.

Suppose that a "plateau" current of 4.8 amperes for 30 minutes followed the prescribed finishing rate for the Willard MS-9-5 cell is 2.4 amperes, even if followed by an open circuit period of equal duration. For example, ably be caused if peak current were maintained for a matter of minutes, practical frequency would be set by successive shedding that would occur on the order of 15 seconds plate and 5 seconds negative.) A minimum of 20 to 30 minutes per cycle. (The electroplating industry uses "30" periods at highest voltage ripple, the optimum frequency would then be on the order charging current of 4.8 amperes in open-circuited. If performance is best on the order of 0.25 for frequencies on the order of 20 minutes per cycle for a square wave of 10 minutes at 4.4 amperes and 10 minutes at zero current. This value was computed by noting that the voltage of a fully charged cell drops from about 5.8 to about 5.2 volts within 10 minutes after a

Although voltage ripple was not measured at frequencies below 0.5 cycles per second, it is possible to predict that it will approach a maximum

Furthermore be stated that the ionic conductivities cannot be measured directly. "I" could hardly be considered to vary significantly under a current pulsing as rapidly as once or twice a second. Therefore the variations in performance might be ilimed to variations in cell resistance or ionic concentrations. One difficulty with this hypothesis is the constancy of voltage ripple above 2 cycles. Battery performance was not constant over this range.

be added to $E_{external}$ to satisfy the expression $I = \frac{E_{cell}}{R_{internal} + r}$

[12, page 70] states that cell reactions is only a quantity which must

Although the average current would be 2.4 amperes, excessive gassing would undoubtedly occur during the 30 minute "on" period with loss of active material through shedding. The same considerations would prohibit a pulse shape such as 24 amperes for one second followed by open circuit for 9 seconds. Although average current would be 2.4 amperes, excessive gassing and shedding would again result. Cell life could probably be reckoned in pulses rather than in months. For optimum results, three conflicting requirements evidently must be balanced:

(1) a large voltage ripple;

(2) a period long enough to produce a large ripple but not so long as to produce damaging gassing; and

(3) not too high a peak current.

An educated guess is that the best frequency would be in the vicinity of 0.01 cycles per second (period of 100 seconds).

Dacos did not observe recombination of hydrogen and oxygen during the gassing period; he collected almost exactly the volume predicted by Faraday's Laws. In the present experiment only 62% of the predicted volume was actually collected; the other 38% recombined into water before escaping from the electrolyte. The Willard non-spill cells contained highly absorbent separators which undoubtedly affected the rate of diffusion and gas escape. Dacos' cells, 42 ampere-hour Tudor type BVM 3, probably contained conventional separators which left a considerable volume of electrolyte unabsorbed. Recombination of the gas can possibly be related to this difference in construction.

Although the average current would be 2.5 amperes, expensive pressing would undoubtedly occur during the 25 minute "off" period which is a loss of active material through cracking. The same considerations would prohibit a pulse shape such as 5A current for one second followed by open circuit for 9 seconds. Although average current would be 2.5 amperes, excessive pressing and shedding would again result. Cell life could probably be reckoned in place rather than in months. For optimum results, three conflicting requirements evidently must be balanced:

(1) a large voltage ripple;

(2) a period long enough to produce a large ripple but notso long as to produce damaging pressing; and

(3) not too high a peak current.

An educated guess is that the best frequency would be in the vicinity of 0.01 cycles per second (period of 100 seconds).

Dense did not observe recombination of hydrogen and oxygen during the pressing period; he collected almost exactly the volume predicted by Faraday's laws. In the present experiment only 60% of the predicted volume was actually collected; the other 38% recombined into water before escaping from the electrolyte. The sliced non-spill cells contained highly absorbent separators which markedly reduced the rate of diffusion and gas escape. These cells, DC ampere-hour meter type DYN 3, probably maintained conversions and kept a considerable volume of electrolyte unabsorbed. Recombination of the gas can possibly be related to this difference in concentration.

Since Dacos observed no recombination, his reduction of gassing must have been due entirely to reducing electrolysis. The present experiment demonstrated the reverse; ampere-hour efficiency was only slightly improved by pulsing; hence electrolysis was only slightly diminished. The main cause of reduction in gassing must then have been the reaction of hydrogen and oxygen to form water. This reaction would not be explosive if it proceeded continuously, since it could then liberate energy at no higher rate than it was supplied, about 6 watts. An explosion would result, however, if the gas collected for, say, 10 minutes recombined. It would liberate energy on the order of 6 x 600 = 3600 watt-seconds. If it were a chain reaction occurring in 0.01 seconds, the rate of energy release would be $3600 \div 0.01 = 360{,}000$ watts or 360 kilowatts.

It is not surprising that recombination occurs; one type of primary cell utilizes the same reaction ($H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$) to prevent polarization of the cathode. Vinal [11, page 216 ff.] discusses the reaction at length and points out that the actual reaction is not so simple as shown above, but actually involves several intermediate reactions.

As the experiment proceeded it became evident that statistical methods would be necessary to arrive at valid conclusions from the observed data. A comparison of the first four steady current control runs (step c of the experimental program) showed a disappointing lack of reproducibility of results. Two of these four runs were under suspicion, however. In run number 6, the cathetometer telescope was inadvertently moved during the gassing period and the height of gas in the collecting bottles was there-

fore not accurately measurable. An estimate was made, and the gas evolution computed subject to later acceptance. There was no reason to suspect the duration of charge, ampere-hours, watt-hours, or efficiencies, since the variables involved in these quantities were accurately measured.

Run number 12 was also suspected because the cell voltages on discharge dropped below the low voltage level for that rate. The charging portion of the cycle was completed, nevertheless, and the indices of performance computed. As anticipated, some of the indices differed considerably from those obtained previously.

At this point the investigators considered the advisability of neglecting the dubious results computed in cycles 6 and 12. Since their omission would tend to accentuate the difference between pulsating and steady current performance, the investigators approached the decision with conflicting emotions. On the one hand, there was excellent reason to believe the data to be extraneous. On the other hand, neglecting them would open the investigators to the charge of shutting their eyes to those data which did not confirm their theory. They consequently deferred a decision until a third series of cycles could be run. Unfortunately time did not permit the running of a number of cycles identical to the previous control runs. Instead they conducted 5 similar cycles in which the batteries were discharged at the same rate as before but for a shorter time, 10 minutes instead of 48 minutes. The cells were then charged with a constant, steady current of 2.4 amperes. Data were collected in the same way as before. The means and standard deviations of the various indices of performance were computed.

The assumption seemed reasonable that the second set of control runs should show the same spread of data as the first set. For example, if ampere-hour efficiencies for the second run fell between 80% and 85%, they could be expected to fall within a similar range for the first run. In statistical terminology, it was assumed that the first set of runs comprised a sample from a population having a certain mean and standard deviation. The second set of runs were assumed to comprise a sample of a second population having a different mean but the same standard deviation.

Hoel [6] and Wilks [13], in their discussions of small sample techniques, describe a test for the compatibility of variances. It involves the "F" distribution tabulated by Fisher and Yates [4, table V]. Briefly, the investigators followed this procedure:

1. The first two steady current control runs, numbers 1 and 7, were assumed to be random samples from normally distributed population A having mean μ_A and standard deviation σ_A; the second set of five, from normally distributed population B having mean μ_B and standard deviation σ_B.

2. It was assumed that $\mu_A \neq \mu_B$, but $\sigma_A = \sigma_B$.

3. An hypothesis H was then made that the dubious runs, numbers 6 and 12, also came from population A.

4. Under hypothesis H, the standard deviation S_A of the first four control runs should be compatible with the standard deviation S_B of the second five runs. This hypothesis was tested by forming the ratio $F = \frac{n_A S_A^2 (n_B - 1)}{n_B S_B^2 (n_A - 1)}$, where n = the number of observations (runs) comprising the sample. The F distribution yields the probability that F

will exceed any given number. Entry into Fisher's tables shows that, under hypothesis H, the probability that $F \geq 4.75$ is only 0.08. Noting that $F \geq 4.75$ for the indices t, V, $V/_{qf}$ and $V/_{wf}$, one rejected the hypothesis H in their cases, but accepted it for Q_c, W_e, η_Q and η_w. In other words, the volume data for runs 6 and 12 was rejected because of experimental error.

5. Volume data for runs 6 and 12 were rejected, and new standard deviations S'_A computed for V, $V/_{qf}$, and $V/_{wf}$. This new value S'_A was found to be compatible with S_B.

6. Even when times for runs 6 and 12 were neglected, the standard deviations of time proved incompatible. Since the curve of duration vs. frequency showed no significant variations, no further statistical analysis of this variable was made.

These computations are tabulated in Figure 12. The mean steady DC values plotted in Figure 10 represent, for time, ampere-hours, and efficiences, the results of all four control runs. For volume evolved, volume per ampere-hour, and volume per watt-hour they represent the mean only of runs 1 and 7.

The confidence limits for the means were computed by Student's distribution as described in Wilks [13] and Hoel [6.] An unbiased estimate of standard deviation was based on compatible data from the two sets of control runs.

A belated review of Dacos' conclusions showed that lack of reproducibility might have been inferred from his statement that, [3, page 17]

> In this test, taken at random from among very numerous observations ..... there is a benefit of 25% in favor of pulsating current. Recall that the mean of all the tests was 18%.

	S_A^2	S_B^2	F	$F_{92\%}$	SIGNIFICANT AT 92% CONFIDENCE LEVEL?	$S_A'^2$	F'	$F_{92\%}$	SIGNIFICANT AT 92%?
BASED ON									
RUNS	A1, A6, A7, A12	B1 - B5				A1, A7			
n	4	5				2			
DEGREES OF FREEDOM	3	4				1			
INDEX:									
t	0.0132	0.0027	5.21	4.75	YES	0.0250	14.8	5.8	YES
Q_c	0.0384	0.008704	4.70		NO	—			
W_c	0.2330	0.05986	4.15		NO	—			
V	9,705	1,792	5.77		YES	2031	1.81	5.8	NO
V/Q_f	449.5	94.28	5.09		YES	76.2	6.77	123	NO
V/W_f	68.52	15.33	4.77	↓	YES	11.16	0.82	123	NO
η_Q	1.395	4.469	3.00	5.8	NO	—			
η_W	1.399	3.316	2.22	5.8	NO	—			

FIGURE 12. COMPATIBILITY OF VARIANCES

Dacos made no explicit mention, however, of difficulty with spread of results, nor did Vinal's chapter on Tests [12, Chapter IX] warn of this pitfall. Only after considerable grief did the investigators discover what Dacos had implied: that a few large samples would have been preferable to a number of small samples.

CHAPTER V

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS

This experiment raised more questions in the minds of the investigators than it answered. In the first place, it failed to prove precisely which pulsing frequency and shape produce optimum performance; this basic question remains to be solved. It did reveal, however, other questions which must be answered before the solution can be found. It also emphasized related problems and suggested techniques which can simplify and improve experiments with storage batteries. Some of these points are discussed briefly in three categories:

A. Questions whose answers are essential to a solution of the basic question.

B. Questions allied to the basic question.

C. Experimental techniques.

By the "basic question" is meant, "How does battery performance vary with frequency and shape of current pulses?" The discussions below merely highlight the points raised; they are presented as raw observations to be evaluated, ignored, or disproved by subsequent investigators.

A

Questions whose answers are essential to the solution of the basic question.

1. Is storage cell performance truly reproducible experimentally? That is, can all the variables be controlled and/or measured so that identical results can be produced by maintaining identical conditions? What variables are most important?

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR INVESTIGATIONS

This experiment raised more questions in the minds of the investigators than it answered. In the first place, it failed to prove conclusively which pulsing frequency and shape produce optimum performance; this basic question remains to be solved. It did reveal, however, other questions which must be answered before the solution can be found. It also emphasized related problems and suggested techniques which can simplify and improve experiments with storage batteries. Some of these points are discussed briefly in three categories:

A. Questions whose answers are essential to a solution of the basic question.

B. Questions allied to the basic question.

C. Experimental technique.

By the "basic question" is meant, "How does battery performance vary with frequency and shape of current pulses?" The discussions below merely highlight the points raised; they are presented as raw observations to be evaluated, ignored, or disproved by subsequent investigators.

A

Questions whose answers are essential to the solution of the basic question.

1. Is storage cell performance truly reproducible experimentally? That is, can all the variables be controlled and/or measured so that identical results can be produced by maintaining identical conditions? What variables are most important?

2. If not, is cell performance a chance variable? If it is a chance variable, is it normally distributed, or does it follow some other distribution? How many times must a given run be repeated to determine the true mean value of a particular index of performance? If the ampere-hour efficiency is determined, say 10 times, can we confidently say that the mean of this ten-fold sample equals the population mean?

3. What wave shape produces optimum performances? Is it a square wave, half sine wave, full-rectified sine wave, or pulsed field wave? What is the optimum duty cycle? (ratio of current-on time to current-off time).

4. Would periodic reversal of charging current improve performance as it has improved electroplating quality?

5. What is the optimum pulse frequency for any given cell?

6. Does pulsing produce beneficial results on cells of all sizes and constructions?

B

Questions allied to the basic question.

1. Does pulsed current produce less electrolysis, or does it merely cause more of the electrolytically generated hydrogen and oxygen to recombine? If the first answer is "yes", ampere-hour efficiencies should be increased. If the second answer is "yes", the volume of escaping gas should be less, while ampere-hour efficiencies would remain unchanged.

2. Can indices of performance be related analytically to pulse shape and frequency, possibly by transient analysis?

3. If a test cell consisting of two plates, widely separated, were charged with pulsating current and the gas from the respective plates collected separately, would performance be improved?

4. Is "shedding" of active material a function of total gas formed or only of that fraction of the gas which escapes without recombining into water?

C

Experimental techniques.

1. The use of recording instruments, either in addition to or in lieu of indicating instruments, would simplify the problems of recording data, computing results, and regulating charging current. Esterline Angus recording milliammeters could be connected to measure cell voltages and charging current. Several advantages would be:

a. Continuous data record, rather than only a periodic record. This feature would allow closer determination of the time of end of charge than would the constancy of two successive readings separated by a time interval.

b. Elimination of time-consuming reading and logging.

c. Quick computation of time-average values, such as voltages, by planimeter, and quick and accurate determination of time rates.

d. Elimination of need for extremely accurate current regulation. In the present experiment, current had to be maintained exactly constant to permit accurate determination of ampere-hours as the product of current and time. If ampere-hours could be determined by planimeter,

slight variations in current would be permissible.

e. The higher inertia of recording instruments would give them a better integrating characteristic than indicating instruments. The ballistic galvanometer for current metering might prove unnecessary.

2. Since individual cell performances for a given cycle were averaged, time could be saved by metering battery voltage and gas rather than individual cell values. In this way a large number of cells could be used, rather than only three, and more reproducible mean data might result.

3. The development of a recording device for volume of evolved gas would facilitate data collection.

4. Most important of all, a number of observations should be made at each frequency at which performance is to be determined accurately. Student's distribution shows that the confidence limits for a population parameter are narrowed as the number of observations is increased. Qualitatively this means that the average of the observed data for a number of runs approaches the true value as the number of runs approaches infinity. Note that the number of observations can be increased either by repeating runs or by connecting more cells in series.

5. Bearing in mind the perversity of storage batteries in failing to behave reproducibly, the investigator should heed Hoel's warning: [6, page 215]

> Too many experimenters do not seem to appreciate the obvious injunction that the time to design [statistically] an experiment is before the experiment is begun.

A study of Hoel's Chapter XII on "Statistical Design in Experiments" should assist in planning a valid and efficient experiment.

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

The experiment, while it failed to produce the quantitative results hoped for, demonstrated qualitatively that battery performance is improved by charging with pulsating current. For the Willard MB-24-2 cell a frequency on the order of 0.5 to 1 cycle per second produced best results within the frequency range covered. Further investigation, utilizing the tools of statistics, is necessary to determine the reason for this improvement and to determine optimum pulse shape and frequency for this and other cells. There is reason to believe that low frequencies are superior to high frequencies. The investigators consider their time and efforts justified since their results not only supplement the meager knowledge about pulse-charging but also point the way for future study.

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSIONS

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Association of American Battery Manufacturers. Storage Battery Technical Service Manual, Revised Second Edition. Akron, Ohio, American Association of Battery Manufacturers, 1946.

2. Bregman, Adolph. Periodic Reverse-Current Electroplating. Metal Progress. 58:2:199 . August 1950

3. Dacos, F. Étude des Accumulateurs Électriques. Revue Universelle des Mines. 9:II:1:1-22, 1946.

4. Fisher, Ronald A., and Yates, Frank. Statistical Tables. New York, Hafner, 1948.

5. Glasstone, Samuel. Textbook of Physical Chemistry, Second Edition. New York, Van Nostrand, 1946.

6. Hoel, Paul G. Introduction Mathematical Statistics. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1947.

7. Jernstedt, George W. Brighter Finishes via PR Plating. Westinghouse Engineer X:3:139-143, May 1950.

8. Mare Island Naval Shipyard Industrial Laboratory. Report Number 1757-53, Capacity Test of Storage Batteries. Unpublished Report, Industrial Laboratory, Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California, 26 March 1953.

9. U. S. Navy Department. Bureau of Ships Manual, Chapter 62, Section II, Portable Storage Batteries and Dry Batteries. Washington, U. S. Government Printing Office, 1946.

10. U. S. Navy Department. Bureau of Ships Manual, Chapter 62, Section III. Submarine Storage Batteries. Washington, U. S. Government Printing Office, 1946.

11. Vinal, George Wood. Primary Batteries. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1940.

12. Vinal, George Wood. Storage Batteries, Third Edition. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1940.

13. Wilks, S. S. Elementary Statistical Analysis. Princeton, N. J., Princeton University Press, 1949.

1. Association of American Medical Colleges. Directory of Medical Teaching Institutions. Washington, D.C.: Association of American Medical Colleges, 1966.

2. Brennan, Adelia. Medicine Between the Covers. New York: Random House, 1950.

3. Brown, M. Index to Periodicals Containing Articles on Medical Subjects. Boston: Medical Library Association, 1965.

4. Cline, Gloria. Online Search Strategies. Boston: G.K. Hall & Co., 1981.

5. Cochrane, Archibald L. Effectiveness and Efficiency: Random Reflections on Health Services. London: Nuffield Provincial Hospitals Trust, 1972.

6. Cumulative Bibliography of Nursing Literature. Vol. 1- . Glendale, Calif.: Glendale Adventist Medical Center, 1966- .

7. Current Contents: Clinical Medicine. Philadelphia: Institute for Scientific Information, 1969- .

8. Current Contents: Life Sciences. Philadelphia: Institute for Scientific Information, 1958- .

9. Current Contents: Social & Behavioral Sciences. Philadelphia: Institute for Scientific Information, 1969- .

10. Current Index to Journals in Education. New York: CCM Information Corporation, 1969- .

11. Cumulative Index to Nursing Literature. Glendale, Calif.: Glendale Adventist Medical Center, 1956- .

12. Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary. 25th ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co., 1974.

13. Drew, Elizabeth B. Literature Searching as a Learning Process. Palo Alto, Calif.: Stanford University, 1968.

14. Education Index. New York: H.W. Wilson Co., 1929- .

15. Ehrenberg, Ruth E., Eugene Garfield, and Alfred Welljams. Computer Systems for Medical Literature Retrieval. Philadelphia: Institute for Scientific Information, 1976.

16. Elliott, Mabel A., and Francis E. Merrill. Social Disorganization. 3rd ed. New York: Harper & Row, 1950.

17. Engle, Hilbert. Organization and Function of Research Libraries. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1974.

18. Fenichel, Carol H., and Thomas H. Hogan. Online Searching: A Primer. Bloomington, Ind.: Learning Resources Brokerage, 1981.

19. Fenichel, Carol H., and Thomas H. Hogan. Online Searching: A Primer. Bloomington, Ind.: Learning Resources Brokerage, 1981.

20. Fenichel, Carol H., and Thomas H. Hogan. Online Searching: A Primer. Bloomington, Ind.: Learning Resources Brokerage, 1981.

APPENDIX A

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup was designed to (1) permit close control of charge and discharge currents, and to provide a choice of currents for charging; (2) provide for metering or measuring charge and discharge currents, time of charge and discharge, and individual cell voltages, temperatures, and gas evolved; (3) provide protection against accidental "reverse current" discharge of the cells; and (4) provide a stable power supply, arranged for a minimum of interruptions or disturbances to the primary power source. A brief explanation of each of these provisions will indicate the methods and principles involved and will provide a clear picture of the overall setup. In the interests of completeness, a block diagram with descriptions, and an overall circuit diagram are included.

1. Current control.

Since ampere-hour meters were unavailable, constancy of current, on both charge and discharge, was essential to the outcome of the experiment. Regulation of charging current was accomplished by the use of automatic, thermal type, variable resistance, "constant current" ballast tubes, Amperite type A-10. Characteristics of these tubes are shown in Figure 13. These tubes are designed to hold current constant to $\pm$ 2%. Supplementary rheostats were provided for very fine control, permitting excellent overall maintenance of constant current.

On discharge, the voltage of the battery alone was below the minimum operating voltage of the Amperite ballast tubes, so that discharge

APPENDIX A

EXPERIMENTAL CIRCUIT

The experimental setup was designed to (1) permit close control of charge and discharge currents, and to provision a choice of currents for charging; (2) provide for measuring or measuring charge and discharge currents, time of charge and discharge, and individual cell voltage, temperature, and for evolved; (3) provide protection against accidental "reverse current" discharge of the cells; and (4) provide a stable power supply, arranged for a minimum of interruptions or disturbances on the primary power source. A brief explanation of each of these provisions will indicate the methods and principles involved and will provide a clear picture of the overall setup. In the interests of completeness, a block diagram with descriptions, and an overall circuit diagram are included.

1. Current control.

Since engine-duty storage cells are unreliable, constancy of current, on both charge and discharge, was essential to the success of the experiment. Regulation of charging current was accomplished by the use of automatic, thermal type, variable resistance, "constant current" heater relay apparatus type A-10. Characteristics of these tubes are shown in Figure 1). These tubes are designed to hold current constant to $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ %. Experimentally obtained data verified for each line control, indicating excellent over-all maintenance of constant current.

On discharge, the voltage of the battery cells was below the minimum operating voltage of the heater relay tubes, so that discharge



A. Com arison of Amperite and other resistors



B. Characteristics of A-10 series

FIGURE 13. VOLT-AMPERE CHARACTERISTICS OF A PERITE BALLAST TUBES

current was necessarily controlled by rheostats only. Adequate control of discharge current was realized, but the rheostats required constant manipulation by the operator in order to maintain constant current.

Constant current requirements for charging included (a) a starting rate source of 6 amperes steady direct current, and for the finishing rate, (b) 2.4 amperes steady direct current, or (c) 2.4 amperes (average) pulsating direct current of square waveshape, at 4.8 amperes peak value, at frequencies from 0.5 to 8 cps, obtained from a motor driven, cam operated timer switch, or (d) 2.4 amperes pulsating direct current obtained through a half wave rectifier bank from an alternator, at frequencies from 20 to 400 cps. Of this last item, it may be said that waveshape was beyond control, since the investigators were obliged to use whatever sundry alternators that were available for this wide range of frequencies, but in any case, current was maintained at the proper average value.

2. Mensuration

The problem of metering appeared to be quite difficult at first, especially at pulsing rates below about 40 cps, where ordinary d'Arsonval movements tended to follow the current excursions rather closely, so rendering them useless for "average current" indications. In the case of current metering, when the motor driven timer switch was used, it was also necessary to consider that, although the "on" current value might be precisely 4.8 amperes, the "on" and "off" times very probably were not exactly equal*, so that the average current could not be assumed to be precise-

*This assertion was later borne out by a graphical recording of waveshape on a "Brush" recorder.

on a "Brush" recorder.

*This assertion was later borne out by a completed connection of measurements

ly 2.4 amperes. Thus, a meter which would average, or integrate, was required, and a long period, ballistic galvanometer, connected to a very low resistance shunt, provided the solution. The low resistance shunt caused the instrument to be highly overdamped, thus adding to its integrating ability. An instant acting relay arrangement was provided, whereby the galvanometer and its associated shunt could be switched into either the battery charging circuit, or into a constant current reference circuit, maintained accurately at 2.40 amperes, for purposes of zero-setting and comparison.

When charging with steady direct current, or with rectified alternating current at frequencies of 40 cps and higher, ordinary d'Arsonval type ammeters were used, and provided satisfactory current indications. It is noteworthy that the precision of every electrical meter reading which might directly influence the quantitative experimental results was enhanced by the use of magnifying glasses placed over the meter scales.

Voltages were read to three decimals with a 0-3 volt scale voltmeter, provided with a switch for selecting any one cell voltage. To prevent the voltmeter pointer following the "voltage fluctuation" across the cells, during pulsing at rates below 40 cps, which would result in inaccurate voltage readings, a switching arrangement was provided so that the cells could be switched to a steady direct current charging source at 2.4 amperes for the duration of the meter readings.

While it is realized that voltages read to three decimals with an ordinary d'Arsonval voltmeter movement would be subject to suspicion

an ordinary d'Arsonval voltmeter movement would be subject to complaint
While it is realized that voltages read to three decimals with
degrees for the duration of the water readings.
cells could be switched to a steady direct current charging source at 2.4
rate voltage reading, a switching arrangement was provided so that the
cells, during plating at rates below 50 cps, which would result in inaccu-
vert the voltmeter pointer following the "saltus fluctuation" across the
water, provided with a switch for selecting any one cell voltage. To re-
Voltages were read to three decimals with a 0-1 volt scale volt-
by the use of magnifying glasses placed over the meter scales.
might directly influence the quantitative experimental results was enhanced
is noteworthy that the precision of every electrical meter reading which
type converters were used, and provided satisfactory current indications. It
taining current at frequencies of 50 cps and higher, ordinary d'Arsonval
Upon charging with steady direct current, or with rectified al-
comparison.
maintained accurately at 2.40 amperes, for purposes of zero-setting and
battery charging circuit, or into a constant current reference circuit,
galvanometer and its associated shunt could be switched into either the
lity. An instant switching arrangement was provided, whereby the
the instrument to be highly overdamped, thus adding to its integrating abi-
tantaneous shunt, provided the solution. The low resistance shunt caused
pured, and a long period, ballistic galvanometer, connected to a very low
ly 2.4 amperes. Then, a meter which would average, or integrate, any re-

as to the absolute accuracy of the third decimal, values were nevertheless read as closely as possible, with the aid of the magnifying glass placed over the scale. The prime purpose of the voltage readings was to ascertain the point of completion of charge, based on the fact that voltage across the cell reaches a maximum at the end of charge and thereafter decreases slightly. Thus, even though the absolute magnitude of voltage may have been slightly in error, the method used enabled the operator to determine quite closely the end-of-charge point, inasmuch as the previously mentioned voltage decrease was easily discernible. It is well to note that a "set of voltage readings", as taken periodically during the finishing rate charge, consisted of three separate readings, one for each cell. In switching the voltmeter from cell to cell, its pointer momentarily swung toward zero, during the switching period, so that for each cell voltage reading, the pointer always approached its steady state position from the same direction. Any lost motion was therefore always in the same direction.

Time was reckoned by an electric timer connected to be energized automatically whenever the cells were connected to either the charge or discharge circuit.

Temperature of each cell was obtained by means of a Fahrenheit thermometer sealed into the filling cap, with the thermometer bulb immersed in the cell electrolyte.

Temperature of the evolved gas was obtained with a Centigrade thermometer inserted into the gas collecting vessel of one cell, by way

of a pilot or sample temperature determination. The investigators felt that elimination of thermometers in the other two gas collecting vessels was justified, since the three vessels were grouped closely together, and therefore subject to essentially the same changes in ambient temperature, which proved to be the major factor in determining gas temperature. Further, the two investigators were required to make 11 readings within the space of about 30 seconds every 6 minutes, so that elimination of all unnecessary readings was highly desirable.

Evolved gas from each cell displaced an equivalent volume of water from a calibrated glass vessel. The level of the remaining water in each vessel was accurately determined periodically with a cathetometer.

3. Reverse Current Protection

Inclusion of this device in the charging circuit was necessary to prevent accidental discharge of the cells through the generator armature, in the event of a power failure. The reverse current relay (Figure 14) was connected to be normally energized, through a disc rectifier; thus, the relay was energized only when current was flowing in the proper (charging) direction. Voltage to operate this relay was obtained from the IR drop across a resistance in series with the charging circuit. If, for any reason, the cells commenced to discharge through the charging circuit, the rectifier prevented current flow through the relay coil and caused the contacts to drop out. Deenergization of this relay simultaneously opened the cell circuit, stopped the electric timer clock, and shut down the motor-generator set, after which manual resetting was necessary.



Figure 14. Reverse current relay detail.

4. Power Supply

In order to insure a minimum of interruptions or disturbances to the charging current and auxiliary apparatus (clock, motor driven timer switch, etc.), a primary power source of high capacity was desired. The system used was the largest capacity system available in the laboratory, viz., the 230 volts, 3 phase, a. c. distribution system. A transformer provided 115 volts, single phase, where needed. An oversized motor-generator set provided a stable source of charging current. This source sufficed for both the steady charging currents and the 0.5 to 8 cps pulsating direct current for charging. Alternating current for charging, from 20 to 100 cps, was supplied by a motor driven alternator, with the motor connected to a "Ward-Leonard" generator system for speed control. 400 cps alternating current was obtained from a 1.8 KVA voltage regulated, motor driven, 400 cps alternator.

5. Detailed Description of Components

The overall provisions of the experimental setup have been set forth above, followed by several explanatory paragraphs on the salient features of the apparatus. A detailed, functional description of component parts follows.

Referring to Figure 15, note that three basic arrangements of the apparatus were required. Figure 15A shows the arrangement where only steady direct current was required for charging. This arrangement was employed for the starting rate charge during every cycle, and for the finishing rate charge during the control cycles (q.v.), and was the basic arrangement, to

which modifications were made as necessary for pulsating charges, as shown in Figure 15B and C.

The generator was a 2 KW, 32 volt, 62.5 ampere machine, driven by a 15 HP induction motor, and sufficient in capacity to show negligible regulation under the relatively light charging load. Some ripple of 540 cps was discernible in the output of this machine, but the percentage of ripple voltage was negligibly small.

Two parallel connected rheostats were employed, supplementary to the current regulating ballast tubes, as previously described. Rheostat values were 4 ohms and 25 ohms, the former serving as a coarse control, the latter as a fine control.

The voltmeter arrangement for metering individual cell voltages was described above. Switch details are shown in Figure 16. Separate leads were run from the terminals of this switch direct to the actual terminals of each cell, rather than to the "battery" terminals, to eliminate any IR drop inherent in the latter terminals, by virtue of their carrying either charge or discharge current.

The reverse current protective device and the bank of Amperite current regulating tubes were previously described in this appendix. Of the Amperite ballast tubes it is worth saying that they were procured in three sizes, viz., 2-A-10, 5-A-10, and 9-A-10, having nominal current ratings of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.9 amperes, respectively. Suitable combinations of these tubes in parallel gave the various desired charging current values.

In Figure 15B, the galvanometer current metering circuit, a cur-



Figure 16. Cell voltmeter switch detail.

rent selector switch, a motor driven, cam operated pulser, or timer switch, an additional bank of Amperite tubes, and additional rheostats have been added.

The galvanometer circuit detail is shown in Figure 17. The galvanometer and its purpose have been described earlier. The associated relay was controlled from the galvanometer position, and permitted switching of the galvanometer from the cell charging circuit to the reference current circuit, while maintaining continuity of these circuits. The reference current circuit consisted of a source of direct current at 250 volts (obtained from a 5 KW generator, tandem coupled to the main induction motor and 32 volt generator set), a 2.4 ampere bank of Amperite tubes, a 0-3 ampere ammeter, two parallel connected rheostats of about 25 ohms each, and sufficient series resistors to limit the current to the desired value. Current in this circuit was maintained at 2.4 amperes. The galvanometer scale was not calibrated in amperes. Rather, the pointer was set to give zero deflection when the galvanometer was connected in the reference current circuit; then the galvanometer was switched into the charging circuit, and charging current adjusted to again give zero deflection, corresponding to 2.4 amperes average current.

The current selector switch, shown in detail in Figure 18, enabled the operator, in one switching operation, to choose 2.4 amperes of (1) steady current, or (2) pulsating current, at a frequency generated by and preset in the motor driven timer switch. The purpose of the steady current source was described under "Mensuration".

The heart of the low frequency, pulsating current generating device was the motor driven, cam operated timer switch shown in Figure 19.

vice was the motor driven, cam operated timer switch shown in Figure 19.

The heart of the low frequency, alternating current measuring device was the motor driven, cam operated timer switch shown in Figure 19. The current selector switch, a motor driven, cam operated rotary, or timer switch, an additional bank of Amperite tubes, and additional thermostat have been added.

The galvanometer circuit detail is shown in Figure 17. The galvanometer and its purpose have been described earlier. The associated relay was controlled from the galvanometer position, and permitted switching of the galvanometer from the coil charging circuit to the reference current circuit, while maintaining continuity of these circuits. The reference current circuit consisted of a source of direct current at 250 volts (obtained from a 5 KW generator, tandem coupled to the main induction motor and 75 volt generator set), a 5.4 ampere Bank of Amperite tubes, a 0-5 ampere meter, two parallel connected theorrets of about 25 ohms each, and multiple series resistors to limit the current to the desired value. Current in this circuit was maintained at 5.4 amperes. The galvanometer scale was not calibrated in amperes. Rather, the pointer was set to give zero deflection when the galvanometer was connected in the reference current circuit; then the galvanometer was switched into the charging circuit, and charging current adjusted to again give zero deflection, corresponding to 5.4 amperes average current.

The current selector switch, shown in detail in Figure 18, enabled the operator, in one switching operation, to choose 1.4 amperes of (1) steady current, or (2) pulsating current, at a frequency generated by and present in the motor driven timer switch. The current of the steady current source was described under "Description".

The heart of the low frequency, alternating current measuring de-



Figure 17. Galvanometer circuit detail.



Figure 18. Current selector switch detail.



Figure 19. Motor driven timer switch.

Comprising this was a fractional horsepower induction motor driving a simple cam through a variable ratio gear train. The cam actuated a microswitch once during each revolution. The microswitch in turn energized the coil of a locking type stepping relay, with single pole, double throw contacts. The stepping relay construction was such that its contacts threw to one position or the other with each energization of the coil, and remained locked in that position until a subsequent energization of the coil. In one position the circuit to the cells was completed through the 4.8 ampere Amperite bank. In the other, the cell circuit was opened, and the Amperite tubes were switched to a rheostat and ammeter, leading back to the generator. Thus, the cells alternately received either 4.8 amperes or no current, giving an average current of 2.4 amperes, as required. The speed range of the cam permitted a pulsating current frequency range of about 0.2 to 5 cps. It was discovered that the stepping relay arrangement was unsuitable for frequencies above about 2 cps, however, so that for the runs at 3 and 7.8 cps, an additional microswitch and cam were installed, the microswitch, with single pole, double throw contacts, being substituted circuit-wise for the contacts of the stepping relay. The cam operated this microswitch every 180° of rotation, extending the frequency range of the device to an upper limit of about 10 cps.

The resistance of an Amperite ballast tube varies with temperature in much the same manner as does that of an ordinary incandescent lamp, except that the thermal time constant of the Amperite tube is longer. Due to this thermal characteristic of the Amperite tubes, it was found necessary to cause the desired value of current to flow through them continuously in

order to realize optimum current regulation. Thus, the 4.8 ampere bank of Amperite tubes was switched alternately from the cells to the previously mentioned rheostat and ammeter circuit back to the generator, the rheostat being adjusted to give an ammeter reading of 4.8 amperes, so that 4.8 amperes flowed through these Amperite tubes continuously. A similar arrangement was provided for the 2.4 ampere bank of Amperite tubes, used for obtaining steady current for purposes of voltage metering. The current selector switch connected this bank either into the cell circuit, or to a rheostat and ammeter circuit returning to the generator. Whenever the 2.4 ampere circuit was switched to the cells for a voltage reading, the motor driven timer switch contacts were short circuited, so that for this position of the current selector switch, the associated 4.8 ampere bank of ballast tubes was continuously connected to its rheostat and ammeter return circuit, independent of the timer switch.

In Figure 15C, the variable frequency alternator, thyratron rectifier bank, and associated Amperite tube bank have replaced the motor driven timer switch of Figure 15B, with other features remaining essentially the same. At frequencies of 40 cps and greater it was unnecessary to use the galvanometer for metering of current, since ordinary d'Arsonval type meters gave satisfactory results at these frequencies. Three General Electric type FG-95 thyratron tubes connected in parallel were used to half-wave rectify the alternator output. No attempt was made to utilize the unique characteristics of the thyratron tubes. Indeed, any rectifier of suitable current carrying capability would have served the purpose. The one outstanding advantage of the particular thyratrons employed was their immediate availa-

voltage of the monitor apparatus employed with their immediate availa-

bility to the investigators. At the frequencies involved here (20 cps and above) it was found unnecessary to consider the thermal delay inherent in the Amperite tubes, and no auxiliary switching arrangement was provided for the purpose.

6. Gas Collecting Apparatus

The gas collecting apparatus consisted of 3 one gallon, round glass jugs, one connected to each cell and, through a pressure equalizing flask, to an over-flow reservoir, by rubber tubing, as shown in Figure 20. Gas from a cell displaced an equal volume of water from its associated jug. Pressure within each jug was reduced to atmospheric prior to each reading, by matching the water levels of the jug and its equalizing flask. Height of liquid in the jug was read to 0.01 cm with a cathetometer. Cross sectional areas of the jugs were sufficiently linear over the operating range to permit the use of a constant term in computing volume of water displaced, as, for example, 200 cc per cm. Gas volume was temperature corrected to 27° C.

bility to the investigators. At the frequencies involved here (20 cps and above) it was found unnecessary to consider the thermal delay inherent in the Amperite tubes, and no auxiliary switching arrangement was provided for the purpose.

6. Gas Collecting Apparatus

The gas collecting apparatus consisted of 3 one gallon, round glass jugs, one connected to each cell and, through a pressure equalizing flask, to an over-flow reservoir, by rubber tubing, as shown in Figure 20. Gas from a cell displaced an equal volume of water from its associated jug. Pressure within each jug was reduced to atmospheric prior to each reading, by matching the water levels of the jug and its equalizing flask. Height of liquid in the jug was read to 0.01 cm with a cathetometer. Cross sectional areas of the jugs were sufficiently linear over the operating range to permit the use of a constant term in computing volume of water displaced, as, for example, 200 cc per cm. Gas volume was temperature corrected to 27° C.



Figure 20. Gas collecting apparatus.


115 V. 3 ϕ
60 cps
INDUCTION
MOTOR
Δ - Δ
TRANSFORMER
BANK
X Y
SWITCH
STARTING
CONTACTOR
TRANS-
FORMER
110 V 60 N SINGLE PHASE
TO AUXILIARY LOADS
230 V AC 3 ϕ 60 N
SUPPLY
LINE
LOAD
REVERSE
CURRENT
RELAY
FIGURE 21
TERMINAL
WIRING DIAGRAM
250 V DC GENERATOR
F.
A
0-3
AMP
AMMETER
23 Ω
23 Ω
VARIABLE
0-23 Ω
FAN COOLED RESISTORS
2.4 AMP
AMPERITE BANKS
6 AMP
4.8 AMP
2.4 AMP
32 V. DC GENERATOR
(-)
(+)
AMMETER
0-15 AMP
4 Ω
VARIABLE
RESISTORS
23 Ω
0-3
AMP
AMMETER
VARIABLE
RESISTORS
4 Ω
2 Ω
REST
CHARGE
TIMER SWITCH
STEADY
PULSE
CURRENT-SELECTOR
SWITCH (3PDT)
MOTOR - GENERATOR SETS
G
M
120 V DC
DC
M
G
20-100 cps
A.C.
JACK
BOXES
120
DC
M
G
400 cps
AC
THYRATRONS
120 V
DC
GRIDS
HTRS.
VARIAC
X
Y
GALVANOM'TR
SWITCH
VC
OV
SHUNT
0.001 Ω
I
I
GALVANOMETER
SWITCH
3PDT
READ
CALIBRATE
X
Y
GEN. +
RECT + (+)
JACK BOXES
STEADY
L.F.
H.F
(-)
2 Ω
25 Ω
VARIABLE
RESISTORS
0-10 A
AMMETERS
0-5 A
SWITCH
VARIABLE
RESISTORS
0-25 A
AMMETER
23 Ω
0.4 Ω
CHARGE - DISCHARGE
SWITCH (3PDT)
CHARGE
DISCHARGE
TIMER
CLOCK
X
Y
+
CELLS
-
CELL VOLTAGE
SELECTOR
SWITCH
VOLTMETER
0-3 V



Figure 22-A. View of setup.



Figure 22-B. View of motor driven timer switch.

Figure 22-C. View of Amperite banks and motor-generator set.

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE CYCLE ANALYSIS SHEET

Discharge

Current (I_d) -	Constant at 15 amperes throughout discharge.
Mean Voltage (V_{md})-	Time weighted mean voltage, from voltage readings made every 6 minutes throughout discharge.
Duration (t_d) -	Duration of discharge in hours.
Ampere-hours (Q_d) -	Product of I_d and t_d.
Energy (W_d) -	Product of I_d, V_{md} and t_d.

Charge - Starting Rate

Current (I_s) -	Constant at 6.02 amperes throughout starting rate charge.
Mean Voltage (V_{ms})-	Time weighted mean voltage, from voltage readings made every 12 minutes throughout starting rate charge.
Duration (t_s) -	Duration of starting rate charge in hours.
Ampere-hours (Q_s) -	Product of I_s and t_s.
Energy (W_s) -	Product of I_s, V_{ms} and t_s.

Charge - Finishing Rate

Current (I_f) -	Constant average value throughout finishing rate charge.
Mean Voltage (V_{mf})-	Time weighted mean voltage of finishing rate charge.
Duration (t_f) -	Duration of finishing rate charge in hours.
Ampere-hours (Q_f) -	Product of I_f and t_f.
Energy (W_f) -	Product of I_f, V_{mf} and t_f.

Gas

Height (h) -	Difference in height in centimeters of water in gas collecting vessel at beginning of finishing rate charge and end of finishing rate charge.
Area (A) -	Cross sectional area of gas collecting vessel in square centimeters.
Volume (V') -	Volume of water displaced from gas collecting vessel during finishing rate charge - product of A and h.
Temperature (T) -	Gas temperature at end of finishing rate charge, °C.
Volume (V) -	V' corrected for T to a datum of 27°C, or 300° Kelvin (Centigrade, absolute).

APPENDIX 3

FAULTS CAUSE ANALYSIS REPORT

Discharge

Current (I_d^n) - Operated at I_d^n amperes discharging capacity.
Mean Voltage (V_{md}^n)- Time weighted mean voltage, from voltage readings
taken every 5 minutes throughout discharge.
Duration (t_d^n) - Duration of discharge in hours.
Ampere-hours (I_d^n) - Product of I_d^n and t_d^n.
Energy (W_d^n) - Product of I_d^n, V_{md}^n and t_d^n.

Charge - Starting Data

Current (I_c^n) - Operated at I_c^n amperes throughout starting rate
charge.
Mean Voltage (V_{mc}^n)- Time weighted mean voltage, from voltage readings
taken every 15 minutes throughout starting rate
charge.
Duration (t_c^n) - Duration of starting rate charge in hours.
Ampere-hours (I_c^n) - Product of I_c^n and t_c^n.
Energy (W_c^n) - Product of I_c^n, V_{mc}^n and t_c^n.

Charge - Finishing Data

Current (I_f^n) - Operated average value throughout finishing rate
charge.
Mean Voltage (V_{mf}^n)- Time weighted mean voltage of finishing rate charge.
Duration (t_f^n) - Duration of finishing rate charge in hours.
Ampere-hours (I_f^n) - Product of I_f^n and t_f^n.
Energy (W_f^n) - Product of I_f^n, V_{mf}^n and t_f^n.

Gas

Height (h) - Difference in height in centimeters of water in gas
collecting vessel at beginning of finishing rate
charge and end of finishing rate charge.
Area (A) - Cross sectional area of gas collecting vessel in
square centimeters.
Volume (V') - Volume of water displaced from gas collecting ves-
sel during finishing rate charge - product of A and h.
Temperature (T) - Gas temperature in deg. C. of finishing rate charge, °C.
Volume (V) - If measured in C.G.S. system of 273°, or 300° Kelvin
temperature, absolute).

Volume of gas per ampere-hour of finishing rate charge.	- V/Q_f	
Volume of gas per watt-hour of finishing rate charge.	- V/W_f	
Total ampere-hours of charge.	(Q_c)	$Q_s + Q_f$
Total watt-hours of charge.	(W_c)	$W_s + W_f$
Efficiency, ampere-hour.	(η_Q)	Q_d/Q_c
Efficiency, watt-hour	(η_W)	W_d/W_c

Efficiency, water-pore.	(λ_w)	Q_w/Q_p
Efficiency, pore-pore.	(λ_p)	Q_p/Q_p
Total water-borne of charge.	(V_w)	$V_1 + V_2$
Total pore-borne of charge.	(Q_p)	$Q_1 + Q_2$
Volume of fine water-borne rate charge.		V/V_1
Volume of fine pore-borne of fine-taking rate charge.		V/V_2

DATE – 2/19/53 CYCLE A-2 FREQ – 0.5 N/sec

ITEM	UNITS	11	12	13	MEAN	
I_d	AMP.	15.00 →				
V_{md}	VOLTS	1.9370	1.9374	1.9385		
t_d	HRS	0.8 →				
Q_d	AMP. HR.	12.00 →				
W_d	WATT HR	23.244	23.213	23.262		
I_s	AMP.	6.02 →				
V_{ms}	VOLTS	2.2434	2.2458	2.2613		
t_s	HRS	1.503 →				
Q_s	AMP.-HR.	9.048 →				
W_s	WATT-HR.	20.298	20.320	20.460		
I_f	AMP.	2.397 →				
V_{mf}	VOLT	2.4570	2.4473	2.4774		
t_f	HRS.	1.85	1.75	1.75		⟨3.286 = t_c⟩
Q_f	AMP.-HR.	4.434	4.195	4.195		
W_f	WATT-HR.	10.894	10.266	10.393		
h	CM.	3.70	3.00	3.02		
A	CM^2	200	195	191.5		
V'	CM^3	740.00	585.00	578.33		
T	°C	26.9 →				
V	CM^3	740.25	585.19	578.52	634.65	
V/Q_f	CM^3/A.H.	166.95	139.50	137.91	148.12	
V/W_f	CM^3/W.H.	67.95	57.00	55.66	60.20	
Q_c	AMP.-HR.	13.482	13.243	13.243	13.323	
W_c	WATT-HR.	31.192	30.586	30.853	30.877	
η_Q	%	89.00	90.61	90.61	90.07	
η_W	%	74.52	75.89	75.40	75.27	

SAMPLE CALCULATION

RP-216

APPENDIX C

RAW DATA

Laboratory data sheets for all cycles are included in this appendix in chronological order. Dates, cycle numbers, and frequencies are as follows:

Frequency	Cycle Numbers	Date
0.5	A2	2/19/53
1	A4	3/2/53
3	A8	3/23/53
7.8	A11	4/1/53
20	A5	3/4/53
40	A3	2/25/53
100	A9	3/25/53
400	A10	3/26/53
Steady	A1	2/18/53
"	A6	3/5/53
"	A7	3/9/53
"	A12	4/8/53
"	B1, B2	4/28/53
"	B3, B4	4/29/53
"	B5	4/30/53

The final data sheet lists the meters and recorders used.

APPENDIX G

RAW DATA

Laboratory data sheets for all cycles are included in this appendix in chronological order. Dates, cycle numbers, and frequencies are as follows:

Frequency	Cycle Numbers	Dates
0.5	1	8/29/83
1	2	8/30/83
2	3	8/31/83
1.5	4,5	9/1/83
20	6	9/1/83
40	7	9/2/83
100	8	9/2/83
400	9,10	9/6/83
Steady	11	9/7/83
"	12	9/8/83
"	13	9/9/83
"	14,15	9/12/83
"	16	9/13/83
"	17, 18	9/14/83
"	19, 20	9/15/83
"	21	9/16/83

The final data sheet lists the results and procedure used.

18 Feb 1953 — 1st Test Cycle — Steel Cabinet

																11	12	13
0920	Commenced tapping off		6		24 amp									volts		2.660	2.685	2.70
0937														7.4223		2.675	2.700	2.715
0945	Stopped charge.															2.675	2.700	2.715
					11						12				13			
		# 21455	T	V	Corr to 90	Code Voltage		T	V	Corr	Code Voltage		T	V	Code			
0956	Open circuit	1	75	2.226	+.001	2.227		77	2.220	+.001	2.221		79	2.226	+.001	2.227		
		Amp																
0957	Commenced discharge	14.99		2.001	+.002	2.004			1.993	+.003	1.996			2.000	+.003	2.003		
1003				1.992	+.003	1.995			1.988	.003	1.991			1.998	+.003	2.001		
1009				1.979		1.982			1.972		1.975			1.980		1.983		
1015				1.961		1.964			1.958		1.961			1.963		1.966		
1021				1.940		1.943			1.940		1943			1942		1945		
1027				1.920		1.923			1.917		1.920			1.920		1.923		
1033				1.898		1.901			1.894		1.897			1.896		1.899		
1039				1.861		1.864			1.860		1.863			1.860		1.863		
1045	Stopped discharge		79	1.817	+.004	1.821		82	1.819		1.823		83.5	1.811		1.815		
							12				13							Sealed to Solution
1045	Commenced charge I	I	V	G		T	V	G		T	V	G					25.62	
1048		5.18	79	2.115	—		82	2.115	—		83.5	2.125	—				24.85	
1100	12 02	6.00		2.160				2.160				2.175					0.77	
1112	12	6.00		2.186				2.193				2.207					25.73	
1124	12	6.00		2.217				2.219				2.230					24.85	
1136	12	6.00		2.239				2.245				2.254					0.63	
1148		6.00		2.260				2.266				2.278					25.73	
1200	12	6.00	83	2.288			86	2.290			87	2.302					24.96	
																	0.97	

18 Feb 1953 — 1st Test cycle — charge

TIME	REMARKS	I	T	11 V	CB G		T	12 V	C1 G		T	13 V	D4 G		Gas Temp	Mean Electrolyte Temp	TVG Volts
1212			83	2.320	Bottle 46.32		86	2.320	Bottle 46.23		87	2.335	Bottle 46.16			85.3	2.364
1220.5	Reduced to 5	6.00		2.365				2.352				2.364					2.365
1220.5	Increasing rate	2.40	83	2.272	29.97		86	2.270	30.41		87	2.284	30.61		27.7		
1229			84	2.276				2.274				2.289					
1235	5 Amps	2.40		2.282				2.280				2.301					
1241		2.40		2.293				2.291				2.308					
1247		2.40		2.308				2.304				2.320					
1253		2.40		2.320				2.317				2.334					
1259		2.40		2.340				2.331				2.352					
1305		2.40		2.363				2.349				2.380					
1311		2.40		2.402				2.379				2.425					
1317		2.40		2.470				2.425				2.499					
1323		2.40		2.540				2.484				2.580					
1329		2.40		2.580				2.573				2.670					
1335		2		2.601	28.74			2.608	29.32			2.698	29.16		25.9		
1341		2.40		2.620	28.07			2.630	28.76			2.670	28.70		25.4		
1347		2.40		2.621	28.03			2.641	28.66			2.655	28.57				
1349		2.40		2.622	28.56			2.642	28.35			2.660	28.26				
1353		2.40	4	2.625	27.34			2.644	28.00			2.661	27.90		25.8		
1359		2.40		2.627	26.61			2.649	27.37			2.662	27.42		26.9		
1405		2.40		2.632	26.19			2.652	26.86			2.664	26.87		26.0		
1411		2.40	→	2.634	25.98	→		2.654	26.17			2.666	26.33		26.0		
1417		2.40		2.634	2.50			2.653	25.68			2.666	2588		26.2		
1423		2.40		2.634	.937			2.653	25.12	→		2668	25.37		26.3		
1429		2.40		2.634	23.6			2.655	24.58			2.661	24.85		26.3		
1435		2.40		2.632	2323			2.652	2389			2667	2431		26.4		
1436	Stopped charge		86				90				90.6						

Coul Ef 25.62 — 2571 — 25.86

11 1 C TDS CYCLE #2 PULSA 4 6 1 SEC/POINT 4 NI ave

																	11	12	13
1035	Commenced Hyp c 11 6 24 amps															VM # 24385			
1043																	2.679	2.765	2720
1050																	2.676	2.705	2.720
1055	Stopped charge																		
1107	open circuit																2.231	772	2.235
1108	Commenced discharge			# 21435															
Time	Remarks					T	V			T	V			T	V				
1108				14.91		78	1.989			79	1.967			81	2.001				
1118							2.001				2.001				2.008				
1114				10.01			1.997				1.992				2.010				
1120				15.00			1.980				1.977				1.983				
1126							1.962				1.959				1.965				
1132							1.942				1.938				1.945				
1138							1.920				1.918				1.922				
1143							1.902				1.899				1.900				
1150							1.862				1.860				1.860				
1156	Stopped discharge					81	1.814			83	1.813			84	1.807				

Time	Remarks	I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	Gas Temp	Chrome				
1200	Start charge	6	81	2.092		83	2.099		84	2.108	-	-	-				
1215		6	81	2.160		83	2.160		84	2181							
1224			81.5	2192		83	3.194		84	2206							
1236			81	2219		83	2220		83.5	2235							
1248			80.5	2240		83	2242		83.5	2258							

# 11 Start	27.52
11	26.70
Δ	.82
12 Start	7.58
12	6.73
Δ	.85
13 Start	26.78
13	7.53
Δ	.75

19 Feb. '53 Test Cycle #2 Pulsating @ ~~1 cpm~~ (½ cpm.)

TIME	REMARKS	I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	Gas Temp	Chrome				
					50.46			50.77			50.88						
1300		6.00	80.5	2.261		82.5	2263		83.5	2280							
1312				2.294			2.297			2.309							
1324	TDC = 22.33		80	2.329		82.5	2.332		83	2.345							
1330.2	Hot TDC									2.372							
	Stopped chg																
		24.83															
1335	Resumed chg	2.40 pulse	80		33.58	82.5		33.44	83		34.16	26.8	27241				
1338				2.266			2.265			2.280							
1350				2.285			2.284			2.302							
~~1407~~																	
1453+													28345				
1454.	Δ = min												28381				
1554+													28408				
1402				2.304			2.302			2.317							
1414				2.325			2.323			2.340							
1426				2.360			2.350			2.373							
1438				2.453			2.421			2.520							
1450				2.580 2.630	32.70		1.510	32.72		2.620	32.35						
1456.				2.595	32.40		2.619	32.40		2.638	32.97						
1502				2.609	31.91		622	31.99		2645	32.54						
1504													32548				
1509				2.618	31.47		2629	31.54		2.653	32.14		✱				
1514				2.620	30.92		2.640	30.99		2.656	31.67						
1520				2.633	30.46	✱	2.648	30.44	✱	2.661	31.14						
1526			✱	2.626	29.88		2.648	29.86		2.660	30.70	26.9					
1528													34.16				
1532				2.626	29.34		2.645	29.34		2660	30.19	26.2					
1538				2.626	28.79		2.645	28.76		2.660	29.71	26.9					
1539													34670				

Test Run #3 - rectified 40 cps a.c. 25 Feb. 1953

		I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	
0851		2.4	Commenced "tapping off" charge.									
				#11			#12			#13		
0853				2655			2685			2695		
0857				2693			2720			2738		
0900		↓		2695			2720			2738		
0902		2.4	67	2695		68.5	2720		70	2734		
			Secured t.o. charge									
0911		0		2222			2220			2231		open ckt.
0914		0		2220			2208			2211		
0920				2200			2196			2205		
0920	Start	discharge										
0920.5		14.99		1960			1960			1977		
0926		14.99		1975			1968			1979		
0932		CORR=		1958			1949			1960		
0935		+0.01		1940			1932			1940		
0944		CURRENT		1921			1917			1922		
0950		=15.00		1902			1896			1902		
0956		↓		1875			1870			1875		
1002				1839			1834			1838		
1006			74	1781		75	1780		78	1779		
			Secured discharge									
1010		Commenced starting rate charge:										
1010		6.0										
1012				2102			2098			2104		
		Elect. ht			29.43			29.44			29.36	
		Final line			28.59			28.56			28.64	

Test Run #3 - rectified 40 cps a.c. 25 Feb. 1953

Time		I	T	#11 V	G	T	#12 V	G	T	#13 V	G		Temps		
1024		6.0		2166			2165			2179			Battle	top	hits:
1036		6.0		2200			2199			2213			4911	4922	4922
1048		6.0		2222			2224			2240					
1100		6.0		2245			2250			2261					
1112		6.0		2268			2274			2283					
1124		6.0		2300			2301			2317					
1136		6.0		2335			2339			2350					
1139		6.0		2343			2345			2360					
1140		0	Stopped charge												
1143	Start FR	2.40	83	2348	33.03	84	2250 33.07	32.07	85	2276	33.68				40
1155		CORR=		2.270			2.273			2.285					
1207		-.003		2.285			2.288			2.300					40
1219		2.397		2.305			2.308			2.320					40.5
1231		↓		2.334			2.330			2.347					40.5
1243				2.400			2.380			2.412					40
1255				2.528	32.45		2.494	32.63		2.560	32.07				39.7
1301				2.576	32.09		2.485	32.27		2.610	32.72		28.4		40
1309				2.592	32.33		2.609	31.84		2.622	31.67		28.4		40
1313				2.602	32.20		2.623	31.40		2.639	31.93				
1319				2.608	30.64		2.632	30.85		2.641	31.52		28.2		40
1325				2.612	30.13		2.638	30.28		2.648	31.01		28.1		40
1331				2.614	29.85		2.640	29.68		2.648	30.36		28.6		40
1337				2.616	29.01		2.640	29.12		2.650	29.99		29.0		40
1343				2.615	28.46		2.640	28.50		2.652	29.51		29.0		40
1349			91	2.615	27.93	94	2.640	27.97	95	2.650	29.02		29.0		40.5
1355				2.614	27.27		2.638	27.38		2.648	28.85		29.1		40.0
1401			92	2.608	26.78	95	2.637	26.85	96	2.646	28.04		29.2		40

1402 Stopped charge.

FRAME SYSTEM MTC 12V 1Hz - USV 220 HS ±1 cycle/m

4TH TEST CYCLE 2 MARCH '83

Time	Remarks	I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	GT	
1150	Commenced discharge	2.40		2.682			2.712			2.730			
1156				2.666			2.698			2.732			
1200			72	2.664		73	2.720		75	2.732			
1205				2.670			2.720			2.732			
1207				2.672			2.719			2.732			
1210				2.675			2.718			2.732			
1212				2.675									
1215	Stopped charge			2.673			2.715			2.732			
1217		1.49		1.991			1.995			1.997			d
1221				1.982			1.975			1.987			c
1228				1.961			1.960			1.970			7
1236		1.20		1.940			1.936			1.945			5
1229			77	1.930		81	1.925		81	1.937			a
1245				1.910			1.906			1.912			
1255				1.880			1.881			1.883			
1257				1.878			1.848			1.858			
1303	Stopped discharge		79	1.801		81	1.8[illegible]		83	1.806			
	I Start charge												
1311	6.0			2.125			2.121			2.132			
1323	6.0			2.162			2.162			2.179			
1335	6.0			2.196			2.198			2.211			
1347			81	2.219		84	2.220			2.237			
1359				2.240			2.241			2.259			
1412				2.262			2.268			2.282			

Time	Remarks	I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	GT	
1423	[illegible]	6.00	83	2.287		86	2.294		87	2.308			
1435	Stopped charge Time on meter			2.320			2.326			2.340			
1437	Resumed charge												
1442	[illegible]								87	2.86			
1451	Resumed charge	2.40			31.63			32.86			32.95	29.0	31.652
1509		.40		2.210			.74			2.81			
1610													7.197
1611													7.211
[illegible]													7.297
[illegible]				2.233			2.256			2.306			
1638.9				2.319			2.331			2.338			
1645			81	2.325		84	2.330		85	2.352			
[illegible]				2.3[illegible]			2.336			2.[illegible]			
[illegible]				2.512			2.[illegible]			2.[illegible]			
[illegible]				2.[illegible]	31.53		2.[illegible]	31.54		2.[illegible]	31.96		
1627			85	2.[illegible]	2.20		2.627	31.5[illegible]	81	2.644	31.87	21.0	
1633				2.66	2.[illegible]		2.640	[illegible]		2.633	31.[illegible]	21.[illegible]	
1639				2.[illegible]	2.[illegible]		2.645	80.38		2.[illegible]	2.[illegible]		49.12 — 643
1645				2.620	2.[illegible]		2.646	1.13		2.6[illegible]	30.41	24.0	
[illegible]				2.[illegible]	21.07		2.[illegible]	99.46		2.6[illegible]	2.[illegible]	20.1	
[illegible]				2.62	1.841		2.6[illegible]	.881		2.6[illegible]	29.[illegible]		
1650	[illegible]												

5TH TEST CYCLE 20 ma/sec 3/4/53

	I		5TH TEST CYCLE			20 MA/SEC			3/4/53						
0859	Commenced Logging @ 2.4 a.														
0901	2.4			2680							2737				
0913	2.4			2703							2748				
0921	Secured T.O. dly.			2703							2739				
	I			11			12				13				
	12 AH discharge:		T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G				
0926	15.0 current		73			74			77						
0927	15.0			1981			1980			1995					
0932	15.0			1987			1983			1998					
0938	↓			1972			1968			1979					
0944				1956			1948			1960					
0950				1938			1932			1940					
0956				1915			1908			1919					
1002				1890			1882			1892					
1008	↓			1854			1847			1858					
1014	15.0	Stopped discharge	77	1801		79	1796		81	1801					
	Commenced charging @ starting rate														
1015	6.0		77			79			81						
1017	↓		"	2102			2100			2110					
1027			"	2155			2154			2168					
1039			"	2195			2196			2209					
1051			"	2220			2221			2237					
1103			"	2241			2243			2259					

TIME	REMARKS	I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT		
1115	CONTINUED CHARGE	6.0	"	2264			2270			2283				
1127		↓	"	2296			2301			2315				
1139			"	2320			2343			2345				
1145	Put TVG #13	Check A.R. charge.												
1147	Cut in F.R.	2.40											RM	Flights
1149		2.4	82	2264	32.71	84	2269	32.77	85	2282	32.98	29.1	403	
1200				2280			2286			2300				20.5
1209	RC pegged.													
1210	Reset													
1212		2.4		2295			2298			2310				
1224		2.4	81	2321		83	2323		84	2339			404	
1229	RC out													
1233	Resume charge	2.4											3995	
1236		2.4		2341			2342			2360				
1239	F13G IVG								→	2365				
1243	RC out													
1247	FIXED!!	2.4												
1249		2.4		2359			2360			2380			402	
1300		2.4		2469			2445			2491			402.8	20.5
1312		2.4		2582	31.93		2588	32.13		2620	32.22	29		
1318		↓	83	2604	31.56	85	2620	31.70	86	2648	31.78			20.0
1324				2609	31.04		2640	31.27		2656	31.38	27.2		20.0
1330				2624	30.59		2646	30.76		2663	30.87	27.3		20.0
13				2630	30.08		2652	30.24	→	2669	30.43	27.3		20.0
1342				2632	29.59		2653	29.70		2668	29.79	27.6		
1348				2634	28.96	→	2654	29.16		2666	29.29	27.6	398.0	20.0
1358	Cut in F.R.	2.0	81	2632	28.44	90	2654	28.62	90	2668	28.71	27.7	f	
14		↓	→	2636	27.73		2653	28.01		2668	28.40	27.8		
1406				2634	27.21		2653	27.41		2667	27.85	28.0	20	
1412				2633	26.60		2650	26.82		2667	27.40	28.0		
1413	Stopped charge													

5 March 6th Test Cycle Steady current

		I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	OT
1049	Commence stepping off	2.40	77	2.652		79	2.688		80	2.702		
1056		↓		2.665			2.692			2.704		
1100		↓		2.668			2.693			2.705		
1106				2.667			2.693			2.705		
1108				2.667			2.692			2.701		
1109	Step change											
1110	Start dwell	1.99										
1111		↓	80	1993		82	1.995		84	2.008		
1116				2.001			1.997			2.006		
1122				1.986			1.980			1.990		
1128				1.965			1.958			1.967		
1134				1.948			1.942			1.952		
1140				1.928			1.920			1.929		
1146				1.899			1.892			1.900		
1152				1.860			1.860			1.866		
1158	Step change			1.819			1.814			1.818		
1200	Commence SR	6.0	86.5			88			90			
1203		6.0		2.109			2.107			2.119		
1212		6.0		2.147			2.145			2.161		
1224		6.0		2.183			2.182			2.199		
1236		6.0		2.208			2.210			2.223		
1248		6.0		2.229			2.232			2.246		
1300		6.0		2.270	2.250		2.258			2.270		
1312		6.0	86	2.279		89	2.280		89	2.295		
1324		6.0	87	2.313		89	2.300		89	2.334		

6TH CYCLE 5 March STEADY CURRENT

		I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	T
1330	Hot T.C. Stopped dwg	6.00	87	2.334		2.840	89		89	2.252		
1332	Resumed dwg, at 6A rate	2.4c			32.66			33.39			33.66	
1335		2.40		2.260			2.260			2.272		29.64
1346		↓		2.272	2.250		2.275			2.290		
1356				2.286	33.30		2.289			2.301		
1408				2.322			2.307			2.320		
1420				2.335			2.335			2.350		
1432				2.379			2.377			2.393		
1444				2.483			2.493			2.513		
1456				2.572	31.75		2.593	32.51		2.612	32.15	29.1
1458	Stopped				2			2				
1502-30	Resumed	2.40										
1506				2.588	32.03		2.612	32.77		2.630	32.44	31.1
1514				2.609	31.46		2.628	32.20		2.640	31.87	
1522			8	2.609	30.76		2.631	31.46		2.651	31.25	28.8
1528				2.615	30.24		2.641	30.90		2.659	30.73	28.8
1534				2.618	29.75		2.646	30.38		2.662	30.23	
1540				2.621	29.20		2.648	29.82		2.664	29.73	28.0
1546				2.618	28.60		2.647	29.17		2.663	29.01	28.0
1552				2.620	28.15		2.648	28.65		2.663	28.60	28.0
1558			89	2.619	27.52	92	2.648	27.96	92	2.662	28.01	28.0

Note - Cathetometer was moved after reading on level at start of final-heating rate. Level was estimated from bottom of caps of glass.

				New bottom	32.57		1.86	34.22			33.89	
Δmax	129		-29		1.86	-25		186				
	100		38.36		1.61	-.97		155	31			

9 March 1953 — Steady current Test — 7th CYCLE

			I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT
1047	Commenced by off		2.40	72			74			75			
1051					2.633			2.665			2.672		
--					2.644			2.676			2.688		
1100					2.652			2.680			2.695		
1103.5					2.658			2.684			2.700		
1106.5					2.660			2.689			2.704		
1111					2.662			2.690			2.708		
1117					2.662			2.695			2.707		
1127				76	2.662		79	2.694		81	2.707		
1129	Stopped charge												
1130	Started discharging (12 AH)	14.99											
	Elapsed 2 hrs												
1132	(-10)				1998			1996			2004		
1136					1989			1983			1995		
1148	0003				1971			1965			1978		
1154	0008				1956			1947			1959		
1158	10				1934			1930			1940		
1220	20				1918			1912			1919		
1226	26				1895			1887			1894		
1232	32				1862			1859			1862		
1239	38	Second discharge			1814			1813			1818		

9 March 1953 — Steady C. [illegible] Test. 7th cycle → 12th — Battle Temp { 11 - 48.37, 12 - 48.45, 13 - 48.45

Elapsed Time	Time	Remarks	I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	G.T.	
0	1220		6.0											
2	1222				2108	"		2103			2114			
6	1226		↓		2133	"		2129			2141			
12	1232				2156	"		2155			2163			
24	1244			32/20	2190	32.70	32/26	2189	32.86	32/26	2203	32.86		
36	1256				2216	"		2218			2231			
50	1310				2240	"		2245			2257			
60	1320				2261	"		2265			2281			
1:12	1332				2291	"		2298			2309			
1:24	1340			83	2327	"	86	2324		87	2346			TVG = 2.36 @ 87°
1·28	1348	Reached TVG Stopped charge								87	2360			
0·00	1352	Resumed 6 Amp cab 240		6	2.240	32.70		2.246	32.89		2.268	32.86		
0·12	1404			84	2.270		87	2.272		88	2.286	"		
0·24	1416			"	2.286			2.289			2.282			
0·36	1428			"	2.305			2.306			2.320		29.8	
0·48	1440				2.328			2.327			2.341			
1·00	1452				2.348			2.364			2.379			
1·12	1504				2.426			2.439			2.455			
1·24	1516				2.570			2.574			2.591		28.0	
1·30	1522			→ 6	2.586	31.54		2.601	31.86		2.617	31.76	28.0	
1·36	1528				2.600	31.06		2.619	31.41		2.633	31.42	28.0	
1·42	1534				2.618	30.61		2.627	30.92		2.642	30.94	27.9	
1·48	1540				2.612	30.65		2.634	30.93		2.648	30.98	27.8	
1·54					2.619	29.55		2.631	29.90		2.652	29.97	27.7	
2·00					2.620	28.99		2.640	29.38		2.654	29.41	27.6	
2·06					2.620	28.45		2.641	28.83		2.651	28.93	27.6	

9 March — STEADY CURRENT — 7TH CYCLE

Elapsed Time	Clock Time	Remarks	I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT	
2·12	1604	Continuing from cab	2.40		2.626	27.89	—	2643	28.28		2.657	28.46	27.7	
2·18			2.40	→	2.621	27.38		2640	27.74		2.657	27.91	27.8	
2·24					2.619	26.84		2639	27.17	—	2.658	27.31	27.8	
2·30					2.621	26.43		2639	26.83		2.658	26.92	28.0	
2·36				92	2.621	25.65	95	2638	26.08	95	2.656	26.[illegible]	28.0	
2·36½	Stopped charge													

23 MARCH 1953 TEST CYCLE #8 3 Mcm

					I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT	inG
1048	Commenced topping off				24	81	2.613		81	2.652		83	2.666			
1103							2.636			2.660			2.673			
1111							2.650			2.662			2.678			
1116							2.632			2.662			2.675			
1121							2.634			2.662			2.676			
1126							2.634			2.664			2.676			
1128	Stopped charge Commenced timing cycle (1-12)															
1150.2	0				14.58											
	1.6		2.25		V	87	1956		88	1596		90	2003			
	6		2.25				1990			1983			1997			
	12		6				1975			1965			1978			
	18						1958			1941			1960			
	24						1939			1921			1941			
	30						1918			1901			1920			
	36						1895			1883			1898			
	42		6				1862			1854			1863			
1238	48		3				1820			1811			1821			
1240	Commenced stating rate															
1240	00				6.0											
	04					A	2117		92	2100		92	2126			
	12						2146			2130			2150			
	24						2.180			2.178			2.197			
	36						2.204			2.197			2.220			
	48						2.224			2.224			2.242			
								32.19			32.52			32.53	33.2°	
							Battle list →	48.25			48.25			48.21		

23 March Test Cycle #8 2 Mcm

					I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT	f	Control/Inlet
1340	60	Continuous start rate -			6.0		2.245	32.19		2.248	32.52		2.266	32.53			
	1:12	Stalled/fan				92	2.273		94	2.274		94	2.295		33.9°		TM 0
	1:26	Stopped "				91	2.310		93	2.312		94	2.334				2.234
	1:28	Stopped charge - 1:28 TM					2.316			2.319			2.338				
1410.2	0:00	Resumed charge		2.4		91			93			94				3	
	0-12						2.255			2.254			2.273			3.03	557-576 60
	0-24						2.266			2.265			2.283				
	0-36						2.282			2.283			2.301				
	0-48					91	2.306		94	2.304		94	2.321			3.00	TM 60V 60
1510.2	1-00						2.337			2.3[illegible]			2.350				
	1-12						2.401			2.397			2.406			3.03	565 - 784 2
	1-25						2.515			2.505			2.526				
	1-36						2.564	30.86		2.576	31.15		2.593	31.2	31.7		
	1-42						2.575	30.42		2.588	30.80		2.608	30.99	31.7	3.03	2nd TM 181
	1-48						2.580	29.91		2.592	30.31		2.615	30.50	31.6		
	1-54						2.584	29.18		2.595	29.83		2.622	30.16	31.5		
	2-00						2.592	28.81		2.602	29.27		2.624	29.89	31.2		
	2-06						2.595	28.32		2.602	28.75		2.626	29.09	31.0	3.00	526 146
	2-12						2.598	27.75		2.604	28.21		2.628	28.63	31.0		
	2-18						2.598	2.723		2.599	2.774		2.625	2.815	30.6		
	2-24						2.592	2.664		2.599	27.14		2.621	2.768	31.0		
	2-30						2.592	2.605		2.599	2.661		2.626	27.14	31.1		
	2-31	Stopped charge -															

25 MARCH 1953 TEC CYCLE # 9 100 N/mm

						I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	GT	f	
0905	0	Commenced Topping off				2.40											350	
	0-09						77	2663		78	2647		80	2702				
	0-18							2.666			2679			2.707				
	23	Loose wt leads connection on 4/12						2662			2692			2703				
	28							662			682			2.702				
		Adjusted loom charging chr																
0956	0	Comm discharge				N99	81			84			85					
	0-06			3.33		↓		1.976			1982			2.006				
	06			5.15				1.997			1990			2.001				
	12			6				1979			1972			1983				
	18							1.960			1956			1.963				
	24							1939			1934			1942				
	30							1.920			1912			1921				
	36							1.890			1883			1.894				
	42							1 855			1 [illegible]			1 [illegible]				
1044	0-48	Stopped discharge						1.803			1.798			1.804				
1051	0-00	Started charge				6.00	83			86			87					
	C 05							2.146			2.14			[illegible]				
1105	C 05-09	Stopped to tighten connection					Note	1 cell discol 1 row		←								
11-1.11	0-09 46	resumed charge				6.00												
	0-18							2.158			2.166			2205				
	0-24							2.202			2.203			2.220				
	0-36							2222			2235			2.241				
	0-48							2.249			2.252			2266				

CYCLE #9 25 MARCH '53 100% I					T	V	G	T	V	I	T	V	G	GT	f	RPM
		Weighted Cell Reps					4814			4922			4823			
12-42	1-00	Continuing Steel rate	6.00			2269			2275			2291				
	1-12				84	2 300			2309		8	2.322				Tvl - 2.356
	1-23-48	Stopped charge			85	2 397		88	2.341		88.5	2.366				
1229	Resumed & finishing rate		2 40												100	
	0-06				86	2259	3283	88	2263	31.80	89	2276	31.95		999	1998.5
	0-12			↓		2268			2270			2282				
	0-18					2280			2.284			2.300				
	0-24					2295			2.299			2.312				
	0-48					2.315			2.318			2.332			1000	2012
	1-00					2.340			2.341			2.356				
	1-12				85	2.379		88	2.379		89	2.388				
	1-24					2.445			2.440			2.452			100	2000
	1-36					2.543			2.562			2.568				
	1-49					2.583	30.61		2.610	30.14		2.619	32.44	29.0		
	1-54					2 693	30.79		2619	29.73		2 627	30.01	29.0		
	2-00				87	2.600	29.81	91	2.625	29.26	92	2.639	29.65	29.0		
	2 06					2.604	29.24		2.631	28.65		2.640	29.08	29.0		
	2-12					2.606	28.79		2.632	28.22		2643	28.72	29.0		
	2 18					2.609	28.73		2.634	27.67		2.644	28.18	29.0		
	2-24					2.610	27.75		2.636	2709		2.645	2272	29.0		
	2-30				→	2.612	27.21	→	2.637	2656	→	2.646	2718	29.0	99.9	1997.5
	2 36					2 608	2664		2 636	26.00		2.643	26.1	29.0		
	2 42					2.606	26.13		2 634	25.44		2 644	26.6	29.0		
	2 48					2.606	25.54		2633	24.87		2644	25.70	29.1		
	2 48.5	SI Aperd [illegible]														

26 March 1953 Test run # 10 400 ~

			I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G
approx												
1032	00	Top off	2.4		~~2677~~			~~2674~~			~~2686~~	
	04		↓		2639			2678			2686	
	06				2692			2679			2690	
	12				2643			2680			2692	
	18				2645			2690			2694	
→	21				2643			2679			2693	
	23				2692			2678			2692	
	24	Secured										
1056	0	Comm. discharge										
	0-6		14.99	83	2006		85	2027		87	2014	
	06				2003			1994			2007	
	12				1.986			1.978			1.988	
	18				1.965			1.959			1.966	
	24				1.946			1.939			1.948	
	30				1.922			1.918			1.923	
	36				1.899			1.890			1.899	
	42				1.860			1.854			1859	
1144	48	Stop discharge			1813			1.804			1.810	
1145	0	Start charge 60										
	0-3		↓	86	2102		88	2.100		89	2111	
	1-12			"	2148			2146			2162	
	24			"	2195			2187			2200	

26 March, 1953 Test run # 10 400 ~

Time	—		I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	GT	RPM	f	CPM = 400 ~ 3.529
	0:36		6.00		2211	(48.17)		2214	(49.19)		2.225	(48.19)				
	0:48		↓		2.295			2239			2252					
1245	1:00				2.258			2.263			2.280					
	1:12			86	2.286		88	2.293		89	2.308					TVC = 2.552
	1:24				2.322	32.73		2328	32.505		2345	32.49				
	1:26	Stopped 4th TVC								89	2.352					
1312	0-00	Resumed	2.40			32.73			32.505			32.48				
	0-02	[illegible]			2248			2259			2272					
	0-12	0.2			2265			2268			2283					
	0-24	0.4	2.40		2280			2282			2299					
	0-36	0.6			2.298			2.302			2317			3500		
	0-48	0.8			2.311			2.321			2338					
	1-00	1.0		85.5	2.349		88	2351		88.5	2363					
	1-12	1.2			2389			2399			2412			3500		
	1-24	1.4			2482			2497			2518					
	1-36	1.6			2570			2592			2611					
→	1-42	1.7			2.583	3135		2.604	3105		2.626	3106	28.7			
	1-48	1.8		87	2.593	3092	90	2.621	3060	90.5	2.637	3055	28.7	3500		
	1-54	1.9			2.603	3041		2.628	3012		2.643	3012	28.6			
	2-00	2.0			2.605	2995		2.632	2960		2.649	2959	28.3			
	2-06	2.1			2.608	2940		2.636	2907		2.652	2911	28.4			
	2-12	2.2			2.605	2888		2.636	2851		2.664	2855	28.6	3500		
	2-18	2.3		→	2.610	2838	→	2.637	2796		2.654	2803	28.8			
	2-24	2.4			2.610	2784		2.636	2744	→	2.655	2752	28.9			
	2-30	2.5			2.610	2.734		2.636	2.698		2.653	2.696	29.0	3500		
	2-36	2.6			2.605	2.693		2.636	2.652		2.651	2.646	28.9			
	2-37	Stop charge														

1 April 1953 Cycle # 11 7¾ ~

							11			12			13				
					I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G			
0805					0		2121			2124			2137				
0809	00	Commenced Tapering off			2.4												
	06						2643			2681			2694				
	08					72			73			75					
	12						2660			2690			2702				
	14						2668			2696			2706				
	24						3664			2696			2703				
	24:07	Secured				75			76.5			78.5					
		Commence discharge			E												
0837	00				14.99												
	01			12"	↓		1980			1979			1991				
	02:30			2½	↓		1984			1983			1995				
	06			4½			1981			1978			1986				
	12						1963			1959			1969				
	18					77	1945		79	1940		81	1951				
	24						1926			1921			1928				
	30						1905			1900			1908				
	36						1879			1875			1880				
	42						1840			1833			1839				
	48					80	1768		83	1759		85	1762				
0927	0	Commenced charge			600												
	05					5	2,124			2.122			2.135				
	12					7	2.152			7.152			2.164				
	24					12	2.188			2.188			2.202				

1 April 1953 Cycle #A 7¾ ~ Same TVI 2.364 @ 15.5

							11			12			13				
					I	T	V	G	T	V	G	T	V	G	GT	f	
1003	0-36	Continuing steel rate			6.0	12	2215	(48.22)	2.5	2.219	(48.24)		2230	(46.28)			
	0-48				↓	13	2241			2.245			2.256				
1027	1-00				V	83	2262		85	2.267		87	2.275				
	1-12					83	2.290	32.52	85	2.300	32.52	86	2312	32.38			
	1-24					82	2329		84.5	2.338		85.5	2.349				
	1-28	Stop 1.467 hrs.				7	2.346			2.352			2.364				
1102	0-00	Comm			2.4		2244			2248						7.76	
	0-02				↓	82	2.241		85	2 248		86	2259				
	0-12						2268			2.273			2.283				
	0-24						2.281			2.290			2.301				
	0-36						2.303			2.307			2.311			7.79	
	0-48						2.324			2.329			2.338				
	1-00					83	2.358		86	2.358		87	2.363				
	1-12						2.415			2411			2415				
	1-24						2519			2536			2524				
	1-36						2580			2608			2614				
	1-42						2.682	3088		2.621	30.74		2.627	3083			
	1-48						2.593	30.45		2628	30.16		2.638	30.1			
	1-54						2603	29.86		2.634	29.85		2.643	29.96	27.8		
	2 00						2.608	29.46		2.639	29.23		2643	29.45	27.9	7.77	
2.1	2-06	Bad channel → w HIS valve				→	2.611	29.01	→	2,646	28.68		2,637	2884	27.9		
	2-12						2.610	28.49		2.640	2813		2.645	2848	28.0		
	2-18						2667	27.98		2640	27.57		2.647	2785	28.0		
2.4	2-24						2608	27.47		2639	27.04	→	2650	27.49	28.0		
	2-30						2607	2695		2.639	26.45		2.647	27.93	28.0		
	2-36						2.604	2646		2.639	2591		2648	26.46	28.0	7.80	
	2-37.2	Stopped															

(Temp on 3.4 Mixture)

Voltage ripple 0.054 max to peak 6 sec. • 7.76

8 APRIL 1953 TEST CYCLE #12 STEADY

					I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT			
0840		Commenced Lowering			2.4													
	0	0-04			↓	59	2.220		58.5	2.250		61	2.259					
		09					2.221			2.252			2.262					
		14					2.221			2.255			2.262					
		19					2.220			2.255			2.262					
		24				62.5	2.219		63.5	2.251		67	2.261					
0909	0	Comm. climb			4.99													
	0-15			3.75	↓		1.961			1.959			1.977					
	06			3.25			1.962			1.948			1.966					
	12			6			1.946			1.932			1.948					
	18						1.928			1.916			1.938					
	24						1.908			1.897			1.912					
	30						1.884			1.871			1.885					
	36						1.852			1.840			1.853					
	42			5.75			1.800			1.782			1.796					
0952	47.5			3.25			1.6[illegible]			1.620			1.631			Note: 6.V. L. = 1.6		
	48	Assumed				71			72	1.60		74.5						
									—	Low cell	—							
0959	0	Comm. climb			6.00													
	02				↓		2.110			2.113			2.118					
	15						2.169			2.171			2.181					
	24						2.199			2.201			2.212					
	36						2.223			2.230			2.241					
	48					76	2.250		74	2.259		79	2.271					

8 APRIL 1953 TEST CYCLE #12 CTD STEADY

					I	T	11 V	G	T	12 V	G	T	13 V	G	GT			
1059	1-00	Continue SR			6.00		2.276	48.23		2.284	4825		2.299	(49.29)		Bottle	Up Readings	
	1-12				↓	78	2.306	32.46	80	2.319	32.95	81	2.332	32.45		TVG	for 82	2.373
	1-24				↓	79	2.349		81	2.358		82	2.367					
	1-26	Assumed SR																
1126	0	Start FR			2.90													
	0-03				↓		2.264			2.268			2.281					
	0-13						2.277			2.280			2.293					
	0-24						2.287			2.296			2.306					
	0-36						2.305			2.312			2.323					
	0-48						2.325			2.330			2.342					
	1-00					81	2.352		83	2.360		83.5	2.369					
	1-12						2.401			2.410			2.416					
	1-24						2.498			2.527			2.525					
	1-36						2.579	3141		2.610	3133		2.616	3142	25.7			
	1-42						2.591	3105		2.614	3089		2.632	3104	25.6			
	1-48						2.600	3065		2.626	3038		2.641	3059	25.6			
	1-54					84	2.606	3023	87	2.640	2992	87	2.647	3016	25.6			
	2-00						2.610	2973		2.644	2933		2.652	2965	25.5			
	2-06						2.612	2927	→	2.643	2886		2.653	2919	25.6			
	2-12						2.614	2875		2.643	2831	→	2.656	2870	25.8			
	2-18					→	2.615	2829		2.643	2775		2.656	2819	25.9			
	2-24					87	2.612	2777	91	2.642	2715	91	2.656	2768	26.0			
	2-30						2.609	2732		2.642	2664		2.655	2719	26.0			
	2-31-05	Assumed.																

28 April — Cycle E1 — Mod

				T	T	V	C	T	V	C	—	V	C			
1218	C			84												
	c 12			1	75	2.160		77	2.161		79	2.162				
	c 25				77	2.168		79	2.171		81	2.201				
	c 36	Stopped clock														
		Comm dual		4	77			80			81 5					
	01			↓		1.980			1.969			1.984				
	05					1.982			1.972			1.986				
	10	Stopped clock			78	1.962		80.5	1.958		82	1.972				
		Could not begin G					(48 18)			(48 24)			(48 24)			
1012.5	-05	Comm chg		2.4			32.00			32.30			32.46			
1017.5	00	Started														
	04			↓	78	2.194		81	2.192		82.5	2.202				
	14					2.210			2.209			2.220				
	19					2.234			2.234			2.242				
	31	(Comm stopped)				2.277			2.278			2.292				
	48				79	2.322		82	2.330		83	2.342				
1012.5	1-06					2.441		82	2.445			2.506				
	1-06					2.64	31.2		2.641			2.651	31.75			
1019.5	1-12					2.628	31.13		2.662	31.23		2.679	31.48	27.6		
1026.5	1-18					2.631	30.63		2.669	30.76		2.683	31.02	27.2		
1033.5	1-24			↓		2.642	30.10		2.672	30.21	→	2.687	30.52	27.0		
1047.5	1-30					2.660	29.50	→	2.673	29.55		2.685	29.71	27.0		
1054.5	1-36					2.662	29.27		2.670	29.10		2.683	29.53	27.0		
1101.5	1-42					2.649	28.51		2.668	28.55		2.682	29.01	26.8		
1152		Stopped														

28 April Cycle E2 — Slow Equipment

					I	T	11 V	C	T	12 V	C	T	13 V	C	GT		
12 48	Comm	Crash			14.81	80.5			85			85					
	c 01				↓		2.010			2.000			2.019				
	0-05						1.998			1.995			2.002				
12 58	c 10	Stopped clock				81.5	1.972		85	1.962		85	1.979				
1200	0-00	Comm		F.R.	2.4			32.31			32.37			32.67			
	02					↓	2.160			2.157			2.168				
	12						2.205			2.206			2.217				
	24						2.227			2.224			2.238				
	36						2.253			2.252			2.264				
	48						2.306			2.309			2.323				
1400	1 00					82.5	2.481		85	2.502		85	2.539				
	1-06						2.608	31.81		2.643	31.71		2.665	32.10	28.0		
	1-12						2.624	31.51		2.659	31.45		2.678	31.84	28.0		
	1-18						2.632	31.00		2.663	30.89		2.682	31.34	27.9		
	1-24						2.637	30.45	→	2.666	30.25	→	2.684	30.80	27.8		
	1 30					→	2.629	29.97		2.665	29.79		2.684	30.21	27.7		
	1 36						2.638	29.41		2.669	29.25		2.682	29.79	27.7		
	1-42					86	2.631	28.78	90	2.661	28.63	90	2.680	29.25	27.8		
	1-43	Stopped															

29 Apr 1953 Cycle C3 STEADY

			I	T	11 V	G	T	12 U	G	T	13 V	G	GT		
0808	0-00	Commenced tipping Off	2.4		2.668										
	05			72.5	2.668		74	2.699		76	2.720				
	12				2.679			2.702			2.721				
	18				2.679			2.702			2.721				
	21				2.679			2.702			2.721				
0830	0-00	Comm. charge.	14.29		1.98										
	01			75	1.980		78	1.969		79.5	1.987				
	05				1.982			1.974			1.989				
	10			76	1.964		79	1.954		80	1.960				
0841	00	Start charge	2.4		32.26	32.26		32.29	32.29			32.99			
	08		↓		2.197			2.196			2.207				
	12				2.211			2.209			2.220				
	24				2.232			2.233			2.242				
	36				2.261			2.260			2.275				
	48			77	2.321		80	2.325		81	2.340				
0941	1-00				2.473			2.526			2.533				
	1-06				2.619	31.87		2.655	32.35	76	2.662	32.61	26.9		
	1-12				2.644	31.42		2.681	31.91		2.692	32.22	26.0		
	1-18				2.656	30.89		2.685	31.27		2.700	31.67	26.1		
	1-24			→	2.659	30.36		2.685	30.71	→	2.701	31.17	26.0		
	1-30				2.659	29.80		2.685	30.13		2.699	30.64	26.0		
	1-36				2.657	29.20		2.682	29.51		2.699	30.09	26.2		
	1-36.5	Stopped		82			85			86					

Cycle C4 29 April 1953 STEADY

			I	T	11 U	G	T	12 U	G	T	13 V	G	GT		
1017	00	Start discharge	14.98			[48.18]			[48.20]			[48.A]		Kw/h	hp dc
	01			82	2.020		85	2.010		86	2.030				
	05				2.007			1.998			2.018				
1029	10			82.5	1.994		86	1.981		86.5	1.983				
1054	0	Start charge	2.4			29.06			29.34			29.94			
	01				2.146			2.149			2.161				
	12				2.202			2.201			2.213				
	24				2.224			2.223			2.239				
	36				2.253			2.251			2.266				
	48			81	2.317		84	2.319		84.5	2.333				
1130	1-00				2.403			2.402			2.422				
	1-06				2.608	28.66		2.611	28.96		2.622	29.58	27.0		
	1-12				2.635	28.1		2.661	28.47		2.671	29.17	27.0		
	1-18				2.641	27.67		2.693	27.93		2.701	28.46	27.0		
	1-24			→	2.643	27.11	→	2.662	27.39	→	2.670	28.10	27.0		
	1-30				2.64	26.55		2.664	26.74		2.667	27.38	27.1		
	1-36				2.638	25.99		2.666	26.15		2.664	27.04	27.1		
	1-36.5	Stopped		85			87			87.5					

30 APRIL 1953 cycle 85 STEADY

			I	T	11 V	G	T	13 V	G	T	15 V	A	GT		
1241	Commenced charge		2.4			[48.18]			[48.18]			[48.19]		[Bulb	tips]
	04			71	2.670		73	2.704		74.5	2.724				
	12				2.678			2.708			2.724				
	19				2.681			2.710			2.724				
	↓				2.681			2.708			2.722				
1306	25	Ammed													
1307	00	Commenced discharge	15 MIN		~~1980~~			~~1972~~			~~1991~~				
	01			73.5	1.980		77	1.972		78	1.991				
	05				1.982			1.974			1.989				
	10			75	1.963		77.5	1.954		78.5	1.965				
1318	00	Comm charge	2.4												
	07		↓	2	2.164	32.68		2.168	32.66		2.178	32.82			
	12				2.216			2.215			2.223				
	24				2.238			2.236			2.243				
	36				2.264			2.262			2.280				
	48			76	2.334		79	2.353		80	2.353				
	1-00				2.415			2.494			2.484				
	1-06				2.619	32.21		2.658	32.17		2.665	32.34	27.2		
	1-12				2.651	31.85		2.682	31.71		2.696	31.96	27.0		
	1-18			↓	2.659	31.36		2.687	31.16	↓	2.702	31.45	26.8		
	1-24				2.659	30.81	↓	2.690	30.57		2.701	30.91	26.9		
	1-30				2.659	30.21		2.686	29.95		2.701	30.37	27.0		
	1-35	Stopped		83	2.653	29.66	86	2.682	29.35	87	2.700	29.84	27.0		
						.10			1.22			1.61			

METER DATA 3/4/53

TYPE		RANGE	MODEL	SERIAL	APPLICATION	CALIBRATED
AMMETER		0-25 ADC	WESTON 45	21455	DISCH. CURRENT	YES
"		0-3 DC	do.	57592	COMPARISON CHG. CURRENT	AT 2.4 PT
"		0-5 DC	do.	24583	CHG. CURRENT	YES
"		0-10 DC	do	27771	do.	YES
"		0-2 DC	do	59777	AMPERITE IDLE CURRENT	AT 2.4 AMP.
"		0-15 DC	do	31116	do	YES
VOLTMETER		0-3 DC	do	24338	CELL VOLTAGE	YES
"		0-2 DC	do	24336	SHARE	YES
INCL. MTR		20-80 AC	FRAHM SYSTEM	10-124		
			J.G. BIDDLE	161-USN-202115	A.C. AREA	NO
BRUSH RECORDERS			BL 202	602		NO
CATHODE RAY OSCILLOSCOPE			DUMONT 304H	7683		
THERMOMETER		0-120°F	TAYLOR	NONE	CELL #11 ELECT.	NO
"		20-150°F	ALA Mfg Co.	393	" #12 "	NO
"		20-150°F	EXIDE #V6864	NONE	" #13 "	NO
		10-50°C	UNKNOWN	NONE	" #14 "	NO
GALVANOMETER			LEN			
VARIABLE RATIO REDUCTION GEAR						

JUL 2 BINDERY
AUG 31 BINDERY
NOV 12 342-DUP
19 Dec'72 INTERLIBRARY LOAN
Gould, St. Paul, Minn.

Thesis
B546 20738
Blair
An investigation of the effects of pulsating charging current on the performance of lead-acid storage cells.
AUG 31 BINDERY
NOV 12 342-DUP
19 Dec'72 INTERLIBRARY LOAN
Gould, St. Paul, Minn.

Thesis
B546 20738
Blair
An investigation of the effects of pulsating charging current on the performance of lead-acid storage cells.

Library
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

thesB546
An investigation of the effects of pulsa
3 2768 002 13526 1
DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY