| Reports and Technical Reports | All Technical Reports Collection |
| --- | --- |

1981-02

# Detection of a target leaving intermittent traces

## Washburn, Alan R.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

https://hdl.handle.net/10945/29246

NPS55-81-007

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
## Monterey, California

DETECTION OF A TARGET LEAVING INTERMITTENT TRACES

by

A. R. Washburn

February 1981

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
Prepared for:

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA


Rear Admiral J. J. Ekelund
Superintendent

D. A. Schrady
(Acting) Provost

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|---|---|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>NPS55-81-007 | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4. TITLE *(and Subtitle)*<br><br>Detection of a Target Leaving Intermittent Traces | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED<br>Technical |
| | | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br><br>A. R. Washburn | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br><br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, CA 93940 | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS<br><br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, CA 93940 | | 12. REPORT DATE<br>February 1981 |
| | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>14 |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS*(if different from Controlling Office)* | | 15. SECURITY CLASS. *(of this report)*<br>Unclassified |
| | | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT *(of this Report)*

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT *(of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)*

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS *(Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)*

Detection, Search, Intermittent

20. ABSTRACT *(Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)*

A target reveals its presence by occasional emission of "blobs" of material that are temporarily detectable by a searcher moving in the same medium. The main question addressed is "how long will it take the searcher to detect a blob?" Diffusion of the blobs in two and three dimensions is included as a special case.

1.  Summary:  It sometimes happens that a physical phenomenon (target)
leaves occasional traces of its existence as patches of emitted material
(blobs) that may temporarily grow in size, but which ultimately dissipate
and become undetectable.  In this report we investigate the chances of
contacting one of these blobs, assuming that the target moves in its medium
enough to prevent effective overlap of one blob on another.  Search is assumed
to be random within some confined region by a sensor capable of detecting a
given concentration of emitted material; the effect of this assumption is to
make the contact rate at any time proportional to the total projected length
(in two dimensions) or area (in three dimensions) of all extant blobs.  The
random search assumption is made for analytical convenience; nonetheless,
the assumption is often a surprisingly accurate representation of real
searches, particularly when the target is moving.

Let C be the mean number of times that a blob is contacted.  Formulas
for C are derived in sections 3 and 4 for the cases of diffusion in two and
three dimensions.  As long as  C  is much smaller than 1, the mean time  $E(T)$
until the first blob is contacted is approximately an exponential random
variable with mean  $1/\lambda C$, where  $\lambda$  is the Poisson rate at which blobs are
emitted.  Actually, $1/\lambda C$  is a slight underestimate of  $E(T)$, as is shown
in sec. 2.  Exact formulas for the probability distribution of  T  (formula
A4) and  $E(T)$  (formula A5) valid for all  C  are derived in the appendix.

The blob that is detected may be an old one if blobs have a long
lifetime.  The time required to detect a blob whose age does not exceed  $t_o$
could be analyzed by truncating the rate of detection function at
$t_o$;  i.e., $r(x) = 0$  for  $x > t_o$.  The effect would be to reduce the
dimensionless constant in formulas (9) and (16).

## 2. The mean time to detection exceeds $1/\lambda C$

On account of the random search assumption, the total number of contacts when the blob emission times are given is a non-homogeneous Poisson process. Let $Y(t)$ be the mean value function of this process, and let $X(t)$ be the actual number of contacts up to time $t$. Since $Y(t)$ is itself a stochastic process, $X(t)$ is doubly stochastic [1]. Since $X(t)$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $Y(t)$, $E(X(t)|Y(t)) = Y(t)$, and $E(X(t)) = E(Y(t))$ by conditional expectations. This is handy because $E(Y(t))$ is easy to predict in equilibrium. In fact, let $C$ be the average number of contacts per blob (see sections 3 and 4 for examples of how $C$ can be computed). Assuming that blobs are emitted at the Poisson rate $\lambda$, we must have

$$(1) \qquad E(X(t)) = E(Y(t)) = \lambda Ct$$

It follows that the average time between contacts is $1/\lambda C$. However, this is not the same thing as the mean time $E(T)$ until the first contact, since the origin of $T$ is meant to be an arbitrary point in the process, rather than a point at which a detection has just occurred and for which $Y(t)$ is therefore likely to be large.

Since $T$ is a positive random variable, $E(T) = \int_0^\infty P(T > t)dt$, and therefore $E(T) = E(\int_0^\infty P(T > t|Y(t))dt)$ by conditional expectations. But the event $(T > t)$ is the same as the event $(X(t) = 0)$, and $P(X(t) = 0|Y(t)) = \exp(-Y(t))$, so

$$(2) \qquad E(T) = E\left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-Y(t))dt\right) \geq \int_0^\infty \exp(-E(Y(t)))dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda Ct)dt = 1/\lambda C \; ,$$

2

where the inequality is Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function exp(-x). So the mean time to the first contact exceeds $1/\lambda C$, in general. The condition for $E(T)$ to be approximately $1/\lambda C$ is that $Y(t)$ should not be "very random". Intuitively, this will be the case if the contribution due to a single blob is small; i.e., if $C \ll 1$. This is correct, but the proof is not trivial, so we defer it to the appendix.

### 3. Evaluation of $C$ for turbulent diffusion in the ocean

In [4], it is stated that the diffusion of substance from a point in the ocean is essentially a two dimensional problem, since there is very little vertical mixing compared to turbulent horizontal processes. Several radially symmetric formulas are offered to describe the way in which the concentration of a substance $s(t,r)$ changes with distance $(r)$ and time $(t)$ from the point of injection, most of which are special cases of

$$(3) \qquad s(t,r) = \frac{M}{\pi(\beta t)^{2\alpha/b}\Gamma(1+2/b)} \exp\left(-r^b/(\beta t)^\alpha\right),$$

where $M$ is the amount of material released, $\alpha$ and $b$ are dimensionless, and $\beta$ has whatever units are required to make $s(t,r)$ have units of material per unit area. $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function, and is required in (3) in order to make the volume underneath $s(t,r)$ equal to $M$ for all $t$. The case of classical diffusion is $\alpha = 1$, $b = 2$.

At time $t$ after injection, a concentration sensor will be able to detect the substance within some distance $\rho(t)$ of the point at which it was released. Suppose that $M$ units of material could just barely be detected inside a circle of radius $r_0$ if the material were spread uniformly; $r_0$ is a measure of the sensor's sensitivity. Then $\rho(t)$ must satisfy $s(t,\rho(t)) = M/(\pi r_0^2)$ at all times $t$. Using (3) to solve for $\rho(t)$, we obtain

3

$$(4) \qquad \rho(t) = (\beta t)^{\alpha/b} \; \ell n \left[ \frac{r_0^2}{(\beta t)^{2\alpha/b} \Gamma(1+2/b)} \right]^{1/b} ,$$

except that $\rho(t)$ is taken to be 0 rather than negative. If the sensor is conducting a random search at speed v in an area of size A, then the rate of contacting the blob at time t is $2v\rho(t)/A$, since $2\rho(t)$ is the projection of the circular blob normal to the searcher's track. The average number of detections per blob is therefore

$$(5) \qquad C = \int_0^\infty (2v\rho(t)/A)dt .$$

Let
$$K = r_0^2/\Gamma(1+2/b),$$

substitute $\rho(t)$ from (4) in (5), and then substitute $u = (\beta t)^{2\alpha/b}/K$. The result is

$$(6) \qquad C = (2v/A) \int_0^1 [Ku]^{1/2} [\ell n \; \tfrac{1}{u}]^{1/b} \left[ \frac{Kb \; du}{2\alpha\beta(Ku)^{1-b/2\alpha}} \right] ,$$

where the upper limit of u is the largest number for which the integrand is positive. Factoring out constants and collecting powers of Ku, (6) is

$$(7) \qquad C = \frac{vKb}{A\alpha\beta} \int_0^1 [Ku]^{b/2\alpha-1/2} \; [\ell n \; \tfrac{1}{u}]^{1/b} \; du$$

The definite integral has a closed form expression [3]. Substituting this in (7), we obtain

$$(8) \qquad C = vK^{b/2\alpha+1/2} \; b \quad \Gamma(1+1/b)/[A\alpha\beta \; (b/2\alpha+1/2)^{1+1/b}] .$$

Recalling the definition of K, we finally have

4

(9)
$$C = \frac{v\, r_0^{b/\alpha+1}\; b\Gamma(1+1/b)}{A\alpha\beta\; \Gamma(1+2/b)^{b/2\alpha+1/2}\; (b/2\alpha+1/2)^{1+1/b}} \cdot$$

In classical diffusion, since $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$ and $\Gamma(2) = 1$, (9) reduces to

(10)
$$C = \frac{v\, r_0^3\, \sqrt{\pi}}{A\beta\, (3/2)^{3/2}} \cdot$$

However, classical diffusion is not a good description of turbulent spreading in the ocean. According to reference [4], better descriptions would be $\alpha=2$, $b=2$, $\beta=2$ cm./sec. (Okubo and Pritchard), or $\alpha=3$, $b=2$, $\beta=.05$ cm$^{2/3}$/sec, (Okubo). Both of these models are from table 5 of reference [4]. If $v=10^4$ cm/sec., $r_0=10^3$ cm., and $A=10^{10}$ cm$^2$, (9) gives $C = .44$ for the first of these models, and $C = 1.55$ for the second. These calculations may give the reader some idea of circumstances where $C \ll 1$. The fact that different investigators have arrived at models that provide answers differing by a factor of 3.5 should not be disturbing when the subject is turbulence in the ocean.

Figure 1 shows (4) for the same two models. The maximum blob size depends only on $r_0$ and b, and is consequently the same in both cases. The fact that the area under one curve is 3.5 times as large as the area under the other is essentially a question of blob lifetime.

## 4. Classical diffusion in three dimensions.

This derivation will parallel that of sec. 3. If $S(t,r)$ is now the concentration of material per unit volume and D the diffusion constant, then (3) is replaced by

(11)
$$S(t,r) = \frac{M}{(2\pi Dt)^{3/2}}\; \exp(-r^2/2Dt).$$

We also have

5

Figure 1

Comparison of blobs for two ocean turbulence models.

(12)
$$1 = \frac{(4/3)\pi r_0^3}{(2\pi Dt)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\rho^2(t)/2Dt\right),$$

where $r_0$ is the radius of the largest sphere within which M units of material would be detectable if spread evenly.

Solving (12) for $\rho^2(t)$, and defining $K = (9\pi/2)^{1/3}$, we have

(13)
$$\rho^2(t) = 2Dt \; \ell n\left(\frac{r_0^2}{KDt}\right)^{3/2} = 3Dt \; \ell n\left(\frac{r_0^2}{KDt}\right),$$

except that $\rho(t) = 0$ for $t > r_0^2/KD$. The rate of detection at time $t$ is now $r(t) = v\left(\pi\rho^2(t)\right)/V$, where V is the volume of the confining region, so

(14)
$$C = \pi v/V \int_0^\infty \rho^2(t) \; dt.$$

Substituting $u = KDt/r_0^2$,

(15)
$$C = (\pi v/V)(r_0^2/KD)(3r_0^2/K) \int_0^1 u \; \ell n(1/u) \; du$$

The definite integral [3] is 1/4, so

(16)
$$C = .1343 \; (vr_0^4/VD).$$

7

Appendix:

Let $r(x)$ be the detection rate on a blob at a time $x$ after it has been emitted, and suppose blobs are emitted in a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. Let $N(t)$ be the number of blobs emitted in $[0,t]$, and let $S_i$ be the times at which they are emitted, $i = 1, \ldots, N(t)$. For $0 \leq u \leq t$, the total detection rate at time $u$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} r(u-S_i)$, where $r(x)$ is taken to be $0$ for $x < 0$. Therefore the average number of detections in the interval $[t-\Delta,t]$, given the emission times, is

$$(A1) \qquad Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} r(u-S_i) \, du, \quad \Delta \geq 0.$$

The stochastic process $Y(t)$ is a filtered Poisson process with impulse response $h(t,\tau) = \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} r(u-\tau) \, du = \int_{t-\tau-\Delta}^{t-\tau} r(v) \, dv$. The generating function of $Y(t)$ is therefore known [2]:

$$(A2) \qquad \psi_t(S) \equiv E(\exp(SY(t))) = \exp\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{t} [\exp(Sh(t,\tau)) - 1] \, d\tau\right]$$

By substituting $x = t - \tau$, this can be written

$$(A3) \qquad \psi_t(S) = \exp\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{t} [\exp(S \int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) \, dv) - 1] \, dx\right]$$

The number of detections in the interval $[t-\Delta,t]$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $Y(t)$. The probability of no detections in this interval is therefore $E(\exp(-Y(t))) = \psi_t(-1)$. If $T$ is the time from $t - \Delta$ to the next detection, we therefore have $P(T > \Delta) = \psi_t(-1)$. Letting

8

$t \to \infty$ to obtain equillibrium,

$$(A4) \qquad P(T > \Delta) = \exp\left[\lambda \int_0^\infty [\exp\left(- \int_{x-\Delta}^x r(v) \ dv\right) -1] \ dx\right]$$

Since T is a positive random variable, we also have

$$(A5) \qquad E(T) = \int_0^\infty P(T > \Delta) \ d\Delta,$$

and this provides an explicit formula for E(T) that could be evaluated by numerical integration in any specific case. However, suppose the mean number of contacts per blob (C) is <<1. Since $C = \int_0^\infty r(v) \ dv$, it follows that $\int_{x-\Delta}^x r(v) dv$ <<1, and therefore that

$$1 - \exp\left[- \int_{x-\Delta}^x r(v) \ dv\right] \approx \int_{x-\Delta}^\infty r(v) \ dv, \quad \text{and therefore that}$$

$$(A6) \qquad P(T > \Delta) \approx \exp(-\lambda \ f(\Delta)), \qquad \text{where}$$

$$(A7) \qquad f(\Delta) = \int_0^\infty [ \int_{x-\Delta}^x r(v) \ dv] \ dx$$

Since f(0) = 0, and since $(d/d\Delta) \ f(\Delta) = \int_0^\infty r(x-\Delta) \ dx = C$, it must be true that $f(\Delta) = C\Delta$, and therefore that T is approximately exponential with mean $1/\lambda C$. Furthermore since all of the above approximations can be written as inequalities,

$$(A8) \qquad E(T) \geq 1/\lambda C,$$

with equality holding when C << 1.

References

[1]  Larson, Harold J., and B. O. Shubert (1979), Probabilistic Models in
     Engineering Sciences (vol II), pp 692-693, Wiley, New York.

[2]  ibid, sec 7.6.

[3]  Standard Math Tables, Chemical Rubber Co.

[4]  Pritchard, D. W., et. al (1971), Radioactivity in the Marine
     Environment (ch. 4), National Academy of Sciences.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Naval Electronics Systems Command                                       1
2511 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, Virginia   20360

Naval Underwater Systems Center                                         1
New London, CT   06320

Naval Underwater Systems Center                                         1
Newport, Rhode Island   02840

Anti-Submarine Warfare Systems Project Office                           1
Code ASW-137
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C.   20360

Daniel H. Wagner, Associates                                            1
Station Square One
Paoli, PA   19301

Tetra Tech, Inc.                                                        1
1911 Fort Meyer Dr.
Suite 601
Arlington, VA   22209

ORI, Inc.                                                               1
1400 Spring St.
Silver Spring, MD   20910

EPL Analysis                                                            1
Suite 312,
3460 Olney-Latonsville Road,
Olney, MD   20932

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA   93940
Attn:   R. N. Forrest, Code 55Fo                                        1
        A. R. Washburn, Code 55Ws                                      10
        Library, Code 55                                               1

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA  93940

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Attn: | Code 55Mt | 1 |
| | Code 55As | 1 |
| | Code 55Bn | 1 |
| | Code 55Bw | 1 |
| | Code 55Cu | 1 |
| | Code 55Ei | 1 |
| | Code 55Ey | 1 |
| | Code 55Fo | 1 |
| | Code 55Gv | 1 |
| | Code 55Hh | 1 |
| | Code 55Hk | 1 |
| | Code 55Hl | 1 |
| | Code 55Jc | 1 |
| | Code 55La | 1 |
| | Code 55Lw | 1 |
| | Code 55Ls | 1 |
| | Code 55Mg | 1 |
| | Code 55Mh | 1 |
| | Code 55Mu | 1 |
| | Code 55Mp | 1 |
| | Code 55Ni | 1 |
| | Code 55Py | 1 |
| | Code 55Pk | 1 |
| | Code 55Re | 1 |
| | Code 55Rh | 1 |
| | Code 55Ro | 1 |
| | Code 55Sy | 1 |
| | Code 55Su | 1 |
| | Code 55Ta | 1 |
| | Code 55Tw | 1 |
| | Code 55Ty | 1 |
| | Code 55Ws | 1 |
| | Code 55Ze | 1 |
| | L. Ishii | 1 |

U19715