



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive**  
**DSpace Repository**

---

Theses and Dissertations

1. Thesis and Dissertation Collection, all items

---

1955

## The Navy Performance Budget.

Reinhardt, Charles Barnes

George Washington University ; School of Government, Washington, District of Columbia.

---

<https://hdl.handle.net/10945/31109>

---

*Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun*



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>





THE  
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

THE NAVY PERFORMANCE BUDGET

By  
Charles Barnes Reinhardt

For  
Doctor A. Rex Johnson

May 1955



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	iii
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Chapter	
I. CONCEPT AND MEANING . . . . .	2
II. PERFORMANCE BUDGET PRINCIPLES . . . . .	5
III. PERFORMANCE BUDGETING IN THE NAVY . . . . .	8
IV. RECENT PROGRESS . . . . .	20
V. DESIRABLE CHANGES . . . . .	22
VI. CONCLUSION . . . . .	25
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	.....	1
2	.....	2
3	.....	3
4	.....	4
5	.....	5
6	.....	6
7	.....	7
8	.....	8
9	.....	9
10	.....	10
11	.....	11
12	.....	12
13	.....	13
14	.....	14
15	.....	15
16	.....	16
17	.....	17
18	.....	18
19	.....	19
20	.....	20
21	.....	21
22	.....	22
23	.....	23
24	.....	24
25	.....	25
26	.....	26
27	.....	27
28	.....	28
29	.....	29
30	.....	30
31	.....	31
32	.....	32
33	.....	33
34	.....	34
35	.....	35
36	.....	36
37	.....	37
38	.....	38
39	.....	39
40	.....	40
41	.....	41
42	.....	42
43	.....	43
44	.....	44
45	.....	45
46	.....	46
47	.....	47
48	.....	48
49	.....	49
50	.....	50
51	.....	51
52	.....	52
53	.....	53
54	.....	54
55	.....	55
56	.....	56
57	.....	57
58	.....	58
59	.....	59
60	.....	60
61	.....	61
62	.....	62
63	.....	63
64	.....	64
65	.....	65
66	.....	66
67	.....	67
68	.....	68
69	.....	69
70	.....	70
71	.....	71
72	.....	72
73	.....	73
74	.....	74
75	.....	75
76	.....	76
77	.....	77
78	.....	78
79	.....	79
80	.....	80
81	.....	81
82	.....	82
83	.....	83
84	.....	84
85	.....	85
86	.....	86
87	.....	87
88	.....	88
89	.....	89
90	.....	90
91	.....	91
92	.....	92
93	.....	93
94	.....	94
95	.....	95
96	.....	96
97	.....	97
98	.....	98
99	.....	99
100	.....	100

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Navy Appropriation Titles Fiscal 1948 . . . . .	11
2. Appropriation Titles, Navy Budgets Fiscal '51 '55 and '56 .	17



## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to present, in an interesting and not too detailed manner, the origin, development, and future of the performance type budget in the Navy Department. The road to the present Navy budget with its current appropriations structure has been long and tedious and represents the burning of vast quantities of "midnight oil". No where in private industry do we find the scope and complexity of the problems involved in formulating and in administering the budget of the Department of the Navy; comparable problems and techniques are dwarfed by comparison.

In presenting the material, I have attempted to resist boring the reader with large numbers of charts, graphs, and exhibits which too many exponents of the budgetary processes seem to worship above all else, and which, for me at least, only serve to confuse the issue.

CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this document is classified as "Confidential" because its disclosure could result in the identification of sources, methods, or other information that would be of value to an adversary. This information is being provided to you for your information only and is not to be disseminated outside your organization. If you are not an intended recipient, you should not disseminate, distribute, or take any action in reliance on the information contained herein. If you have received this information in error, please notify the sender immediately. This information is the property of the United States Government and is not to be released to the public.

## CHAPTER I

### CONCEPT AND MEANING

Credit for formal introduction of a performance type budget should properly be given to the Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government (popularly known as the "Hoover Commission"). The idea of a performance budget was by no means novel since it had been introduced and employed at various times under the descriptive terms of "functional" or "activity" or "program" or "objective" budgeting. Many cities have used performance budgeting for years; Richmond, Virginia, installed such a budget as far back as 1912.

By passing the National Security Act Amendments of 1949, the Congress of the United States made mandatory the presentation of performance budgets by the Department of Defense and the three military establishments.

Section 403 of the National Security Act Amendments of 1949 deals specifically with the performance budget as follows:

Section 403 (a) The budget estimates of the Department of Defense shall be prepared, presented, and justified, where practicable, and authorized programs shall be administered, in such form and manner as the Secretary of Defense subject to the authority and direction of the President may determine so as to account for, and report, the cost of performance of readily identifiable functional programs and activities with segregation of operating and capital programs. So far as practicable, the budget estimates and authorized programs of the military departments shall be set forth in readily comparable form and shall follow a uniform pattern

(b) In order to expedite the conversion from present budget and accounting methods to the cost-of-performance method prescribed in this title, the Secretary of each military department, with the approval of the President, and the Secretary of Defense, is authorized and directed, until the end of the second year following the date of enactment of this Act, to make such transfers and adjustments within the military department of which he is the head between appropriations available for obligation by such department in such manner as he deems necessary to cause the obligation

1. INTRODUCTION

STATE OF THE ART

The first part of the report is devoted to a general survey of the state of the art in the field of the theory of the structure of the atom. The second part is devoted to a detailed study of the structure of the atom, and the third part is devoted to a study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

The first part of the report is devoted to a general survey of the state of the art in the field of the theory of the structure of the atom. The second part is devoted to a detailed study of the structure of the atom, and the third part is devoted to a study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

The first part of the report is devoted to a general survey of the state of the art in the field of the theory of the structure of the atom. The second part is devoted to a detailed study of the structure of the atom, and the third part is devoted to a study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

and administration of funds and the reports of expenditures to reflect the cost of performance of such programs and activities. Reports of transfers and adjustments made pursuant to the authority of this subsection shall be made currently by the Secretary of Defense for the President and the Congress.<sup>1</sup>

In 1950 the Congress of the United States passed the Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950 which while not using the words "performance budget," provided that :

The Budget shall set forth in such form and detail as the President may determine - (a) functions and activities of the Government; (b) any other desirable classification of data; (c) a<sup>2</sup> reconciliation of the summary on expenditures with proposed appropriations.

Even before the foregoing Act of 1950 was passed, the Director of the Bureau of the Budget announced that the Budget for fiscal year 1951 would be presented on a performance basis with a breakdown of over 90 percent of the proposed expenditures into activities showing programs and costs. Subsequently there has been continuous effort to present the Federal budget on this basis with some improvement shown each year.

Now that I have briefly discussed the background and evolution of the performance budget, I think it desirable to discuss what we mean by the term "performance budget". We have already seen how Congress described it in the Acts of 1949 and 1950 and amendments thereto, but what did they mean in everyday language?

Basically, performance budgeting means focusing attention on the objective to be attained rather than on the dollars to be spent. It is one in which all costs incidental to the accomplishment of a specific job or objective are consolidated into an identifiable project with projects grouped into primary functions in which fiscal and management responsibility are paralled. Capital expenditures are separated from current operating expenditures. In the

---

<sup>1</sup>Public Law 216, 81st Congress, National Security Act Amendments of 1949.

<sup>2</sup>The Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950, 64 Stat. 832 Title I, Section 102, amending Section 201 of the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921.



formulation of such a budget, the most important single task is the specific definition of the objective with an accurate estimate of what it will cost to achieve that objective.

In format, the performance budget is really two documents; the first contains the proposed work program to be accomplished stated in financial terms of costs versus revenues, and the second document contains Congress' action on these proposals in the form of appropriation Acts authorizing the use of funds to carry out the program. Since the appropriations Acts may increase, decrease, or even eliminate portions of the proposed program, it usually becomes necessary for the agency responsible for administering the program to revise its plan in line with changes made by the legislature. If the legislature adheres to the format of the executive proposed budget, the job of the operating agency will be greatly facilitated when it attempts to ferret out the intent of Congress contained within the appropriation Acts.

The Hoover Commission maintained that a performance type budget would point up the end use of the public funds and, to some extent, require Congress to concentrate on the work to be accomplished and the cost involved.

Such an approach would focus attention upon the general character and relative importance of the work to be done, or upon the service to be rendered.....The all-important thing in budgeting is the work or the service to be accomplished, and what that work or service will cost.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Budgeting & Accounting, " a report of the Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government, February 1949.



## CHAPTER II

### PERFORMANCE BUDGET PRINCIPLES

Although the Hoover Commission did not prescribe the principles of performance budgeting in one, two, three order, it is evident that the members of that commission and those who have been actively engaged in improving the Federal budget each year do agree on the principal elements composing such a budget. The Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government commented in its report on the Navy Department budget:

We propose, for instance that by using performance budgeting, the cost of operating the Bethesda Center, along with those of other comparable Naval hospitals, would be shown as an identifiable program under one appropriation title for "Medical Care."

Certainly a comprehensive survey of existing appropriation practices looking toward simplification of appropriation structure, language, and procedure is long overdue. The revision of these practices should be made along the general lines and in accordance with the underlying purpose of the performance budget.

There is, at present, constant confusion in Federal budgeting and accounting because current expenditures and capital outlays are intermingled. These two types of expenditures are essentially different in character, and should, therefore, be shown separately under each major function or activity in the budget. This is an important feature of performance budgeting.<sup>1</sup>

The preceding three quoted paragraphs respectively emphasize the principles of:

1. Presenting all costs related to a program as a package.
2. Simplification of appropriation structure.
3. Separation of current or operating and capital expenditures.

---

<sup>1</sup>Budgeting and Accounting, a report by The Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government, February 1949.

D. 1911

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The following information is being furnished to you for your information and guidance. It is based on the results of the research and development work conducted during the period from 1911 to 1912. The work was carried out by the Research and Development Department of the Ministry of Education and the Department of Science and Technology. The results of the work are being published in the form of a report, which is being distributed to you for your information and guidance.

The research and development work was carried out in the following areas: (1) the study of the properties of the various types of materials used in the construction of buildings; (2) the study of the methods of construction of buildings; (3) the study of the methods of maintenance of buildings; (4) the study of the methods of repair of buildings; (5) the study of the methods of improvement of buildings. The results of the work are being published in the form of a report, which is being distributed to you for your information and guidance.

- 1. The study of the properties of the various types of materials used in the construction of buildings.
- 2. The study of the methods of construction of buildings.
- 3. The study of the methods of maintenance of buildings.
- 4. The study of the methods of repair of buildings.
- 5. The study of the methods of improvement of buildings.

The research and development work was carried out in the following areas: (1) the study of the properties of the various types of materials used in the construction of buildings; (2) the study of the methods of construction of buildings; (3) the study of the methods of maintenance of buildings; (4) the study of the methods of repair of buildings; (5) the study of the methods of improvement of buildings.

Catheryn Seekler Hudson, writing for Advanced Management, enumerates the principal elements of a performance budget system as follows:

1. The use of work programs and activities.
2. The installation of work measurement and the application of performance standards.
3. The improvement of administrative reporting to include reporting on a performance basis and the establishment of a progressive system of record keeping along functional lines.
4. The installation and maintenance of an annual basis of accounting and improved cost accounting methods where applicable or desirable.
5. The provision for a comprehensive and continuous system of management analysis, evaluation, and improvement, including a system of internal auditing.
6. The presentation, justification, and authorization of public expenditures by appropriate primary categories--usually current operating expenditures and capital expenditures.
7. The revision of appropriation structure in terms of programs and activities to be administered.
8. The consistency of all the above elements with a central public policy.<sup>1</sup>

The Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives stated the following principles:

Basic Principles - The underlying principles of the performance budget are clear and simple. The performance budget contemplates -

(a) that all costs relating to a logical and identifiable program be included as a project or a budget program for presentation and justification by the Department concerned to the Bureau of the Budget, the President, and the Congress, and for administration and reporting after the appropriation of moneys;

(b) that there be a logical and, so far as practical, uniform grouping of projects or budget programs by the primary functions of the military departments, with this grouping paralleling so far as possible, the organization and management structure;

(c) that there be a segregation between capital and current operating categories.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Performance Budgeting in Government, by Catheryn Seekler Hudson published in Advanced Management, March 1953, V 18 pp 5-9.

<sup>2</sup>Report from the Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives on Reorganizing Fiscal Management in the National Military Establishment, July 14, 1949.

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

During the hearings before the Subcommittee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, on the Navy Department budget for 1950, the Director of the Budget and Reports, Department of the Navy commented on the Hoover Commission report:

The basic concept of this type budget is to develop the greatest possible coordination between management control and financial responsibility. Not only should it be possible to develop better estimates and to present them in a more logical and understandable manner, but also once the appropriations have been made, financial administration would be more direct and there would be a better means of holding program managers responsible for prudent use of funds available to them. These program managers would be aided, too, because in this planning they can ascertain more readily the exact status of all funds available for the implementation of approved projects.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Hearings before the Subcommittee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, on the National Military Establishment Appropriation Bill for 1950.

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

## CHAPTER III

### PERFORMANCE BUDGETING IN THE NAVY

In Chapters I and II, I have attempted to define and describe, in general terms, the program or performance budget. Now, we will trace this type of budget through its conception and growth in the Navy to its present form as approved by Congress for fiscal year 1965.

Long before the Hoover Commission recommended the change to a performance type budget, it had been generally realized in the Navy that a major budget overhaul was badly needed. In January 1946, the Secretary of the Navy was requested by the Subcommittee on Naval Appropriations to submit a revised budget which would separate management from fiscal responsibility. The House Subcommittee on Appropriations stressed some of the defects of the Naval budget when in its report on the Navy Department Bill for 1947 it commented:

The committee is impressed with the fact that there is a considerable divergence in practices and procedures of the various bureaus of the Navy Department in the matter of the preparation of the annual naval budget.

The budget office of the Navy Department should lay down standard rules of procedure involving the preparation of the budget, and these rules should be adhered to by the budget offices of the various bureaus. The justifications prepared for committee consideration should be standardized and greater attention should be paid by chiefs of bureaus to the operation of their budget offices and to the responsibility of staffing these offices with experienced budget personnel who will be cloaked with sufficient authority to carry out their responsibilities in a businesslike and effective way---

Finally, the committee wants to stress again the completed need for the Navy Department to devise means and procedures which will insure a stricter and closer accountability of appropriated funds. In emphasizing this point, the committee wishes to make it very clear that there is no desire in any way to interfere with the freedom of action of responsible and able Navy administrative officers in performing their tasks and acquitting their responsibilities in an efficient and unbridled fashion. The essence of good government, however, demands that even though, by constitutional provisions, the legislative and executive functions of



government are clearly demarked and defined, nonetheless a true spirit of cooperative endeavor must prevail between these two agencies of government if the interest of the citizen is to be properly served. It is in this vein that the committee diverts its observations respecting the need for closer appropriation accountability.<sup>1</sup>

The result of the foregoing recommendations by the House Subcommittee on Appropriations was to cause the Navy to decide on a major overhaul of the Navy budget for fiscal 1948. As a result of the Navy study the following defects in the present budget structure became apparent:

1. The cost of primary functions were being charged to many different appropriations creating overlap of both administration and appropriations.
2. Bureaus were involved in the fiscal affairs of other Bureaus simply because of activities in the other's sphere of control.
3. Clear and concise presentation of the budget was impossible because of the jumbled and piecemeal fashion of preparing the estimations.

The crowning example and the one most quoted was that of the U.S. Naval Hospital at Bethesda, Maryland. This hospital was financed from twelve or more different appropriations involving fiscal management by eight bureaus and offices of the Navy Department. The poor hospital was in the middle since fiscal reports were required by all eight of these bureaus and offices.

The Navy Department decided to revise the appropriation structure to eliminate overlapping and to contain all the elements in primary functions. The Navy Budget Revision Board was appointed to assist on this project, and to resolve any difficulties arising during preparation of the 1948 budget. In cooperation with officials and bureaus of the Navy Department the Budget Revision Board evolved a budget structure which they believed would have these advantages:

---

<sup>1</sup>Report on Navy Department Appropriation Bill, 1943, dated May 21, 1946, House Subcommittee on Appropriations.

Committed the same to the...  
...of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

1. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

2. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

3. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

4. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

5. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

6. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

7. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

8. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

9. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

10. The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

The... of the...  
...of the...  
...of the...

1. Fiscal management would parallel management responsibility.
  - (a) All activity costs would be paid from a single appropriation.
  - (b) Each activity would have a single fiscal manager.
  - (c) Management responsibility would determine appropriation cognizance.
2. Internal management would be less complex because bureaus need concern themselves only with those activities over which they had primary control.
3. Fiscal control would be facilitated.
4. Budget presentation would be greatly improved.<sup>1</sup>

This revised budget was referred to as the 1948 "alternate" budget, since it was submitted to Congress as an alternate to the regular 1948 budget which was based on the old "non-performance" type of 1947. For comparison purposes, the following lists of Navy appropriation titles show the budget structures under the 1948 regular and alternate plans:

---

<sup>1</sup>Proposed Revision of the Naval Appropriations Act, Office of Fiscal Director, Navy Department, December 1946.

(1) The first part of the report is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 (2) The second part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 (3) The third part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 (4) The fourth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.

The first part of the report is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The second part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The third part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The fourth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The fifth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The sixth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The seventh part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The eighth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The ninth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.  
 The tenth part is devoted to a description of the work done during the year.

TABLE 1

## NAVY APPROPRIATION TITLES FISCAL 1948

## 1948 BUDGET

## OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Miscellaneous Expenses, Navy  
 Contingencies of the Navy  
 Research, Navy  
 Operation and Conservation of Navy  
   Petroleum Reserve Number 4  
 Ocean and Lake surveys, Navy  
 Island Governments

## BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

Naval War College  
 Naval Training Station, San Diego,  
   California  
 Naval Training Station, Newport, R.I.  
 Naval Training Station, Great Lakes,  
   Illinois  
 Fleet Training, Navy  
 Instruction, Navy  
 Libraries, Navy  
 Welfare and Recreation, Navy  
 Officer Candidate Training  
 Miscellaneous Expenses, Bureau of  
   Naval Personnel  
 Naval Reserve  
 Naval Academy  
 Naval Home, Philadelphia, Penn.

## BUREAU OF SHIPS

Maintenance, Bureau of Ships

## BUREAU OF ORDNANCE

Ordnance and Ordnance Stores, Navy

## BUREAU OF SUPPLIES AND ACCOUNTS

Pay and subsistence of Naval Personnel  
 Transportation and Recruiting of Navy  
   Personnel  
 Maintenance, Bureau of Supplies &  
   Accounts  
 Transportation of Things  
 Fuel, Navy

## 1948 "ALTERNATE" BUDGET

## SHIPS

Construction of Ships  
 Maintenance and Operation, Ships  
 Fuel

## ORDNANCE

Construction of Ordnance  
 Maintenance and Operation, Ordnance

## AVIATION

Construction of Aircraft  
 Maintenance and Operation, Aviation

## GENERAL SERVICE AND SUPPLY

Finance and Supply  
 Transportation of Things  
 Research  
 Civil Engineering  
 Island Governments  
   Latin-American Cooperation  
 Intelligence  
 Communications  
 Hydrographic Office  
 Naval Observatory  
 Petroleum Reserves  
 Extraordinary Expenditures

## NAVAL PERSONNEL

Pay Allowances and Quarters  
 Subsistence  
 Transportation  
 Naval Academy  
 Training and Education  
 General Personnel Expenses

## MEDICAL CARE

## MARINE CORPS

Pay, Marine Corps  
 General Expenses, Marine Corps

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915  
 1915  
 1915

1915  
 1915  
 1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

TABLE 1--Continued

1948 BUDGET	1948 "ALTERNATE" BUDGET
BUREAU OF MEDICINE AND SURGERY	PUBLIC WORKS
Medical Department, Navy	Continental Overseas Bases
BUREAU OF YARDS AND DOCKS	ADMINISTRATION
Maintenance, Bureau of Yards and Docks	
Public Works, Bureau of Yards and Docks	
BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS	
Aviation, Navy	
MARINE CORPS	
Pay, Marine Corps	
Pay of Civil Force, Offices of Commandant of Marine Corps and Director of Personnel, Marine Corps.	
Pay of Civil Force, Supply Department, United States Marine Corps.	
General Expenses, Marine Corps	
SHIPBUILDING	
Construction of Ships	
Ordnance for New Construction	
NAVY DEPARTMENT SALARIES	
Office of Secretary of the Navy	
Office of Naval Research	
General Board	
Naval Examining and Retiring Boards	
Office of Naval Records and Library	
Office of Judge Advocate General, Navy	
Office of Chief of Naval Operations	
Board of Inspection and Survey	
Office of Director of Naval Communications	
Office of Naval Intelligence	
Bureau of Naval Personnel	
Hydrographic Office	
Naval Observatory	
Bureau of Ships	
Bureau of Ordnance	
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts	
Bureau of Medicine and Surgery	
Bureau of Yards and Docks	
Bureau of Aeronautics	

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

1941-1942

TABLE 1--Continued

1948 BUDGET

1948 "ALTERNATE" BUDGET

CONTINGENT EXPENSES

Contingent Expenses, Navy Department  
Printing and Binding, Navy Department  
Contingent and Miscellaneous Expenses,  
Hydrographic Office  
Contingent and Miscellaneous Expenses,  
Naval Observatory



By inspection and comparison of the foregoing budgets, it can easily be seen that the 1948 alternate budget was a big step in the right direction. Specifically, the number of appropriation titles was reduced from 60 to 31, primarily in the following two areas:

1. The 21 appropriations for salaries in the Washington area (19 for the Navy Department and 2 for the Marine Corps) were included in the major programs they supported.
2. Appropriations concerned with training and education were combined or absorbed in other appropriations.

Referring again to the classic example of the Bethesda Navy Hospital, we find that under the alternate budget this activity would have been financed by four appropriations and two bureaus in place of the original twelve and eight.

Despite the "forward look" of the 1948 alternate budget it was destined to be ignored by the Congress. There was a change in party control in Congress in January 1947, resulting in many new members in the Naval Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee of the House. Due to the "green" members and the overloaded calendar, the Subcommittee decided to consider the budget submitted on the basis of the old structure.

The Senate Committee on Appropriations took and recognized the alternate budget to this extent:

The committee has noted the submission of the alternate budget which is designed to provide fiscal responsibility paralleling management responsibility, simplification of internal management, facilitation of fiscal control, greater clarity in budget presentations, and improvement of personnel control. The Committee endorses these objectives.<sup>1</sup>

I wish to stress here that the work expended on the 1948 alternate

---

<sup>1</sup>Report from the Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate, June 23, 1947, on the Navy Department and Naval Service Appropriation Bill, Fiscal Year 1948.

The first part of the document is devoted to a general introduction of the subject matter. It is here that the author sets out the scope and objectives of the study. The second part of the document is devoted to a detailed description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the data sources, the sampling method, and the statistical methods used to analyze the data.

The third part of the document is devoted to a presentation of the results of the study. This includes a description of the main findings, a discussion of the implications of these findings, and a comparison of the results with those of other studies in the field. The fourth part of the document is devoted to a conclusion and a list of references. The conclusion summarizes the main findings of the study and offers some suggestions for further research. The references list the sources of information used in the study.

The fifth part of the document is devoted to a list of appendices. These appendices contain supplementary material that is too large to include in the main text of the document. This may include raw data, detailed calculations, or additional figures. The sixth part of the document is devoted to a list of abbreviations and a list of symbols. This helps to clarify the meaning of the terms and symbols used in the document.

The seventh part of the document is devoted to a list of footnotes. These footnotes provide additional information about the sources of information used in the study or about the author's work. The eighth part of the document is devoted to a list of acknowledgments. This is where the author expresses appreciation to those who have helped in the preparation of the document. The ninth part of the document is devoted to a list of references. This is where the author lists the sources of information used in the study.

The tenth part of the document is devoted to a list of appendices. These appendices contain supplementary material that is too large to include in the main text of the document. This may include raw data, detailed calculations, or additional figures. The eleventh part of the document is devoted to a list of abbreviations and a list of symbols. This helps to clarify the meaning of the terms and symbols used in the document.

budget was not all wasted. Many of the improvements made were studied and served to provide a basis for later changes. The failure of the subcommittee to accept the alternate budget had the effect of slowing and delaying the conversion to a pure performance budget; instead of completing the switch all at once, the Navy began a gradual improvement of its budget.

Little need be said about the changes made in the fiscal year 1949 budget. Suffice it to say that the number of appropriations was reduced from 60 in the 1948 budget to 52 in 1949 principally by eliminating and combining titles.

Although the Navy budget for fiscal 1950 was presented to Congress in January 1949 (prior to approval of the National Military Establishment Appropriation Act, 1950) it did take important strides toward a performance budget as indicated in the House report:

In the past the Department of the Navy has presented its budget justification in an arrangement of hearings to provide a partial functional analysis of the activity. This year through rearrangement and rewriting of the appropriation language the first broad step toward a functional budget has been taken. For example, in the present program all appropriations under the Bureau of Ships are grouped together, and so far as practicable the same is true in the other bureaus. It is the purpose of the present plan to bring together in one place all the costs relating to a particular activity and to provide a budget structure of grouping all changes for similar functions under the same appropriation.

In the 1950 budget, the Military Establishment was actually for the first time considered by the Congress as one department under a single head, the Secretary of Defense.

As the result of action by the Congress, the Bureau of the Budget, and the Secretary of Defense, it was made mandatory for the Navy to prepare the 1951 budget on a performance basis. Having already reduced the number of

---

<sup>1</sup>Report from the House Committee on Appropriations in the "National Military Establishment Appropriation Bill," 1950, April 9, 1949.

The first part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the President, dated the 10th of January, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The second part of the document is a letter from the President to the Secretary of the State, dated the 15th of January, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The third part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the President, dated the 20th of January, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The fourth part of the document is a letter from the President to the Secretary of the State, dated the 25th of January, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The fifth part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the President, dated the 30th of January, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The sixth part of the document is a letter from the President to the Secretary of the State, dated the 5th of February, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The seventh part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the President, dated the 10th of February, 1800. It contains a report on the state of the Union, and a list of the names of the members of the Senate and House of Representatives.

appropriations from 52 in 1949 to 47 in 1950, the Navy decided to make another greater reduction to 21 appropriations for the 1951 budget.

For comparison, the following pages list appropriation titles for fiscal years 1951, 1955, and 1956.



APPROPRIATION TITLES  
1951 BUDGET

1. Military Personnel, Navy
2. Military Personnel, Naval Reserve
3. Military Personnel Officer Candidates
4. Military Personnel, Marine Corps
5. Military Personnel, Marine Corps Reserve
6. Navy Personnel, General Expenses
7. Marine Corps, Troops & Facilities
8. Aircraft and Facilities
9. Construction of Aircraft and Related Procurement
10. Ships & Facilities
11. Construction of Ships
12. Ordnance and Facilities
13. Medical Care
14. Civil Engineering
15. Public Works, Navy
16. Research
17. Service-Wide Supply & Finance
18. Service-Wide Operations
19. Expenses, Island Governments and Liberated and Occupied Areas
20. Operation and Construction of Naval Petroleum Reserves
21. Exploration of Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4

APPROPRIATION TITLES  
1955 BUDGET

1. Military Personnel, Navy
2. Military Personnel, Naval Reserve
3. Navy Personnel, General Expenses
4. Navy Personnel, Marine Corps
5. Navy Personnel, Marine Corps Reserve
6. Marine Corps, Troops & Facilities
7. Marine Corps, Procurement
8. Aircraft and Facilities, Navy
9. Aircraft and related procurement, N.
10. Ships and facilities, Navy
11. Construction of Ships, Navy
12. Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy
13. Ordnance and Facilities
14. Medical Care, Navy
15. Civil Engineering
16. Military Construction, Naval Reserve Forces
17. Facilities, Navy
18. Research, Navy
19. Service-Wide Supply and Finance, N.
20. Service-Wide Operations, Navy
21. Naval Petroleum Reserves

APPROPRIATION TITLES  
1956 BUDGET

1. Military Personnel, Navy
2. Reserve Personnel, Navy
3. Navy Personnel, General Expenses
4. Reserve Personnel Marine C.
5. Marine Corps Troops & Facilities -ities Navy
6. Marine Corps Procurement
7. Aircraft and Facilities, N.
8. Aircraft and Related Procurement, Navy
9. Ships and Facilities, Navy
10. Construction of Ships, N.
11. Shipbuilding & Conversion, N
12. Navy Military Procurement
13. Ordnance & Facilities, Navy
14. Ordnance for New Constructed
15. Medical Care, Navy
16. Civil Engineering, Navy
17. Military Construction, Naval Reserve Forces.
18. Research and Development, N
19. Service-Wide Supply and Finance, Navy
20. Service-Wide Operations, N.
21. Naval Petroleum Reserves
22. Facilities, Navy
23. Public Works, Navy



By comparing the appropriation titles on the preceding pages, we can see that the total number of appropriations has not continued to decrease each year since 1950. As a matter of fact it was decided to increase appropriations from 21 to 23 in the 1956 budget, in order to achieve better segregation of budget activities.

No discussion of the performance budget would be complete without a brief account of its effect on naval administration. It may be likened to the difference between a game of pool and a game of billiards. In the former, the player racks the balls; propells the cue ball with his cue into the racked balls and breaks them up; then picks off balls in some orderly rotation and directs them into pockets, counting the score as the balls are taken from the pockets.

The Commanding Officer of an activity in the year 1948 BP (before performance) administered his funds much as this game of pool is played; he gathered together all the allotments, and then picked off each allotment to accomplish a prescribed task. He had to keep score on each ball and often was never sure who won the game.

Under the performance budget a commanding officer will receive his funds from only one source, and will be concerned with only one allotment. He will deal with only two balls and the cue ball; the capital equipment and the operations and maintenance balls. He will know exactly where he stands because he will have the score on an accumulative basis at all times.

It is to provide this information to all agencies and activities of the Navy Department that the Navy Chart of Accounts has been revised and promulgated.

This chart of discounts is a complete cycle with the Bureaus and offices maintaining control of funds allocated to them to accomplish the purposes for which budgeted. Detailed accounting is performed for Bureau transactions and summary accounting is performed for authorizations granted field activities.



In all cases the ledgers maintained by field activities are subsidiary to the control accounts of the Bureau ledgers. The details concerning field operations flow into the Navy Regional Accounts Offices where the auditing and summarization function is performed for the Bureaus. Summary information is supplied the Bureaus by the Navy Regional Accounts Offices for posting to Bureau control ledgers. Management information, not a part of the accounting system, is supplied Bureaus directly from field activities. The integrated accounting-system provides, controls, and discloses the true condition of funds and authorizations at all levels.



## CHAPTER IV

### RECENT PROGRESS

In the more than five years since the passage of the National Security Act Amendments of 1949, the three Services have been engaged in converting their appropriation structures in order to comply with those instructions. The Budgetary demands created by the international situation have complicated the task of conversion. It has been a case of something more easily "said than done" since traditional organization and general uncertainty as to definition of terms were among the obstacles to be overcome.

Recently a new assistant Secretary of the Navy for Financial Management was designated relieving the Under Secretary of the Navy of his comptroller functions. The establishment of the new office was made in recognition of the increasingly significant contribution the comptroller is making to the efficient and economical management of the Navy programs. The importance of the financial area, it was felt, warranted the appointment of an Assistant Secretary on a full time basis.

The demonstrated value of the Comptrollership concept in providing management with coordinated and integrated staff services in the financial area has led to the installation of comptroller offices in additional Navy bureaus and field activities during the year. As of September 30, 1954, a total of 53 activities had installed comptroller organizations, 25 were in process of installation and 33 others were considering similar action.

A Navy-wide appropriation on a continuing or no-year basis for the procurement of major technical military-type items and certain long-lead-time

REPORT

REPORT

The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country and the progress of the work done during the year. It also contains a list of the names of the members of the committee and a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to various positions.

The second part of the report deals with the financial statement of the committee for the year. It contains a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to various positions and a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to various positions.

The third part of the report deals with the financial statement of the committee for the year. It contains a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to various positions and a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to various positions.

items was developed during the past calendar year and included in the fiscal year 1956 budget. This step is a significant advance in furtherance of the continuing efforts to provide necessary procurement flexibility and to segregate in the Navy budget the annual maintenance and operational costs from capital-type costs. The fiscal year 1956 budget estimates for the appropriation "Marine Corps Troops and Facilities" were formulated for the first time on the basis of requirements submitted by field organizations.

A charter, issued under the Navy Management Fund for the construction of military bases in Spain, provides for a unified system for programming, budgeting, and accounting, thus bringing together under one financial system all the funds and resources used in connection with this construction project.

The total number of Naval activities operating under the industrial fund concept is now 36. This number is considered to be approximately 50 percent of the total number suitable to such operation. Each successive year of operation under the Industrial Fund shows a definite trend toward a greater appreciation on the part of local and top level management of the "cost consciousness" the Fund is promoting in the Navy. The improvements are based on the concept of measuring actual performance against a predetermined plan expressed in terms of an operating budget.

The Industrial Fund has done much to remove those overlapping and hazy areas of management wherein more than one activity is physically located in the same area. Take for example a dispensary, under Bu Med, situated in a Naval Shipyard under management of Bu Ships. Instead of the dispensary being financed, as before, from two sources, under the Industrial Fund the shipyard would control and provide funds for the operation of the dispensary and bill the yard's customers for the services rendered by the dispensary. The Naval personnel treated would be billed to Bu Med as would pay of medical technicians employed in the dispensary.

The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country and the progress of the war. It is followed by a detailed account of the military operations in the various theatres of war. The report then discusses the political and economic conditions of the country and the measures taken by the Government to meet the needs of the people. The final part of the report contains the conclusions and recommendations of the Committee.

The Committee has the honor to acknowledge the assistance rendered by the various departments of the Government and the public institutions in the collection of the material for this report. It is also indebted to the members of the staff for their valuable services.

The Committee is of the opinion that the progress of the war is satisfactory and that the country is well prepared to meet the demands of the situation. It recommends that the Government should continue to pursue its policy of firmness and moderation and to maintain the unity and solidarity of the people.

The Committee is of the opinion that the political and economic conditions of the country are generally favorable. It recommends that the Government should continue to improve the administration and to take measures to increase the productivity of the country.

The Committee is of the opinion that the measures taken by the Government to meet the needs of the people are adequate. It recommends that the Government should continue to take measures to improve the living conditions of the people and to provide them with the necessary facilities.

The Committee is of the opinion that the conclusions and recommendations of the report are sound and practical. It recommends that the Government should take prompt action on the recommendations of the report.

The Committee is of the opinion that the report is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country and its progress. It is recommended that the report be published and distributed to the public.

## CHAPTER V

### DESIRABLE CHANGES

As noted in Chapters III and IV considerable progress has been made in simplifying and improving the appropriation structures of the Navy budget. However, certain additional modifications and changes appear desirable.

Major subclassifications of appropriations should be established for "end-product" functions parallel to an organization with clear-cut lines of authority and responsibility to carry out such "end-product" functions. In many areas the lack of clear-cut lines of authority and responsibility to carry out "end-product" functions impedes the establishment of a proper budget structure. The most idealistic budget structure would require that every appropriation fall entirely within one of five categories:

1. Military Personnel
2. Maintenance and operations
3. Procurement and Production
4. Research and development
5. Acquisition and construction of real property.

In order for the Navy to relate its existing appropriation structure to the above categories, a number of changes would be necessary since each Navy appropriation may include two categories, Maintenance and Operations, and Procurement and Production.

1. Major items of material should be eliminated from annual appropriations and instead budgeted in existing procurement and production appropriations or in a new no-year appropriation.
2. The items in the various appropriations relating to maintenance and operations should be combined in a single Navy-wide appropriation (exclusive of Marine Corps) for "Maintenance and Operations."

SECRET

SECRET

The first part of the document is devoted to a general discussion of the situation in the country. It is followed by a detailed analysis of the economic and social conditions. The author then discusses the political situation and the role of the government. The document concludes with a series of recommendations for the future.

- 1. The first part of the document is devoted to a general discussion of the situation in the country.
- 2. It is followed by a detailed analysis of the economic and social conditions.
- 3. The author then discusses the political situation and the role of the government.
- 4. The document concludes with a series of recommendations for the future.

The second part of the document is devoted to a detailed analysis of the economic and social conditions. It is followed by a discussion of the political situation and the role of the government. The author then discusses the future of the country and the role of the government.

The third part of the document is devoted to a discussion of the political situation and the role of the government. The author then discusses the future of the country and the role of the government. The document concludes with a series of recommendations for the future.

3. Facilities appropriation would be discontinued and absorbed in one of the five categories.

The classification of appropriations for the Navy based upon programs and functions, as proposed by the Department of Defense in November 1954 is as follows:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Military Personnel                             | Military Personnel, Navy<br>Military Personnel, Marine Corps<br>Reserve Personnel, Navy<br>Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps<br>Retired Pay                                      |
| 2. Maintenance and Operations                     | Maintenance and Operations, Navy<br>Maintenance and Operations, Marine Corps   |
| 3. Procurement and Production                     | Aircraft and Related Procurement<br>Shipbuilding and Conversion<br>Construction of Ships MSTs<br>Procurement and Production, Marine Corps<br>Procurement and Production, other |
| 4. Research and Development                       | Research and Development   |
| 5. Acquisition and Construction of Real Property. | Acquisition and Construction of Real Property  |

Research and development appropriations should cover research contracts with private institutions and the costs of research installations operated by the Navy. The defense Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 1955 required the Navy to include for the first time all research and development activities under one appropriation.

There remains much room for improvement in formulation of costs for purposes of inclusion in the budget. The rise of standard unit prices for major items of material is important in order to prepare reasonable estimates of funds required for production and procurement. In the area of maintenance and operations similar unit cost standards should be used in pricing programs for overhaul of equipment. Whenever possible labor cost standards should be used.

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various positions in the office of the Secretary of the State, and who have taken the oath of office and qualification.

- 1. Secretary of State, John W. ...
- 2. ...
- 3. ...

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various positions in the office of the Secretary of the State, and who have taken the oath of office and qualification.

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various positions in the office of the Secretary of the State, and who have taken the oath of office and qualification.

Working-capital funds should be utilized to finance the production of goods or services by industrial and service activities. The extension of the use of the Navy Industrial Fund should be expedited where possible.



## CHAPTER VI

### CONCLUSION

If you have waded through the foregoing chapters, it should have become evident by now that performance budgeting is apparently "here to stay" in the Navy. We have seen the significant improvements made in the Navy's budget structure until it has reached that point where the average layman can at last gain some slight insight into the budget processes of formulation and execution without first taking a graduate course in budget interpretation.

Granted that much remains to be accomplished, we can begin to see how the performance budget can provide a more meaningful basis for administrative planning, executive leadership, legislative action, and administrative accountability at all levels of government. It is a continuous forward moving process; never completed-never perfected but constantly pointing the road to greater efficiency in financial management. The will to get the job done is more important at this stage than the technical problems involved. The technical problems are numerous and difficult, but they can be solved if there is full cooperative effort within the Services and with the office of the Secretary of Defense.

The first part of the report deals with the general situation in the country. It is a very interesting and comprehensive study of the economic and social conditions of the country. The author has done a great deal of research and has gathered a wealth of material. The report is well written and is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country.

The second part of the report deals with the specific details of the country's economy. It is a very detailed and thorough study of the various industries and sectors of the economy. The author has done a great deal of research and has gathered a wealth of material. The report is well written and is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country.

The third part of the report deals with the social conditions of the country. It is a very detailed and thorough study of the various social issues and problems of the country. The author has done a great deal of research and has gathered a wealth of material. The report is well written and is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country.

The fourth part of the report deals with the political conditions of the country. It is a very detailed and thorough study of the various political issues and problems of the country. The author has done a great deal of research and has gathered a wealth of material. The report is well written and is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country.

The fifth part of the report deals with the cultural conditions of the country. It is a very detailed and thorough study of the various cultural issues and problems of the country. The author has done a great deal of research and has gathered a wealth of material. The report is well written and is a valuable contribution to the knowledge of the country.

18

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### BOOKS

- Buck, Arthur E. Budgeting and Financial Management of the City of New York.  
New York. 1951 (New York Mayor's Committee on Management Survey.  
Finance Project, item No. 9)
- Gallaway, George B. Reform of the Federal Budget. Washington 1950 (U. S.  
Library of Congress. Legislative Reference Service. Public Affairs  
Bulletin No. 80)
- Mosher, Frederick C. Program Budgeting: Theory and Practice, with Particular  
Reference to the U. S. Department of the Army. Chicago. Public  
Administration Service, 1954.
- Richmond. Bureau of the Budget Manual of Budget Instructions and Regulations  
Richmond, 1952.

### PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

- Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950, approved Sept. 12, 1950. (Public  
Law 784, 81st Congress 2nd session; 64th stat. 832)
- The Budget of the U.S. Gov't for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1948.  
Washington, D. C. United States Gov't Printing Office.
- The Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950, 64th Stat. 832 Title I Section  
102 Amending Section 201 of the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921.
- Hanes, J.W., Buck, A.E., Andrews, T. Coleman. U.S. Commission on Organization  
of the Executive Branch of the Government. Fiscal Budgeting and  
Accounting Systems of Federal Government. Washington, U.S. Gov't  
Printing Office, 1949.
- National Security Act Amendments of 1949. Public Law 216, 81st Congress
- U.S. Bureau of the Budget. Work Measurement in Performance Budgeting and  
Management. Washington 1950.
- U.S. Treasury Dept. Performance Reporting. May 1950 Washington 1950.
- U.S. Congress. House Committee on Appropriations. Report on National Military  
Establishment Appropriations Bill, 1950, April 9, 1949.



- U.S. Congress. Senate Committee on Appropriations. Report on National Military Establishment Military Functions Appropriations Act, 1950  
July 22, 1949.
- U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Appropriations. Hearings on the National Military Establishment Appropriation Bill for 1950.
- U.S. Congress. Senate. Committee on Armed Services Hearings on the National Security Act Amendments of 1949, May 6, 1949.
- U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Appropriations. Letter from Chairman Subcommittee on Naval Appropriations to Secretary of the Navy, January 18, 1946.
- U.S. Congress. Senate. Committee on Armed Services. Report on the National Security Act Amendments of 1949, May 12, 1949.
- U.S. Congress House. Committee on Appropriations Hearings on the National Military Establishment Appropriations Bill for 1950.
- U.S. Bureau of the Budget. The Budget of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 1951. Washington. U.S. Gov't Printing Office., 1950.
- U.S. Congress, House. Subcommittee on Appropriations. Hearings Before the Subcommittee on the National Military Establishment Appropriation Bill for 1950.
- U.S. Congress House Committee on Appropriations. Report on Navy Department Appropriation Bill, 1947, May 21, 1946.
- U.S. Congress. Senate Committee on Appropriations. Report on Navy Department and Naval Service Appropriations Bill, Fiscal Year 1948.

#### REPORTS

- Budgeting and Accounting. A Report of the Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government, February 1949
- Comptroller General of the United States. Sixth Annual Progress Report Under the Joint Program to Improve Accounting in the Federal Government.  
December 31, 1954.
- Harvey, Roy, Koenig, Louis W., Somit, Albert, Achievements in Federal Reorganization, Published by Schools and Universities Department Citizens Committee for the Hoover Report, January 1955.
- Reorganizing Fiscal Management in the National Military Establishment. Report from the Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives.  
July 14, 1949.



ARTICLES

- Barth, Daniel. Hoover Commissions Recommendations on Budgeting and Accounting. Business Research, February, 1950. V. 12, pp. 57-69.
- Bonfield, Edward C. Congress and the Budget; A Planners Criticism. The American Political Science Review, Vol XLIII, December 1949, No. 6, p. 1217.
- Blick, Charles A. Performance Budget for the Department of the Navy. U. S. Bureau of Supplies and Accounts (Navy Dept.) Monthly Newsletter, February, 1950, V. 14, pp. 5-6.
- Buck, Arthur E. Performance Budgeting for the Federal Government. Tax Review, July 1949, Vol 10, pp 33-38.
- Burrows, Don S. A Program Approach to Federal Budgeting. Harvard Business Review, May 1949, V. 27. pp. 272-285.
- Donaho, John A. The Performance Budget. Municipal Finance, February, 1950, v 22, pp. 103-106.
- Horton, William R. Program Planning and Control Through the Budgetary Process. Accounting Review, July 1954, v. 29, pp 423-428.
- Harter, Charles J. The Navy Chart of Accounts. U. S. Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Monthly Newsletter, May 1950. v. 14, pp. 29-34.
- Held, Walter G. Performance Budgeting In Municipalities. GRA Reporter, May-June 1951, v 3, pp. 8-10.
- March, Michael S. A Comment On Budgetary Improvement In the National Government (In Reply to Alice). National Tax Journal. Vol V, No. 2, p 155, June 1952.
- The Performance Budget of the Navy--How it Will Affect BuSand A Financially. U.S. Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Monthly Newsletter, June 1950, v. 14, pp. 5-6.
- Richmond, Prospers with Performance Budget. National Municipal Review, July 1951, v. 40. pp 375-377.
- Roberts, S. M. Trend Toward Performance Budgeting. Public Management, October 1952. v. 34, pp. 223-226.
- Seckler, Hudson, Catheryn. Performance Budgeting In Government. Advanced Management, March 1953, v. 18, pp 5-9.
- Sherwood, Frank. Some, Non-Cost Accounting Approaches to Performance Budgeting. Public Management. January 1954, v. 36, pp. 9-12.
- Symposium On Budget Theory. Public Administration Review, Winter 1950, Vol X, No. 1, pp 20-31.

SECRET

1. The first part of the document discusses the general situation of the country and the progress of the revolution.

2. The second part of the document discusses the political situation and the role of the Party.

3. The third part of the document discusses the economic situation and the measures taken to improve it.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the cultural and educational situation and the measures taken to improve it.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the military situation and the measures taken to improve it.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the international situation and the role of the country.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the future prospects of the country and the role of the Party.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the conclusion of the document.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the appendix.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

11. The eleventh part of the document discusses the end of the document.

12. The twelfth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

13. The thirteenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

14. The fourteenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

15. The fifteenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

16. The sixteenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

17. The seventeenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

18. The eighteenth part of the document discusses the end of the document.

Van Dongen, A. and Van DerEnde. Performance Budget with Special Reference, to that of the City of Richmond, Virginia. Public Finance, 1953. V.8, No. 1, pp. 91-96.

Walker, Robert A. The Relations of Budgeting to Program Planning. Public Administration Review, Spring 1944, v. 4, pp. 97-107.

UNPUBLISHED MATERIAL

Address of W. J. McNeil, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to the Joint Overhaul and Repair Aviation Supply Conference, ASO, Philadelphia, Pa. October 20, 1949.

Concept of the Navy's 1951 Performance Budget Office of Budget and Reports, February 1950.

Department of the Navy Bureau Appropriation Accounting System. Office of the Comptroller.

Fiscal Director, Navy Department. Proposed Revision of the Naval Appropriation Act. December 1946.

Kelokner, Charles L. The Development of a Performance Budget For the Department of the Navy. Washington, D. C. 1950. Thesis - American University, School of Social Sciences and Public Affairs.

Letter from Secretary of the Navy to Members of the Navy Budget Revisions Board, July 2, 1946.

Performance Type Budget. Fiscal year 1951. Memorandum from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to the Secretary of the Navy, November 18, 1949.

Proposed Budget Structure On a Performance Basis for the Department of the Navy. Office of Secretary of Defense. October 26, 1949.

