



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive
DSpace Repository

Acquisition Research Program

Acquisition Research Symposium

2012-05-01

Comparing Software Acquisition Models Against Each Other: The "Build" vs. "Buy" vs. "Rent" Trade Study

Kohl, Ron

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

<https://hdl.handle.net/10945/54430>

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

**Comparing Software Acquisition
Models against each other:
the 'Build' vs 'Buy' vs 'Rent' trade
study**

Author: Ronald Kohl
President, R. J. Kohl & Assoc.
rjkohl@prodigy.net

What is the problem?

- Cloud Computing (CC) has expanded the 'make vs buy' trade study to 'make vs buy vs rent'
- Yet there is little guidance to help SW acquirers make this trade study
 - What are the risks associated with CC?
 - How can I compare CC against the other options?

What is the solution?

- Understand the risks associated with CC
- Understand how these CC risks relate to different phases of SW acquisition
- Be able to compare riskyness of each acquisition approach against the others

Definitions

- Cloud Computing (CC) - computing/SW products or services for 'rent' from some vendor
 - SaaS (Software as a Service): renting the use of a s/w application (e.g. accounting)
 - PaaS (Platform as a Service): renting the use of s/w tools to build your own s/w
 - IaaS (Infrastructure as a Service): use of physical computing products/services to support an org (e.g. IT services, data servers, etc)

Risks associated with CC

- Access to resources – does the vendor provide ‘guaranteed’ access or alternative access?
- Resource updates – how does the CC vendor manage updates to their products/services?
- Info Security – how does the CC vendor plan to protect your information?
- Vendor viability – what if the vendor goes out of business? What are your options?
- There are more in the paper and more that may not yet be identified or encountered.

how these CC risks relate to different phases of SW acquisition

- SW acquisition phases:
 - Prior to acquiring a SW product/service
 - After acquiring SW product/service but before operations (e.g. development, test, integration, etc)
 - After SW product/service is put into operations
- See 'IEEE P1062 Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition' for more details
- This list is 'under construction' and not part of this paper

Compare riskiness of each acquisition approach against the others (1)

- SaaS vs COTS (aka 'rent access to vs buy' a commercial SW product):
 - possession of product vs access to product
 - Internal staff/skill needs
 - Update/version control options
 - Information protection
 - Managing SW product/service defects

Compare riskiness of each acquisition approach against the others (2)

- PaaS vs Custom Built SW (aka 'rent access to SW dev environment vs internal SW dev environment'):
 - possession of product vs access to product
 - Capacity of users to access tools
 - Update/version control options
 - Information protection
 - Tool defects management

Next Steps

- Improve list of CC risk areas, map to phases
- Develop/improve risk mitigations for these CC risks
- Improve comparisons between 'make vs buy vs rent' acquisition models
 - Incorporate into IEEE 1062 Std?
- Government role in addressing these issues (e.g. NIST, etc)