



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive**  
**DSpace Repository**

---

Faculty and Researchers

Faculty and Researchers' Publications

---

1986-01

Radiatively driven winds from magnetic,  
fast-rotating stars

Nerney, S.

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/60121>

*Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun*



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

N86 - 24539

1985

NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

RADIATIVELY DRIVEN WINDS FROM MAGNETIC,  
FAST-ROTATING STARS

Prepared By:	Steven Nerney, Ph.D.
Academic Rank:	Associate Professor
University and Department:	Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department
NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:	Space Science Laboratory Solar-Terrestrial Physics Solar Physics
MSFC Counterpart:	S. T. Suess
Date:	August 28, 1985
Contract No.:	NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

U.C. 87-087

RADIATIVELY DRIVEN WINDS FROM MAGNETIC,  
FAST-ROTATING STARS

BY

Steven Nerney

ABSTRACT

An analytical procedure is developed to solve the magnetohydrodynamic equations for the stellar wind problem in the strong-magnetic field, optically thick limit for hot stars. The slow-mode, Alfvén, and fast-mode critical points are modified by the radiation terms in the force equation but in a manner that can be treated relatively easily. Once the velocities at the critical points and the distances to the points are known, the streamline constants are determined in a straight-forward manner. This allows the structure of the wind to be elucidated without recourse to complicated computational schemes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the study of Weber and Davis (1967, WD) showed that the sun could be spun down by the solar wind over its main sequence lifetime, work has continued on angular momentum loss in the solar wind and in stellar winds (Pizzo, et al., 1983; Nerney and Suess, 1975; Nerney, 1980; Goldreich and Julian, 1970; and Friend and MacGregor, 1984).

Early-type stars present additional problems in understanding angular momentum loss as stellar winds from O and B stars are primarily driven by their intense flux of ultraviolet radiation. The radiation has an associated outward force due to Thomson scattering by photospheric electrons as well as a line radiation force that acts on metals in the wind. Unfortunately, analytical solutions are not possible as the basic stream-line constants are not known until a full numerical solution is generated. The complexity arises because the force due to line radiation depends nonlinearly on the acceleration in the wind. The critical point analysis shows that there is a focus of singular points which the numerical solution must be tangent to. This is accomplished through an iteration scheme that produces an acceptable physical solution.

The purpose of this study is to attempt to analytically model radiatively driven stellar winds with strong magnetic fields from fast-rotating stars in the optically-thick limit. This will allow a simplification of the problem so that it becomes readily apparent how the streamline constants determine the solution. Also, the modified magnetohydrodynamic critical points are treated and a procedure is developed for constructing wind models which does not require a complicated interaction scheme.

## II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The steady, spherically-symmetric, dissipationless, magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations, together with terms that give rise to radiative bulk forces may be written as follows:

(1)  $4\pi r^2 \rho v_r = \dot{M}$ , which is the continuity equation where  $\dot{M}$  is the mass-loss rate in kg/sec,  $r$  is radial distance from the center of the star in the usual  $r, \theta, \phi$  spherical polar coordinate system,  $\rho$  is the mass density in  $\text{kg/m}^3$ , and  $v_r$  is the radial velocity of the wind.

$$(2) \quad v_r \frac{dv_r}{dr} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dP}{dr} - \frac{GM(1-\Gamma)}{r^2} + \frac{v_\phi^2}{r} - \frac{1}{8\pi\rho r^2} \frac{d}{dr} (rB_\phi)^2 + f_L$$

which is the radial momentum equation where  $P$  is the pressure,  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $M$  is the mass of the star,  $\Gamma$  is a constant (see below) related to the radiative force due to Thomson scattering of continuum photons by electrons,  $v_\phi$  is the rotational (azimuthal) velocity of the wind,  $B_\phi$  is the azimuthal component of the magnetic field, and  $f_L$  is the force/kg due to line radiation. The term in  $B_\phi$  is the radial component of the  $\vec{j} \times \vec{B}$  force.

(3)  $\Gamma = \frac{\sigma_e L}{4\pi G M c}$  where  $L$  is the stellar luminosity,  $c$  is the speed of light, and  $\sigma_e$  is the electron scattering opacity per unit mass.

$$(4) \quad f_L = \frac{\Gamma GM}{r^2} k t^{-\alpha}$$

which is an approximate law derived in the original theory of Castor, Abbott, and Klein (1975, CAK) where  $k$  is a constant which is a measure of the mixture of the number of strong radiation lines in the wind,  $t$  is an optical depth parameter, and  $\alpha$  is a constant which depends on the importance of optically thick and thin radiation lines and is model-dependent.

(5)  $t = \sigma_e \rho v_{th} \left( \frac{dv_r}{dr} \right)^{-1}$  and  $v_{th}$  is the thermal velocity of the ions which absorb and scatter radiation. CAK used values of  $k = 1/30$  and  $\alpha = .7$ , but I will use  $\alpha = 1$  and choose various values for the constant that  $k$  appears in. This Sobolev high-velocity gradient approximation to the line radiation force is discussed in Mihalas, 1978, p.561.

The azimuthal equation of motion and the induction equation (a form of Faraday's law) are the same as in Weber and Davis (1967, WD) and Friend and MacGregor (1984), namely:

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dr} (rv_\phi) = \frac{B_r}{4\pi\rho v_r} \frac{d}{dr} (rB_\phi)$$

$$(7) \quad (\nabla \times E)_\phi = 0 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [r (v_r B_\phi - v_\phi B_r)]$$

as  $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  gives

(8)  $B_r = f_b/r^2$  for spherical symmetry and as  $B_r/\rho v_r$  is a constant, (6) integrates to

$$(9) \quad rv_\phi - \frac{B_r}{4\pi\rho v_r} rB_\phi = L \text{ where } L \text{ is the stream constant}$$

total angular momentum per unit mass which is the sum of the angular momentum carried in particles,  $rv_\phi$ , as well as that in the electromagnetic field. Faraday's law integrates to

(10)  $r(v_r B_\phi - v_\phi B_r) = \text{constant} = -\Omega^2 f_b$  and (9) and (10) together can be solved for  $v_\phi$  and  $B_\phi$  as in Weber and Davis (1967).

$$(11) \quad v_\phi = \frac{\Omega r}{v_{rA}} \frac{v_{rA} - v_r}{1 - M_A^2}$$

$$(12) \quad B_{\phi} = - B_r \frac{\Omega r}{v_{rA}} \frac{r_A^2 - r^2}{r_A^2 (1 - M_{Ar}^2)}$$

where  $\Omega$  is the rotation rate of the region where the field lines are rooted (usually taken to be the photospheric value),  $f_b$  is the conserved radial magnetic flux,  $v_{rA}$  is the radial velocity at the Alfvén radius ( $r_A$ ) where  $v_r$  equals the speed of an Alfvén wave in the medium, and  $M_{Ar}$  is the radial Alfvén mach number.

$$(13) \quad M_{Ar}^2 = 4\pi\rho \frac{v_r^2}{B_r^2} = \rho_a/\rho .$$

Closure is obtained by using the polytropic approximation.

(14)  $P = P_0 (\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma$  where  $P_0$  and  $\rho_0$  refer to values at the base of the wind (whether the base is in a corona or photosphere), and  $\gamma$  is the polytropic index.

This approximation is often used when the heating sources in the wind are not well-known. Generally, modellers replace this with an isothermal approximation for hot stars and use the stellar effective temperature. This is formally incorrect as it leads to inappropriate asymptotic states of the wind, Hundhausen (1972, p.9). However, the use of effective temperatures of even 50,000 K as for O stars does not lead to significant problems as it would for stars with coronae. Most early-type stars show x-ray emission. Therefore, I will examine a hot-wind solution and the polytropic approximation is appropriate.

The radial momentum equation, (2), can be simplified using  $a^2 = \gamma\rho/\rho$  ( $a$  is the sound speed) together with

$$(15) \quad f_L = \frac{\Lambda}{\rho^{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{udu}{dr} \right)^\alpha . \quad \text{Using } \alpha = 1, (2) \text{ eventually reduces to } \rho$$

$$(16) \frac{r}{v_r} \frac{dv_r}{dr} = \frac{(v_r^2 - A_r^2) (2a^2 + v_\phi^2 - \frac{v_e^2}{2} (1-\Gamma)) + 2 v_r v_\phi A_r A_\phi}{(v_r^2 - A_r^2) [v_r^2 (1-\Lambda) - a^2] - v_r^2 A_\phi^2}$$

where  $A_r^2 = B_r^2/4\pi\rho$ ,  $A_\phi^2 = B_\phi^2/4\pi\rho$ , , the radial and azimuthal Alfven speeds,  $v_e^2 = \frac{2 \cdot GM}{r^2}$ , the escape velocity at r, and

$$(17) \Lambda = \left( \frac{4\pi}{\dot{M} \sigma_e v_{th}} \right)^\alpha \Gamma GMk \text{ or, for } \alpha = 1,$$

$$(18) \Lambda = \frac{kL}{c\dot{M} v_{th}}$$

$\Lambda$  is the essential radiation parameter in this wind model and can be estimated for a particular star. For instance, Friend and MacGregor (1984) model the 06ef star  $\lambda$  Cephei with  $\alpha = .7$ ,  $\dot{M} \approx 5.2 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot/\text{year}$ ,

$T = 6.5 \times 10^4 K$ ,  $L = 6.76 \times 10^5 L_\odot$ , and k was specified by using the CAK value of 1/30. These numbers give  $\Lambda = .66$  in the current model. It is difficult to estimate  $\Lambda$  because k is model dependent,  $v_{th}$  depends on both the base temperature as well as the mass of the ions that absorb and scatter radiation, and mass-loss rates are not well-known for many stars.

Therefore  $\Lambda$  will be specified for a range of values and a variety of models will be calculated.

The general results of this study are based on the strong magnetic field limit of the MHD equations. This allows the explicit calculation of the position of the critical points based upon a similar prescription for non-radiative strong-field winds in the study of Hartmann and MacGregor (1982, HM).

### III. THE CRITICAL POINTS

#### A. Critical Velocities

The WD theory gives rise to three critical points in the radial momentum equation. These points correspond to distances from the star where the speed of the stellar wind equals the speed of the characteristic MHD disturbances that occur in the fluid approximation; namely, the slow-mode wave, Alfvén wave, and fast-mode wave. Setting  $\Lambda = \Gamma = 0$  for the moment, the denominator of equation (16) goes to zero for three different wind speeds and, hence, the numerator must be zero at these critical points. These boundary conditions partially specify the physical characteristics of the wind. In the current study, radiation changes the nature of the critical points in a manner that can be explicitly shown.

The strong-field limit requires that  $v_r^2 \ll A_r^2$  at the modified slow-mode point,  $r_s$ . This is equivalent to  $m_A^2 \ll 1$  at  $r_s$ .

This will be true for sufficiently strong magnetic fields and is justified a posteriori. Setting the denominator of (16) equal to zero:

$$A_r^2 \{v_r^2 (1 - \Lambda) - a^2 - v_r^2 A_\phi^2 / A_r^2\} = 0$$

Faraday's law can be written as

$$\frac{v_r^2 A_\phi^2}{A_r^2} = (v_\phi - \Omega r)^2$$

and as  $v_\phi$  is equal to the corotation value at  $r_s$  to

$O(M_{Ar}^2)$  we can neglect  $v_r^2 A_\phi^2 / A_r^2$  in the strong-field limit. Therefore,

$$(19) \quad v_{rs} = \frac{a_s}{1 - \Lambda}$$

which reduces to a well-known result when  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $v_{rs} = a_s$ .

At the Alfvén point, the radial velocity is assumed to be much greater than its value at  $r_s$  so that

$$v_{rA}^2 (1 - \Lambda) \gg a_A^2$$

Setting the denominator of (16) equal to zero.

$$(20) \quad v_r^2 = A_r^2 + \frac{A_\phi^2}{1 - \Lambda} \text{ at } r_a \text{ at which reduces to the well-known}$$

result  $v_r = A$  at  $r_A$  when  $\Lambda = 0$ .

The modified fast-mode velocity is found by assuming  $v_r^2 > A_r^2$  ( $M_{Ar}^2 > 1$ ) at  $r_f$ . In a similar manner,

$$(21) \quad v_{rf}^2 = \frac{A^2 \phi_f}{1 - \Lambda}$$

### B. Optically-Thick Slow-Mode Point

Expanding Equation (11) for  $v_\phi$

$$(22) \quad v_\phi = \Omega r (1 - M_{Ar} + \frac{r^2}{r_A^2} M_{Ar} + \dots)$$

For clarity, let  $v_\phi = \Omega r (1 - \delta)$  where  $\delta < 1$ . Setting the numerator equal to zero at  $r_s$

$$2a^2 - v_e^2/2 + v_\phi (v_\phi - 2v_r A_\phi/A_r) = 0 .$$

Using equation (10) gives

$$(23) \quad 2a^2 - \frac{v_e^2}{2} (1-r) + \Omega^2 r^2 = 0 \text{ to } O(\delta^2)$$

as terms of  $O(\delta)$  exactly cancel.

Using the non-dimensional parameters in HM,

$z_s = r_s/r_o$ ,  $\Omega^2 = \alpha^2 GM/r_o^3$ , and the non-dimensional Parker critical point  $z_p \equiv \frac{GM}{2r_o a_o^2}$ , we find

$$(24) \quad z_s \frac{a^2}{a_o^2} + \alpha^2 z_p z_s^3 - z_p (1-\Gamma) = 0$$

which reduces to equation (9) in HM for the isothermal limit. Equation (24) does not explicitly depend on the radiation parameter,  $\Lambda$ . The distance to the slow-mode point is the same in the radiative wind (except for the hottest stars where  $\Gamma$  is not negligible) as in the non-radiative MHD wind, except that the radial velocity in the radiative case is greater by the factor  $1/\sqrt{1-\Lambda}$ .

Another point is that the calculation of  $z_s$  assumes  $v_\phi$  is given by the corotation value at  $r_s$ . This is accurate to  $O(M_{Ar})$ , yet due to a fortuitous cancellation, the equation for  $z_s$  is accurate to  $O(M_{Ar}^2)$  so that these results and HM's are more accurate than anticipated.

To solve (24) for  $z_s$ , we must know  $a^2/a_o^2$  which depends on  $v_{ro}$ . In the isothermal limit,  $a^2/a_o^2 = 1$  and this problem does not arise. However,

$$(25) \quad \frac{a^2}{a_o^2} = \left( \frac{v_{ro} \sqrt{1-\Lambda}}{a_o z_s^2} \right)^\beta \text{ where}$$

$$(26) \quad \beta = \frac{2(\gamma-1)}{\gamma+1}$$

A second equation in  $z_s$  and  $v_{ro}$  can be found by examining the energy/kg in the flow.

$$(27) \quad E = \frac{1}{2} (1-\Lambda) v_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_\phi^2 + \frac{a^2}{\gamma-1} - \frac{v_e^2}{2} (1-\Gamma) - \frac{\Omega r A_r A_\phi}{v_r}$$

The Poynting flux term can be calculated from equation (9) so that

$$(28) \quad E = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \Lambda) v^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_\phi^2 + \frac{a^2}{\gamma-1} - \frac{v_e^2}{2} + \Omega L - \Omega r v_\phi$$

Consider the two terms in  $v_\phi$  using  $v_\phi = \Omega r(1 - \delta)$

$$\frac{1}{2} v_\phi^2 - \Omega r v_\phi = -\frac{\Omega^2 r^2}{2} (1 - \delta^2)$$

so that terms of  $O(M_{Ar})$  have again cancelled.

Setting  $E_o = E_s$  we find after some work

$$(29) \quad \left(\frac{1-\Lambda}{2}\right) \frac{v_{ro}^2}{a_o^2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\right) \left(\frac{v_{ro} \sqrt{1-\Lambda}}{a_o z_s^2}\right)^\beta =$$

$$2z_p \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_s}\right) (1-\Gamma) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (z_s^2 - 1) \right\} - \frac{1}{\gamma-1}$$

This reduces to equation (13) in HM with  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\Lambda = 0$  provided we replace  $\frac{a^2}{\gamma-1}$  by the isothermal term  $a^2 \ln p$ .

Equations (24) and (29) can be self-consistently iterated between to find  $v_{ro}$  and  $z_s$  using HM's initial guess, modified for radiation

$$z_s, z_s = \frac{3z_p (1-\Gamma)}{1+3 \alpha^{2/3} z_p (1-\Gamma)^{2/3}}$$

which assumes  $a^2/a_o^2 \approx 1$ . This value for  $z_s$  allows the calculation of  $v_{ro}$  in (29). The iteration procedure rapidly converges to a solution.

C. Optically Thick Fast-Mode Point

We have shown that for  $M_{ar}^2 \gg 1$   $v_{rf} \approx -\frac{v_\phi}{1-\Lambda}$  which together with equation (12) gives

$$v_{rf} = \frac{v_m^{3/2}}{\sqrt{1-\Lambda} v_{rf}^{1/2}} \left(1 - \frac{r_A^2}{r_f^2}\right)$$

where  $v_m$  is the usual Michel velocity, Michel (1969).

$$(30) \quad v_m^3 = \frac{\Omega^2 r_b^2}{\dot{m}} \text{ so that}$$

$$(31) \quad v_{rf} \approx \frac{v_m}{(1-\Lambda)^{1/3}}$$

e

In order to calculate  $z_f$ , we need to know  $v_{\phi f}$  which can be calculated from (11):

$$v_{\phi f} \frac{L}{r} \{1 - \epsilon\} \approx \frac{L}{r} \left(1 - \frac{v_{rA}}{v_{rf}}\right) \approx \epsilon < 1$$

which is formally correct with or without radiation.

Setting the numerator of (16) equal to zero at  $z_f$ :

$$(32) \quad 2a^2 - v_e^2/2 (1-\Gamma) + v_\phi (v_\phi + 2 (v_\phi - L/r)) = 0$$

The term in  $v_\phi$  reduces to  $\frac{L^2}{2} (1 - 4\delta)$  to  $O(M_{Ar}^{-1})$ . to Equation (32) now reduces to

$$(33) \quad \frac{a_f^2}{a_o^2} z_f^2 - z_p z_f (1-\Gamma) + \alpha^2 z_p z_A^4 - 2z_A^2 \frac{v_m^2}{a_o^2} (1-\Lambda)^{1/3} = 0$$

where

$$(34) \quad \frac{a_f^2}{a_o^2} = \left( \frac{v_{ro} (1-\Lambda)^{1/3}}{z_f^2 v_m} \right)^\beta$$

Equation (33) reduces to (17) in HM in the

isothermal limit with  $\Lambda = 0$  except for the term in  $\frac{v_m^4}{4}$ . This is  $O(M_{Ar}^{-2})$  and should not appear as other terms of the same size have been neglected in calculating  $z_f$ .

As  $z_A$  appears in the calculation of  $z_f$  we must generate another equation for  $z_A$  and  $z_f$ . The Alfvén radius is not important except insofar as it is necessary in the calculation of  $z_f$ . If the wind passes through  $z_s$  and  $z_f$ , it must of necessity pass through  $z_A$ , Goldreich and Julian (1970).

Setting  $E_o = E_f$

$$(35) \quad z_A^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2 z_p} \left\{ n \frac{v_m^2}{a_o^2} + \frac{a_f^2/a_o^2 - 1}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{v_{ro}^2}{2a_o^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z_f} \right) (1-\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2}$$

with

$$(36) \quad n \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1-\Lambda)^{1/3} + 1$$

A good first approximation to  $Z_A$  neglects  $1/Z_f$  and sets  $a_f^2/a_o^2 \approx 0$ . This allows the calculation of  $Z_f$  in (33) and the iteration proceeds as usual.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

While the results of this study are preliminary, several important conclusions can be drawn from the analytical techniques that have been developed. The strong-magnetic field, optically thick limit of the MHD equations produces physical solutions through a tractable technique that shows both how the critical points are to be treated and gives the values of the velocities at these points as well as the distances to the critical points.

The streamline constants are determined once the solution has been generated at the critical points. In particular, the distance to the slow-mode point and the radial velocity at the base must be iterated on to produce physical solutions. Once this is done, the mass-loss rate can be determined from the value of the density in the photosphere together with the radius of the star. Additionally, the total energy/kg is also determined. The angular momentum/kg is determined after the iteration procedure to determine the Alfvén radius and fast-mode radius is completed.

Preliminary numerical solutions have been generated and are being compared to the work of Friend and MacGregor, 1984, although it is still too early to report these results. These solutions have been found by using an IBM-PC and, in principle, could have been done on a hand calculator.

## REFERENCES

1. Castor, J., D. Abott, and R. Klein, *Astrophys. J.* 195, 157.
2. Friend, D. and K. MacGregor, 1984, *Astrophys. J.* 282, 591.
3. Goldreich, P. G. and W. H. Julian, *Astrophys. J.*, 1970 160, 971.
4. Hartmann, L. and K. B. MacGregor, 1982, *Astrophys. J.* 259, 180.
5. Hundhausen, A. J., 1972, *Coronal Expansion and Solar Wind*, Springer-Verlag.
6. Michel, F. C., 1969, *Astrophys. J.* 158, 727.
7. Mihalas, O., 1978, *Stellar Atmospheres*, second edition, University of Chicago Press.
8. Nerney, S. F. and S. T. Suess, 1975, *Astrophys. J.* 196, 837.
9. Nerney, S. F., 1980, *Astrophys. J.* 242, 723.
10. Pizzo, V., R. Schwenn, E. Marsch, H. Rosenbauer, K. Muhlhauser, and F. Neubauer, 1983, *Astrophys. J.* 271, 335.
11. Weber, E. J. and L. Davis, 1967, 148, 217.