



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive
DSpace Repository

NPS Scholarship

Publications

2009

Weibull distribution for global surface current speeds obtained from satellite altimetry

Chu, Peter C.

Chu, P.C., 2009: Weibull distribution for global surface current speeds obtained from satellite altimetry. Sixteenth Conference on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography, American Meteorological Society
<https://hdl.handle.net/10945/36257>

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION FOR THE GLOBAL SURFACE CURRENT SPEEDS OBTAINED FROM SATELLITE ALTIMETRY

Peter C. Chu

Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA93943, USA
(pcchu@nps.edu, tel: 831-656-3688, fax: 831-656-3686)

ABSTRACT

Near-real time ocean surface currents derived from satellite altimeter (JASON-1, GFO, ENVISAT) and scatterometer (QSCAT) data on $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution for world oceans (59.5° S to 59.5° N) are available online as “Ocean Surface Current Analyses – Real Time (OSCAR)”. The probability distribution function (PDF) of the current speeds (w), constructed from global OSCAR data from 1992 to 2008, satisfies the two-parameter Weibull distribution reasonably well. Knowledge on PDF of w will improve the ensemble horizontal flux calculation, which contributes to the climate studies.

Index Terms—Probability distribution function, Weibull distribution, OSCAR data, skewness, kurtosis

1. INTRODUCTION

The world oceans contribute significantly to the global redistribution of heat necessary to maintain the earth’s thermal equilibrium. Surface layer horizontal fluxes of momentum, heat, water mass and chemical constituents are typically nonlinear in the speed, so the space or time average flux is not generally equal to the flux that would be diagnosed from the averaged current speed. In fact, the average flux will generally depend on higher-order moments of the current speed, such as the standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis. From both diagnostic and modeling perspectives, there is a need for parameterizations of the probability distribution function (PDF) of the current speed w (called w -PDF here).

Recent study on the equatorial Pacific ^[1] showed that the w -PDF satisfies the two-parameter Weibull distribution in the upper layer (0 – 50 m) after analyzing the hourly Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) data (1990-2007) at all the six stations along during the Tropical Atmosphere Ocean (TAO) project.

Question arises: Can such a result (e.g., the Weibull distribution for the equatorial Pacific surface current speeds) be extended to global oceans? To answer this question, we

use the 5-day Ocean Surface Currents Analyses – Real-time (OSCAR) data to construct the observational w -PDF for the global ocean surface circulation. Special characteristics of the statistical parameters such as mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis will also be identified.

2. THE OSCAR DATA

The near real-time surface current fields (OSCAR) are available for the world oceans from 60° N to 60° S on $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid to a broad-based user community via a web-based interactive data selection interface on a time base with exactly 72 steps per year (about 5 day interval) starting from October 1992. The velocity is automatically computed from gridded fields of surface topography and wind derived on the base of the Ekman dynamics from satellite altimeter (JASON-1, GFO, ENVISAT) and scatterometer (QSCAT) vector wind data. See website: <http://www.oscar.noaa.gov/> for detailed information.

3. STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS FOR SURFACE CURRENTS

Let (x, y) be the horizontal coordinates and z be the vertical coordinate. Vertically averaged horizontal velocity components (u, v) from the surface to a constant scale depth (h) of surface mixed layer are given by ^[1]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h} \Lambda_u - \frac{K}{h^2} u, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h} \Lambda_v - \frac{K}{h^2} v, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\Lambda_u \equiv fV_E + \frac{\tau_x}{\rho}, \quad \Lambda_v \equiv -fU_E + \frac{\tau_y}{\rho}, \quad (3)$$

represent the residual between the Ekman transport and surface wind stress. Here, K is the eddy viscosity; f is the Coriolis parameter; (τ_x, τ_y) are the surface wind stress

components; and (U_E, V_E) are Ekman transports computed by

$$(U_E, V_E) = \int_{-h}^0 (\tilde{u} - u_g, \tilde{v} - v_g) dz, \quad (4)$$

where (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) are the vertically varying horizontal velocity components; and (u_g, v_g) are the geostrophic velocity components. With absence of horizontal pressure gradient, e.g., $u_g = v_g = 0$, Equations (1) and (2) reduce to the commonly used wind-forced slab model^[2]. For the sake of convenience, we assume that the residual between the Ekman transports (U_E, V_E) and surface wind stress does not depend on the horizontal current vector (u, v) . Away from the equator, this approximation is similar to a small Rossby number approximation^[3]. If the forcing (Λ_u, Λ_v) is fluctuating around some mean value,

$$\Lambda_u(t) = \langle \Lambda_u \rangle + \dot{W}_1(t)h\Sigma, \quad \Lambda_v(t) = \langle \Lambda_v \rangle + \dot{W}_2(t)h\Sigma, \quad (5)$$

where the angle brackets represent ensemble mean and the fluctuations are taken to be isotropic and white in time:

$$\langle \dot{W}_i(t_1)\dot{W}_j(t_2) \rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta(t_1 - t_2), \quad (6)$$

with a strength that is represented by Σ . Note that the Ekman transport is determined by the surface wind stress for time-independent case, and therefore the ensemble mean values of (Λ_u, Λ_v) are zero,

$$\langle \Lambda_u \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Lambda_v \rangle = 0. \quad (7)$$

Substitution of (5)-(7) into (1) and (2) gives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{K}{h^2}u + \dot{W}_1(t)\Sigma, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{K}{h^2}v + \dot{W}_2(t)\Sigma, \quad (9)$$

which is a set of stochastic differential equations for the surface current vector. The joint PDF of (u, v) satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation,

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\Sigma^2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial v^2}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[\left(\frac{K}{h^2}u\right)p\right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\left(\frac{K}{h^2}v\right)p\right], \quad (10)$$

which is a linear second-order partial differential equation with the depth scale (h) taken as a constant. Transforming from the orthogonal coordinates (u, v) to the polar coordinates (w, φ) respectively the current speed and direction,

$$u = w \cos \varphi, \quad v = w \sin \varphi. \quad (11)$$

The joint PDF of (u, v) is transformed into the joint PDF of (w, φ) ,

$$p(u, v)dudv = p(w, \varphi)wdw d\varphi = \tilde{p}(w, \varphi)dwd\varphi. \quad (12)$$

Integration of (12) over the angle φ from 0 to 2π yields the marginal PDF for the current speed alone,

$$p(w) = \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{p}(w, \varphi)d\varphi. \quad (13)$$

For a constant eddy viscosity (K) at $z = -h$, the steady state solution of equation (10) is given by

$$p(u, v) = A \exp\left[-\frac{K}{\Sigma^2 h^2}(u^2 + v^2)\right], \quad (14)$$

where A is a normalization constant. Substitution of (14) into (12) and use of (13) yield the Rayleigh distribution

$$p(w) = \frac{2w}{a^2} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{w}{a}\right)^2\right], \quad a \equiv \frac{\Sigma h}{\sqrt{K}}, \quad (15)$$

with the scale parameter a . The basic postulation of constant K may not be met always at the upper ocean. Hence we require a model that can meet the twin objectives of (a) accommodating Rayleigh distribution whenever the basic hypothesis (constant K) that justifies it is satisfied and (b) fitting data under more general conditions. This requirement is supposed to be satisfied by the Weibull probability density function,

$$p(w) = \frac{b}{a}\left(\frac{w}{a}\right)^{b-1} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{w}{a}\right)^b\right], \quad (16)$$

where the parameters a and b denote the scale and shape of the distribution. This distribution has been recently used in investigating the ocean model predictability^{[4][5]}.

4. PARAMETERS OF WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION

The four parameters (mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) of the Weibull distribution are calculated by^[6],

$$\text{mean}(w) = a\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right), \quad (17)$$

$$\text{std}(w) = a\left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) - \Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\right]^{1/2}, \quad (18)$$

where Γ is the gamma function. The parameters a and b can be inverted^[7] from (17) and (18),

$$b = \left[\frac{\text{mean}(w)}{\text{std}(w)}\right]^{1.086}, \quad a = \frac{\text{mean}(w)}{\Gamma(1 + 1/b)}. \quad (19)$$

The skewness and kurtosis are computed by

$$\text{skew}(w) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{b}\right) - 3\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) + 2\Gamma^3\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) - \Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\right]^{3/2}} \quad (20)$$

$$\text{kurt}(w) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{4}{b}\right) - 4\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{b}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) - \Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\right]^2} + \frac{6\Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) - 3\Gamma^4\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{b}\right) - \Gamma^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right)\right]^2} - 3 \quad (21)$$

which depend on the parameter b only [see (20) and (21)] for the Weibull distribution. The relationship between the kurtosis and skewness can be determined from (20) and (21).

5. OBSERVATIONAL w -PDF

The data depicted in Section 2 are used to investigate the statistical features of the global surface current speeds (w). The four parameters (mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) can also be calculated from the observational data (w)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mean}(w) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i, \quad \text{std}(w) = \sqrt{\text{mean}[w - \text{mean}(w)]^2}, \\ \text{skew}(w) &= \frac{\text{mean}\{[w - \text{mean}(w)]^3\}}{\text{std}^3(w)}, \\ \text{kurt}(w) &= \frac{\text{mean}\{[w - \text{mean}(w)]^4\}}{\text{std}^4(w)} - 3, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for the each grid point. The mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis fields of w estimated from the OSCAR data are displayed in Fig. 1. Large values of $\text{mean}(w)$ occur in the western boundaries such as in the Gulf Stream, Kuroshio, and Somali Current, Malvinas Current and; secondary maxima in the equatorial zones especially in the western and central equatorial Pacific. Minima of $\text{mean}(w)$ occur in the subtropical horse latitudes. The standard deviation of w is also large near the western boundaries and in the equatorial zones. In general, w is positively skewed in the most part of the global oceans and negatively skewed in the equatorial zones and Southern Ocean. The kurtosis field is much noisier than those of $\text{mean}(w)$, $\text{std}(w)$, or $\text{skew}(w)$.

The Weibull parameters (a , b) were calculated from $\text{mean}(w)$ and $\text{std}(w)$. The distribution of the parameter a over the global oceans (Fig. 2a) is quite close to the distribution of $\text{mean}(w)$, i.e., with large values in western boundaries and equatorial zone. The distribution of the Weibull parameter b is shown in Fig. 2b. Thus, a four-parameter dataset has been established each location. The scatter diagrams were drawn for global oceans during all or different time periods.




Figure 1. First four parameters of the surface current speeds calculated from the OSCAR data (1992-2007).




Figure 2. Same as in Fig. 1, but for Weibull parameters (a , b).

We may use the relationships between $\text{skew}(w)$ and $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ (representing the parameter b) and between the $\text{kurt}(w)$ and the $\text{skew}(w)$ to identify the fitness of the Weibull distribution for observational w -PDFs. The solid curve on these figures shows the relationship for a Weibull variable. Fig. 3 shows the kernel density estimates of joint PDFs of $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ and $\text{skew}(w)$ for (left) January and (right) July OSCAR data from 1992 to 2008. The contour intervals are logarithmically spaced. The thick black line is the theoretical curve for a Weibull variable. (bottom) Same as in top, but for the joint PDF of $\text{skew}(w)$ and $\text{kurt}(w)$. For the observational surface w -PDF, the $\text{skew}(w)$ is evidently a concave function of the ratio $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ (the same as the Weibull distribution), such that the theoretical function is positive for small values of this ratio and negative for large values. However, for the core of the kernel with the joint probability higher than 0.32, $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ is always less than 2.2 and $\text{skew}(w)$ is always positive (Fig. 3, upper panes). Similarly, the relationship between $\text{skew}(w)$ and $\text{kurt}(w)$ in the observations is similar to that for a Weibull variable (lower panels, Fig. 3) with smaller kurtosis. The agreement between the moment relationships in the OSCAR data and those for a Weibull variable reinforces the conclusion that these data are Weibull to a good approximation.




Figure 3. (top) Kernel density estimates of joint PDFs of $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ and $\text{skew}(w)$ for (left) January and (right) July OSCAR data from 1992 to 2008. The contour intervals are logarithmically spaced. The thick black line is the theoretical curve for a Weibull variable. (bottom) Same as in top, but for the joint PDF of $\text{skew}(w)$ and $\text{kurt}(w)$.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This study has investigated the probability distribution function of the surface current speeds (w), using long-term (1992-2008) 5 day “Ocean Surface Current Analyses – Real Time (OSCAR)” (OSCAR) data; and theoretically, using a stochastic model derived using upper boundary layer physics. The following results were obtained.

- (1) Probability distribution function of the surface current speeds (w) approximately satisfies the two-parameter Weibull distribution. In the upper ocean with a constant eddy viscosity K , the probability distribution function satisfies a linear second-order partial differential equation (i.e., the Fokker-Planck equation) with an analytical solution – the Rayleigh distribution (special case of the 2 parameter Weibull distribution).
- (2) Four moments of w (mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis) have been characterized. It was found that the relationships between $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ and $\text{skew}(w)$ and between $\text{skew}(w)$ and $\text{kurt}(w)$ from the data are in fairly well agreement with the theoretical Weibull distribution for the upper (0 - 50 m) tropical Pacific for the whole period. The OSCAR data also show that the ratio $\text{mean}(w)/\text{std}(w)$ is generally less than 2.2 and the skewness is generally positive for the whole global oceans.
- (3) The Weibull distribution provides a good empirical approximation to the PDF of w , which presents the possibility of improving the representation of the horizontal fluxes that are at the heart of the coupled physical-biogeochemical dynamics of the marine system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the NOAA/NODC, Office of Naval Research, Naval Oceanographic Office, and Naval Postgraduate School.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.C. Chu, “Probability distribution function of the upper equatorial Pacific current speeds,” *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, doi:10.1029/2008GL033669, 2008.
- [2] R.T. Pollard, and R. C. Millard, “Comparison between observed and simulated wind-generated inertial oscillations,” *Deep Sea Research*, 17, 795-812, 1970.
- [3] A.E. Gill, “*Atmosphere-Ocean Dynamics*,” Academic Press, San Diego, 662 pp, 1982.
- [4] L.M. Ivanov, and P.C. Chu, “On stochastic stability of regional ocean models to finite-amplitude perturbations of initial conditions,” *Dynamics of Atmosphere and Oceans*, 43, 199-225, doi:10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2007.03.001, 2007a.
- [5] L.M. Ivanov, and P.C. Chu, “On stochastic stability of regional ocean models with uncertainty in wind forcing,” *Nonlinear Processes of Geophysics*, 14, 655-670, 2007b.
- [6] N. Johnson, S. Kotz, and N. Balakrishnan, “*Continuous Univariate Distributions*,” Vol. 1. Wiley, 756 pp, 1994.
- [7] A.H. Monahan, “The probability distribution of sea surface wind speeds. Part-1: theory and SeaWinds observations,” *Journal of Climate*, 19, 497-519. 2006.