



## Asymptotic Properties of Stochastic Greedy Bin-Packing

Title	Asymptotic Properties of Stochastic Greedy Bin-Packing
Item Type	Technical Report
Authors	Gaver, Donald P.;Jacobs, Patricia A.
URI	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/10945/44971">https://hdl.handle.net/10945/44971</a>
Publisher	Monterey, CA; Naval Postgraduate School
Date Issued	1993-11
Rights	This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.
Download date	2026-04-15 00:07:38
Link to Item	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/10945/44971">https://hdl.handle.net/10945/44971</a>

**Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun**

AD-A273 378


2

NPS-OR-93-017



# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF STOCHASTIC  
GREEDY BIN-PACKING

Donald P. Gaver  
Patricia A. Jacobs

93-29562



*2700*

November 1993

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943

93 12 3 005

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
MONTEREY, CA 93943-5000


Rear Admiral T. A. Mercer  
Superintendent


Harrison Shull  
Provost

This report was prepared in conjunction with research funded by the Naval Postgraduate School Direct Funded Research Program.


Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:


  
DONALD P. GAVER, JR.  
Professor of Operations Research

  
PATRICIA A. JACOBS  
Professor of Operations Research

Reviewed by:

  
PETER PURDUE  
Professor and Chairman  
Department of Operations Research

Released by:

  
PAUL J. MARTO  
Dean of Research

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved*  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE <p style="text-align: center;">November 1993</p>	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED <p style="text-align: center;">Technical Report</p>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <p style="text-align: center;">Asymptotic Properties of Stochastic Greedy Bin-Packing</p>			5. FUNDING NUMBERS <p style="text-align: center;">ORGV1 3153</p>	
6. AUTHOR(S) <p style="text-align: center;">Donald P. Gaver and Patricia A. Jacobs</p>				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <p style="text-align: center;">Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943</p>			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER <p style="text-align: center;">NPS-OR-93-017</p>	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <p style="text-align: center;">Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943</p>			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <p style="text-align: center;">Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.</p>			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  An adaptive or greedy policy for packing $I$ bins, or equivalently for scheduling jobs for the attention of a number, $I$ , of processors is studied. It is shown that the suitably normalized bin contents become nearly jointly but degenerately Gaussian/normal if the rate of approach of jobs becomes large. Explicit and simple parameter characterizations are supplied and the asymptotics are compared with simulation. The advantage of the greedy policy over a <i>laissez-faire</i> policy of equal access is quantified, and seen to depend upon $\sqrt{\text{number of bins or processors}}$ .				
14. SUBJECT TERMS <p style="text-align: center;">greedy algorithm, bin packing, job scheduling, asymptotic results, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process</p>			15. NUMBER OF PAGES <p style="text-align: center;">27</p>	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT <p style="text-align: center;">Unclassified</p>			16. PRICE CODE	
18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE <p style="text-align: center;">Unclassified</p>		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT <p style="text-align: center;">Unclassified</p>		20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <p style="text-align: center;">UL</p>

# ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF STOCHASTIC GREEDY BIN-PACKING

D. P. Gaver  
P. A. Jacobs

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

## Abstract

An adaptive or greedy policy for packing  $I$  bins, or equivalently for scheduling jobs for the attention of a number,  $I$ , of processors is studied. It is shown that the suitably normalized bin contents become nearly jointly but degenerately Gaussian/normal if the rate of approach of jobs becomes large. Explicit and simple parameter characterizations are supplied and the asymptotics are compared with simulation. The advantage of the greedy policy over a *laissez-faire* policy of equal access is quantified, and seen to depend upon  $\sqrt{\text{number of bins or processors}}$ .

## Introduction

We study an adaptive or greedy policy for packing  $I$  bins, or equivalently for scheduling jobs for the attention of a number,  $I$ , of processors. The connection between bin-packing and makespan scheduling is well described in Coffman and Lueker [1], chapter 1.

It is shown that the suitably normalized bin contents become nearly jointly but degenerately Gaussian/normal if the rate of approach of jobs becomes large. Explicit and simple parameter characterizations are supplied, and the asymptotics are compared with simulation. The advantage of the greedy policy over a *laissez-faire* policy of equal access is quantified, and seen to depend upon  $\sqrt{\text{number of bins or processors}}$ .

Accession For	
NTIS	CPA 21
DTIC	DA 3
Unannounced	
Just	
By	
Date	
Author(s)	
Title	
Availability	
Special	
Dist	
A-1	

## Greedy Bin-Filling

There are  $I$  bins (potential servers). Jobs arrive at the bin system according to a homogeneous Poisson process, rate  $\lambda$ . Each job size is independently and identically distributed according to  $F_B(x)$ ; a generic job size is  $B$ , a real positive random variable. Let  $N_i(t)$  denote *amount of work* in bin  $i$  at time  $t$ ; this is the sum of the job sizes deposited in that bin up to time  $t$ . We refer to  $N_i(t)$  as the *bin size* at time  $t$  hereafter, although other terminology is used in the scheduling literature.

Consider *Policy E* (Equalization): When a new job arrives it is deposited in the bin with smallest amount of accumulated work.  $N(t) = \{N_i(t), t \geq 0, i \in (1, 2, \dots, I)\}$  is a Markov process with the following generator; for  $\Delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} N_i(t + \Delta) &= N_i(t) && \text{with probability } 1 - \lambda\Delta p_i(N_i(t), N(t)) + o(\Delta) \\ &= N_i(t) + B(t) && \text{with probability } \lambda\Delta p_i(N_i(t), N(t)) + o(\Delta) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Define

$$p_i(N_i(t), N(t)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } N_i(t) \leq N_j(t), j \neq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider also *Probabilistic Policy E*: same as above but

$$p_i(N_i(t), N(t)) = \frac{h(N_i(t))}{\sum_{j=1}^I h(N_j(t))} \quad (2)$$

where  $h(\cdot)$  is a positive homogeneous function chosen to become large when  $N_i(t)$  is small; e.g.  $h(x) = x^{-p}$ . Such a policy leads to workload growth very similar to Policy E's, but can be analyzed more readily. See Gaver, Morrison and Silveira [2] for application of such a probabilistic policy in a service-system scheduling context. In the present context, the bins can be buffers containing jobs to be

processed later and the aim is to keep the total processing time short; it is (optimistically) assumed that the contents of each bin is known at all times to the scheduler and that each processing time is known when the job or task appears.

### Joint Moment-Generating Function

Let the moment-generating function (assumed to exist, otherwise use the characteristic function) be

$$\psi(\theta, t) \stackrel{(d)}{=} E\left[e^{\theta \cdot N(t)}\right] \equiv E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j N_j(t)\right)\right]. \quad (3)$$

Condition on  $N_i(t)$ ,  $i \in (1, 2, \dots, I)$  and use the generator to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j N_j(t+\Delta)\right) \middle| N(t), B(t)\right] \\ &= [1 - \lambda\Delta] \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j N_j(t)\right) + \\ &+ \lambda\Delta \sum_{j=1}^I \left[ \exp\left(\theta_j (N_j(t) + B(t)) + \sum_{k \neq j} \theta_k N_k(t)\right) p_j(N_j(t); N(t)) \right] + o(\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Remove conditions, defining the mgf of the task size arriving at  $t$ ,  $B(t)$ , to be  $\hat{b}(\theta)$ , to find

$$\psi(\theta, t+\Delta) = (1 - \lambda\Delta)\psi(\theta, t) + \lambda\Delta \sum_{j=1}^I \hat{b}(\theta_j) E\left[\exp(\theta_j N_j(t)) \cdot p_j(N_j(t); N(t))\right] + o(\Delta).$$

Let  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$  to get

$$\frac{\partial \psi(\theta, t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda \psi(\theta, t) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^I \hat{b}(\theta_j) E\left[\exp(\theta_j N_j(t)) \cdot p_j(N_j(t); N(t))\right]. \quad (4)$$

Note that nothing that follows prevents non-stationary input rates: i.g.  $\lambda = \lambda r(t)$ , and the mgf of  $B(t)$  to be  $\hat{b}(\theta; t)$  provided these do not drop quickly to zero.

## Scaling

Put

$$X_j(t) = \frac{N_j(t) - \lambda \beta_j(t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad (5)$$

and let  $\lambda \gg 1$ . It is anticipated that with suitable choice of the functions  $\{\beta_j(t)\}$  ( $X_j(t)$ ,  $j \in (1, 2, \dots, D)$ ) should become a Gaussian process as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \stackrel{(d)}{=} E \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j X_j(t) \right) \right]$  for  $X_j(t)$  defined as above. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^I (\theta_j / \sqrt{\lambda}) N_j(t) \right) \right] &= \psi(\theta / \sqrt{\lambda}, t) \\ &= E \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j X_j(t) \right) \right] \cdot \exp \left( \sqrt{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j \beta_j(t) \right) \\ &= \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now since from (4)

$$\frac{\partial \psi(\theta / \sqrt{\lambda}, t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda \psi(\theta / \sqrt{\lambda}, t) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^I \hat{b}(\theta_j / \sqrt{\lambda}) E \left[ e^{\theta_j / \sqrt{\lambda} N_j(t)} \cdot p_j(N_j(t); N(t)) \right],$$

substitution of (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi(\theta / \sqrt{\lambda}, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t)) \right] = \frac{\partial \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda)}{\partial t} \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t)) \\ &+ \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) (\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta'(t) \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t))) \\ &= -\lambda \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t)) + \\ &+ \lambda \sum_{j=1}^I \hat{b}(\theta_j / \sqrt{\lambda}) E \left[ \exp(\theta X(t)) \cdot \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta(t)) \cdot p_j(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t); \lambda \beta + \sqrt{\lambda} X) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Cancel  $\exp(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta\beta(t))$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda)}{\partial t} + \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta'(t) &= -\lambda \varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) \\ + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^I \hat{b}(\theta_j / \sqrt{\lambda}) E[\exp(\theta X(t)) \cdot p_j(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t); \lambda \beta + \sqrt{\lambda} X)] & \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let

$$p_j(N_j(t), N(t)) = \frac{h(N_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h_k(N_k(t))} = \frac{h(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\lambda \beta_k(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_k(t))}$$

where  $h(\cdot)$  is homogeneous:  $h(\lambda x) = \lambda^p h(x)$ . Consequently

$$p_j(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t); \lambda \beta(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X(t)) = \frac{h(\beta_j(t) + X_j(t) / \sqrt{\lambda})}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t) + X_k(t) / \sqrt{\lambda})} \quad (8)$$

( $h(x)$  is assumed to be differentiable). Expand in inverse powers of  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_j(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t); \lambda \beta(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X(t)) &= \\ \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t))} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left[ \frac{h'(\beta_j(t)) X_j(t)}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t))} - \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t)) \right)^2} \sum_{k=1}^I h'(\beta_k(t)) X_k(t) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). & \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^I p_j(\lambda \beta_j(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X_j(t); \lambda \beta(t) + \sqrt{\lambda} X(t)) &= 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^I \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t))} & \end{aligned} \quad (9,a)$$

this implies that the summed coefficients of  $1/\sqrt{\lambda}, 1/\lambda, \text{ etc.}$  must be individually zero; this is easily verified for the coefficient of  $1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

**Asymptotic Expansion For  $\varphi(\theta, t; \lambda)$ .**

Put

$$\varphi(\theta, t; \lambda) = \varphi_0(\theta, t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \varphi_1(\theta, t) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_2(\theta, t) + \dots$$

This can now be entered into (7) and evaluated by means of (9):

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\ell}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}} + \sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta'(t) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\ell} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}} = -\lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\ell} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}}$$

$$+ \lambda \sum_{j=1}^I \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \frac{1}{k!} \theta_j^k / (\sqrt{\lambda})^k \right) \left[ \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\ell} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}} \right) \left( \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t))} \right) \right] \tag{10}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left[ \frac{h'(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t))} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\ell}}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}} \right) - \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^I h(\beta_k(t)) \right)^2} \sum_{k=1}^I h'(\beta_k(t)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\ell}}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell}} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

In the above  $b_k = E[B^k]$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  moment of job size; of course  $b_0 = 1$ .

Now identify the coefficients of inverse powers of  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ , and thereby equations for  $\varphi_{\ell}$  and  $\beta_{\ell}$ . From (10) for  $\ell = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial t} + \sqrt{\lambda} \theta \beta'(t) \cdot \varphi_0 = -\lambda \varphi_0 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^l \left( 1 + b_1 \theta_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 \theta_j^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} + \dots \right)$$

$$\left[ \varphi_0 \cdot \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^l h(\beta_k(t))} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left( \frac{h'(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_{k=1}^l h(\beta_k(t))} \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \theta_j} - \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\left[ \sum_{k=1}^l h(\beta_k(t)) \right]^2} \sum_{k=1}^l h'(\beta_k(t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \theta_k} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right] \quad (11)$$

The terms of order  $\lambda$  cancel from the r h s. The terms of order  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  on l h s and r h s cancel if

$$\theta \beta'(t) \varphi_0 = b_1 \sum_{j=1}^l \theta_j \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_k h(\beta_k(t))} \cdot \varphi_0.$$

In order for this to occur,

$$\frac{d\beta_j}{dt} = b_1 \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_k h(\beta_k(t))}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l \quad (12)$$

the solution of which determines  $\beta_j(t)$ .

Next look for terms of order 1. The l h s provides  $\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial t}$ . The r h s provides the

$$\text{terms } \varphi_0 \sum_{j=1}^l b_2 \frac{\theta_j^2}{2} \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_k h(\beta_k(t))}$$

$$\text{and } b_1 \sum_{j=1}^l \theta_j \left( \frac{h'(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_k h(\beta_k(t))} \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \theta_j} - \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\left( \sum_k h(\beta_k(t)) \right)^2} \sum_{k=1}^l h'(\beta_k(t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \theta_k} \right)$$

Note that the condition (12) actually annihilates any term of order 1 (or higher) in  $\varphi_\ell$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$  on the r h s, and the discussion of (9, a) shows that there is no contribution from  $\partial \varphi_\ell / \partial \theta_j$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial t} &= \frac{b_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j^2 p_j(\beta_j(t)) \varphi_0(\theta, t) + \\
& b_1 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j \left( \frac{h'(\beta_j(t))}{\sum_k h(\beta_k(t))} \frac{\partial \varphi_0(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta_j} - \frac{h(\beta_j(t))}{\left( \sum_k h(\beta_k(t)) \right)^2} \sum_k h'(\beta_k(t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_0(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta_k} \right) \right] \quad (13) \\
& \equiv \frac{b_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j^2 p_j(\beta_j(t)) \varphi_0(\theta, t) + b_1 \sum_{j=1}^I \theta_j \left( H_j(t) \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \theta_j} - \sum_k H_{jk}(t) \frac{\partial \varphi_0(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta_k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note:  $\sum_{j=1}^I \left( H_j(t) \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^j} - \sum_k H_{jk}(t) \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^k} \right) = 0.$

The PDE for  $\varphi_0(\theta, t)$  is recognizable as that of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (Gaussian) process. Similar equations for higher-order corrections can be derived similarly, but we omit this step.

## MOMENTS

The ( $0^{\text{th}}$  order) joint moments of  $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_j(t), j = 1, 2, \dots, I)$  satisfy ordinary differential equations that are readily obtained by differentiation of (13) at  $\theta = \underline{0}$ . If  $V_i(t) = E[X_i^2(t)]$ ,  $V_{ij}(t) = E[X_i(t)X_j(t)]$  for  $i \neq j$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dV_i}{dt} &= b_2 p_i(\beta_i(t)) + 2b_1 \left[ H_i(t) V_i(t) - \sum_{(k)} H_{ik}(t) V_{ik}(t) \right] \\
\frac{dV_{ij}}{dt} &= b_1 \left[ (H_i(t) + H_j(t)) V_{ij}(t) - \left( \sum_{(k)} H_{jk}(t) V_{ik}(t) + \sum_{(k)} H_{ik}(t) V_{jk}(t) \right) \right] \quad (i \neq j)
\end{aligned}$$

The above must be tailored as follows:

$$\frac{dV_i(t)}{dt} = b_2 p_i(\beta_i(t)) + 2b_1 \left[ (H_i(t) - H_{ii}(t))V_i(t) - \sum_{k \neq i} H_{ik}(t)V_{ik}(t) \right] \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{dV_{ij}}{dt} = b_1 \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left( (H_i(t) + H_j(t))V_{ij}(t) - (H_{ji}V_i(t) + H_{ij}V_j(t)) \right) \\ & - \left( \sum_{(k \neq i)} H_{jk}(t)V_{ik}(t) + \sum_{(k \neq j)} H_{ik}(t)V_{jk}(t) \right) \end{aligned} \right]$$

Now return to specifics; consider (12): for  $j \neq k$

$$\frac{d\beta_j(t)}{h(\beta_j(t))} = \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{h(\beta_k(t))}$$

if the bins are filled as suggested. This implies that

$$\beta_j(t) = \frac{b_1 t}{I}, \quad \text{all } j \in (1, 2, \dots, I) \quad (15)$$

and  $p_j(\beta_j(t)) = 1/I$ .

For the case in which  $h(x) = x^{-p}$  it can be seen that

$$H_i(t) = -\frac{p}{b_1 t}, \quad H_{ij}(t) = -\frac{p}{I b_1 t} \quad (16)$$

Substitute into (14) to obtain these equations for  $V_i(t) \equiv V(t)$ , ( $\forall i$ );

$V_{ij}(t) = W(t)$ , ( $\forall i \neq j$ ):

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{b_2}{I} - \frac{2p}{t} \left( \frac{I-1}{I} \right) (V - W) \quad (17,a)$$

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{2p}{It} (V - W), \quad (17,b)$$

from which an equation for  $Z(t) = V(t) - W(t)$  emerges:

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} + \frac{2p}{t} Z(t) = \frac{b_2}{I} \quad (18)$$

A solution to (18) over  $t \in (L, \infty)$ ,  $L > 0$  is of this form:

$$Z(t) = \frac{b_2 t}{I(1+2p)} + \frac{K(L)}{t^{2p}}, \quad L \leq t$$

From this and (17,b)

$$\frac{dW(t)}{dt} = \frac{2p}{It} Z(t)$$

we get

$$W(t) = \frac{2p}{1+2p} \frac{b_2}{I^2} t + \frac{K(L)}{2p} \left( \frac{1}{t^{2p}} - \frac{1}{L^{2p}} \right) \quad L \leq t \quad (19)$$

and from (17,a)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(t)}{dt} &= \frac{b_2}{I} - \frac{2p}{t} \left( \frac{I-1}{I} \right) Z(t) \\ V(t) &= \frac{b_2 t}{I^2} \left( \frac{2p+1}{2p+1} \right) + K(L) \left( \frac{I-1}{I} \right) \left( \frac{1}{t^{2p+1}} - \frac{1}{L^{2p+1}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now if  $p \gg 1$  it is seen that

$$V(t) = W(t) \cong \frac{b_2 t}{I^2} \quad (21)$$

Parenthetically, the comparable figures for independently filled bins are

$$V(t) = \frac{b_2 t}{I} \quad \text{and} \quad W(t) = 0. \quad (22)$$

From (15) and (20)

$$\begin{aligned} E[N_i(t)] &= \lambda t \frac{b_1}{I} + O(\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ \text{Var}[N_i(t)] &= \text{Cov}[N_i(t), N_j(t)] = \lambda t \frac{b_2}{I^2} + O(\sqrt{\lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The singular behavior of  $W(t)$  and  $V(t)$  for small  $t$ , as in (19) and (20), can be attributed to the indeterminacy of the bin selection probability, (2), for  $N_i(0) = 0$ ,  $\forall_i$ , which was the assumed initial condition. The long-time behavior of greedy packing, expressed by (23), is of interest: since  $\text{Var}[N_i(t)] = \text{Cov}[N_i(t), N_j(t)]$  all bin contents are essentially perfectly correlated at any time. Consequently, to order  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  the *maximum* bin contents  $N_m(t)$ , are approximately normal/Gaussian with mean  $\lambda t b_1 / I$  and standard deviation  $\sqrt{\lambda t b_2} / I$ . If bins are filled independently the mean is the same but now  $N_m(t)$  is distributed approximately as the

maximum of  $I$  independent normals, each with standard deviation  $\sqrt{\lambda t b_2} / \sqrt{I}$  — considerably larger in a probabilistic sense. Note that putting  $p = 0$  in (19), (20) yields the independent result; putting  $p$  finite yields other probabilistic options. In the scheduling context the *makespan*, i.e. time to complete all tasks present at time  $t$ , is substantially reduced by the current greedy scheme, which is equivalent to what Coffman *et al.* (1991) call *list scheduling* (LS); our approach is *on-line* list scheduling, meaning that tasks are assigned to processors sequentially as they appear in time. It should be pointed out that the moments obtained above can also be derived directly from (1) and (2) by expansion of (5), rather as suggested by Isham [3].

### Simulations

Limited informal simulations were conducted in order to check the accuracy of the proposed asymptotic approximations. The simulations were written in APL2 and conducted on an AMDAHL 5995-700A at the Naval Postgraduate School using the LLRANDOM random number generator; cf. Lewis *et al.* [4]. All simulations were run for time  $t = 1$  at the indicated  $\lambda$ -values for two job size distributions, both gamma: the exponential and an extended-tail highly-skewed gamma with shape parameter one-half.

Examination of Table 1 indicates that agreement is good between the asymptotic approximation and simulation results (based on 1000 replications) for the marginal distributional properties of an arbitrary bin when the greedy policy is followed. As anticipated, a considerable reduction in the variance of bin size, and also of upper-tail percentiles, is achieved by greediness, as contrasted to a simple random assignment.

The figures of Table 2, which describe the approximation to the maximum bin size, or *makespan* in a scheduling context, are serviceable but tend to be low or

optimistic, especially for the smaller  $\lambda$ -value of 50. For  $\lambda = 300$  the agreement is better and correctly predicts the substantial reduction of mean, variance, and upper percentiles achieved by the greedy policy. Note that numerical agreement between simulation and our asymptotics should improve if the job sizes have smaller variances and third moments.

It can be conjectured, and demonstrated, that a cyclic or round-robin policy of putting every  $I^{\text{th}}$  arrival in the same bin will tend to reduce within-bin variance and makespan levels. It may be advantageous that both random and round-robin policies require no information concerning current bin size or occupancy at the time an assignment must be made, whereas the greedy policy and others depend on precise distributional forms do require such information. If reduction of bin size or makespan variation is important the acquisition of the information needed to implement a greedy policy may be well worth the cost.

### **Acknowledgments.**

The writers are grateful to Paul Wright and Ed Coffman of AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, for acquainting us with the greedy algorithm evaluation problem.

**Table 1. ARBITRARY BIN SIZE**

$I = 5$

B: G = 1: Gamma, Shape Param. = 1 (Exponential)

G = 0.5: Gamma, Shape Param. = 0.5;  $E[B] = 1$ .

Number Replications = 1000

Mean Demand( $\lambda$ )	Job Size ( $B$ )	Policy									
		Greedy				Random					
		Mean	Var	Quantiles		Mean	Var	Quantiles			
				80%	90%			80%	90%		
50	G = 1	App:	10.0	4.0	11.7	12.6	10.0	20.0	13.8	15.7	
		Sim:	10.1	5.0	11.9	13.0	10.0	19.6	13.7	16.1	
	G = 0.5	App:	10.0	6.0	12.3	13.1	10.0	30.0	14.6	17.0	
		Sim:	10.0	8.5	12.1	13.8	10.2	32.6	14.8	18.0	
	300	G = 1	App:	60.0	24.0	64.1	66.3	60.0	120.0	69.2	74.0
			Sim:	60.2	24.1	64.4	66.4	60.4	112.1	69.5	73.9
G = 0.5		App:	60.0	36.0	65.0	67.7	60.0	180.0	71.2	77.1	
		Sim:	60.2	38.9	65.4	68.0	60.0	172.7	70.7	77.1	

**Table 2. MAXIMUM BIN SIZE**

$I = 5$

$B: G = 1:$  Gamma, Shape Param. = 1 (Exponential)

$G = 0.5:$  Gamma, Shape Param. = 0.5;  $E[B] = 1.$

Number Replications = 1000

Mean Demand ( $\lambda$ )	Job Size ( $B$ )	Policy									
		Greedy				Random					
		Mean	Var	Quantiles		Mean	Var	Quantiles			
				80%	90%			80%	90%		
50	G = 1	App:	10.0	4.0	11.7	12.6	—	—	17.6	19.1	
		Sim:	11.2	5.2	13.1	14.2	15.6	15.1	18.7	23.9	
	G = 0.5	App:	10.0	6.0	12.1	13.1	—	—	19.4	21.2	
		Sim:	12.2	10.7	14.8	16.5	16.9	28.2	20.7	23.9	
	300	G = 1	App:	60.0	24.0	64.1	66.3	—	—	78.7	82.3
			Sim:	61.5	24.3	65.6	67.7	73.3	61.5	79.5	83.5
G = 0.5		App:	60.0	36.0	65.0	67.7	—	—	82.9	87.3	
		Sim:	62.3	40.0	67.7	70.3	76.2	107.6	84.3	90.4	

— : Not convenient to compute

## REFERENCES

- [1] Coffman Jr., E. G. and Lueker, G. S. (1991). *Probabilistic Analysis of Packing and Partitioning Algorithms*. Wiley-Interscience. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- [2] Gaver, D. P., Morrison, J. A., and Silveira, R. (1993). *Service-adaptive multitype repairman problems*. SIAM J. Appl. Math., Vol. 53, No. 2, pp. 459-470.
- [3] Isham, V. (1991). *Assessing the variability of stochastic epidemics*. Mathematical Biosciences. Vol. 107, pp. 209-224.
- [4] Lewis, P. A. W. and Uribe, L. (1981). "The New Naval Postgraduate School Random number generator - LLRANDOMII." Naval Postgraduate School Technical Report NPS 55-81-005, Monterey, CA.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1. Library (Code 052).....2  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
2. Defense Technical Information Center.....2  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314
3. Research Office (Code 08).....1  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
4. Prof. Peter Purdue.....1  
Code OR-Pd  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
5. Prof. Michael Bailey.....1  
Code OR-Ba  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
6. Department of Operations Research (Code OR).....1  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
7. Prof. Donald Gaver, Code OR-Gv.....10  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
8. Prof. Patricia Jacobs .....10  
Code OR/Jc  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5000
9. Center for Naval Analyses.....1  
4401 Ford Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22302-0268

- 10. Dr. J. Abrahams, Code 1111, Room 607 .....1  
 Mathematical Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, VA 22217-5000
- 11. Dr. David Brillinger.....1  
 Statistics Department  
 University of California  
 Berkeley, CA 94720
- 12. Dr. David Burman.....1  
 AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974
- 13. Prof. H. Chernoff.....1  
 Department of Statistics  
 Harvard University  
 1 Oxford Street  
 Cambridge, MA 02138
- 14. Dr. Edward G. Coffman, Jr.....1  
 AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974
- 15. Professor Sir David Cox.....1  
 Nuffield College  
 Oxford, OX1 1NF  
 ENGLAND
- 16. Professor H. G. Daellenbach.....1  
 Department of Operations Research  
 University of Canterbury  
 Christchurch, NEW ZEALAND
- 17. Dr. S. R. Dalal.....1  
 Bellcore  
 445 South Street  
 Morristown, NJ 07962-1910

18. Dr. D. F. Daley .....1  
 Statistic Dept. (I.A.S.)  
 Australian National University  
 Canberra, A.C.T. 2606  
 AUSTRALIA
19. Dr. B. Doshi .....1  
 AT&T Bell Laboratories  
 HO 3M-335  
 Holmdel, NJ 07733
20. Prof. Bradley Efron.....1  
 Statistics Dept.  
 Sequoia Hall  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94305
21. Dr. Guy Fayolle.....1  
 I.N.R.I.A.  
 Dom de Voluceau-Rocquencourt  
 78150 Le Chesnay Cedex  
 FRANCE
22. Dr. M. J. Fischer .....5  
 Defense Communications Agency  
 1860 Wiehle Avenue  
 Reston, VA 22070
23. Prof. George S. Fishman.....1  
 Curr. in OR & Systems Analysis  
 University of North Carolina  
 Chapel Hill, NC 20742
24. Dr. Neil Gerr .....1  
 Office of Naval Research  
 Arlington, VA 22217
25. Dr. R. J. Gibbens.....1  
 Statistics Laboratory  
 16 Mill Lane  
 Cambridge  
 ENGLAND

26. Prof. Peter Glynn.....1  
 Dept. of Operations Research  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94350
27. Prof. Linda V. Green.....1  
 Graduate School of Business  
 Columbia University  
 New York, NY 10027
28. Dr. Shlomo Halfin.....1  
 Bellcore,  
 445 South Street  
 Morristown, NJ 07962-1910  
 (MRE 2L309)
29. Prof. Bernard Harris.....1  
 Dept. of Statistics  
 University of Wisconsin  
 610 Walnut Street  
 Madison, WI 53706
30. Dr. P. Heidelberger.....1  
 IBM Research Laboratory  
 Yorktown Heights  
 New York, NY 10598
31. Prof. J. Michael Harrison.....1  
 Graduate School of Business  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94305-5015
32. Arthur P. Hurter, Jr. ....1  
 Professor and Chairman  
 Dept. of Industrial Engineering and Management Sciences  
 Northwestern University  
 Evanston, IL 60201-9990
33. Prof. D. L. Iglehart.....1  
 Dept. of Operations Research  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94350

34. Institute for Defense Analysis.....1  
 1800 North Beauregard  
 Alexandria, VA 22311
35. Prof. J. B. Kadane.....1  
 Dept. of Statistics  
 Carnegie-Mellon University  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15213
36. Dr. F. P. Kelly.....1  
 Statistics Laboratory  
 16 Mill Lane  
 Cambridge  
 ENGLAND
37. Dr. Jon Kettenring.....1  
 Bellcore  
 445 South Street  
 Morris Township, NJ 07962-1910
38. Koh Peng Kong.....1  
 OA Branch, DSO  
 Ministry of Defense  
 Blk 29 Middlesex Road  
 SINGAPORE 1024
39. Dr. Prabha Kumar.....1  
 Defense Communications Agency  
 1860 Wiehle Avenue  
 Reston, VA 22070
40. Dr. A. J. Lawrence.....1  
 Dept. of Mathematics,  
 University of Birmingham  
 P. O. Box 363  
 Birmingham B15 2TT  
 ENGLAND
41. Prof. M. Leadbetter.....1  
 Department of Statistics  
 University of North Carolina  
 Chapel Hill, NC 27514

42. Prof. J. Lehoczky .....1  
 Department of Statistics  
 Carnegie-Mellon University  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15213
43. Dr. D. M. Lucantoni.....1  
 AT&T Bell Laboratories  
 Holmdel, NJ 07733
44. Dr. Colin Mallows.....1  
 AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974
45. Prof. R. Douglas Martin.....1  
 Department of Statistics, GN-22  
 University of Washington  
 Seattle, WA 98195
46. Prof. M. Mazumdar .....1  
 Dept. of Industrial Engineering  
 University of Pittsburgh  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15235
47. Dr. James McKenna.....1  
 Bell Communications Research  
 445 South Street  
 Morristown, NJ 07960-1910
48. Operations Research Center, Rm E40-164.....1  
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
 Attn: R. C. Larson and J. F. Shapiro  
 Cambridge, MA 02139
49. Dr. John Orav.....1  
 Biostatistics Department  
 Harvard School of Public Health  
 677 Huntington Ave.  
 Boston, MA 02115

50. Dr. T. J. Ott .....1  
 Bellcore,  
 445 South Street  
 Morristown, NJ 07962-1910  
 (MRE 2P388)
51. Prof. Paul Moose .....1  
 C3I Academic Group  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943-5000
52. Dr. John A. Morrison.....1  
 AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974
53. Dr. V. Ramaswami .....1  
 MRE 2Q-358  
 Bell Communications Research, Inc.  
 445 South Street  
 Morristown, NJ 07960
54. Dr. Martin Reiman.....1  
 Rm #2C-117  
 AT&T Bell labs  
 600 Mountain Ave.  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974-2040
55. Prof. Maria Rieders.....1  
 Dept. of Industrial Eng.  
 Northwestern Univ.  
 Evanston, IL 60208
56. Dr. John E. Rolph.....1  
 RAND Corporation  
 1700 Main St.  
 Santa Monica, CA 90406
57. Prof. M. Rosenblatt .....1  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of California, San Diego  
 La Jolla, CA 92093

58. Prof. Frank Samaniego .....1  
 Statistics Department  
 University of California  
 Davis, CA 95616
59. Prof. W. R. Schucany.....1  
 Dept. of Statistics  
 Southern Methodist University  
 Dallas, TX 75222
60. Dr. Rhonda Righter.....1  
 Dept. of Decision & Info. Sciences  
 Santa Clara University  
 Santa Clara, CA 95118
61. Prof. G. Shantikumar.....1  
 The Management Science Group  
 School of Business Administration  
 University of California  
 Berkeley, CA 94720
62. Prof. D. C. Siegmund.....1  
 Dept. of Statistics  
 Sequoia Hall  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94305
63. Prof. N. D. Singpurwalla .....1  
 George Washington University  
 Washington, DC 20052
64. Prof. H. Solomon .....1  
 Department of Statistics  
 Sequoia Hall  
 Stanford University  
 Stanford, CA 94305
65. Prof. J. R. Thompson.....1  
 Dept. of Mathematical Science  
 Rice University  
 Houston, TX 77001

66. Prof. J. W. Tukey .....1  
 Statistics Dept., Fine Hall  
 Princeton University  
 Princeton, NJ 08540
67. Dr. D. Vere-Jones.....1  
 Dept. of Math, Victoria Univ. of Wellington  
 P. O. Box 196  
 Wellington  
 NEW ZEALAND
68. Dr. Daniel H. Wagner .....1  
 Station Square One  
 Paoli, PA 19301
69. Dr. Ed Wegman.....1  
 George Mason University  
 Fairfax, VA 22030
70. Dr. Alan Weiss .....1  
 Rm. 2C-118  
 AT&T Bell Laboratories  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, NJ 07974-2040
71. Dr. P. Welch .....1  
 IBM Research Laboratory  
 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598
72. Prof. Roy Welsch.....1  
 Sloan School  
 M.I.T.  
 Cambridge, MA 02139