



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive
DSpace Repository

NPS Scholarship

Publications

2017-03

**Flexible and Adaptable Ship Options:
Assessing the Future Value of Incorporating
Flexible Ships Design Features Into New Navy
Ship Concepts**

Mun, Johnathan C.; Housel, Thomas J.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

<https://hdl.handle.net/10945/58863>

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

<http://www.nps.edu/library>



**FLEXIBLE AND ADAPTABLE SHIP OPTIONS:
ASSESSING THE FUTURE VALUE OF INCORPORATING FLEXIBLE
SHIPS DESIGN FEATURES INTO NEW NAVY SHIP CONCEPTS**

Dr. Johnathan Mun

Monterey, California

WWW.NPS.EDU



Introduction


- Research Goal: Assess total future value of Flexible Ships design features to enable affordable war fighting relevance over a ship's full service life.
 - Affordable War Fighting means a higher cost now but greater ROI over the entire service life and lifecycle of the ship.
 - IRM methodology can be used to support and refine the Future Surface Combatant Analysis of Alternatives.
- Methodology provides a reusable, extensible, adaptable, and comprehensive advanced analytical modeling process.
- Will help the U.S. Navy in quantifying, modeling, valuing, and optimizing a set of ship design options.
- Results used to develop a robust business case for making strategic design decisions, under uncertainty.



The Five Tenets of Flexible Ships*

- Payloads Decoupled from Platforms
- Standard Interfaces
- Rapid Reconfiguration
- Planned Access Routes
- Allowance Margins for Modernization

*Directorate, Office of Science and Technology, NAVSEA





What are Real Options?

Traditional decision analysis approach:

- Provides single decision pathway
- Allows only one future outcome
- Locks in a single risk rate
- All assumptions determined at the outset

Real Options approach:

- Allows multiple decision pathways
- Maximizes financial flexibility
- Recognizes managerial decision making
- Incorporates new assumptions over time
- Allows variable risk



Benefits of Flexible Ships* (I)

- **Affordable warfighting relevance** over the entire ship service life (higher cost now but greater ROI over the service life and lifecycle of the ship).
- **Parallel development of payload vs. platform production** (give me the power and space I need and we will bring in the weapon systems later, e.g., directed energy weapons).
- **Reduction from lengthy and costly ship production work** (make it easier up front for later swapping of technologies without predefining the exact point solutions of future unknown capabilities and timing).
- **Increased competition and innovation** (helps commoditize systems, without need to sunset).
- **Cross platform commonality** (LCS missions bay with the proper configuration management).

Benefits of Flexible Ships* (II)

- **Rapid Prototyping of Payloads** for rapid acquisition of new capabilities (growth margins and future growth potentials are prebuilt).
- **Modular Open Systems** increases acquisition agility (put the studs in and do the panels later as needed, whether it be ceramic, Kevlar, high intensity polymers).
- **Standard Interfaces** provide for common platforms and enclosures, swappable equipment.
- **Efficient technology refresh**, faster incremental upgrades, and faster development, faster technology adoption and fielding.
- **Paces future threats** (flexible in meeting unknown future threats, cost, schedule, capability).



The Challenge

- **Flexibility vs. Affordability.** Long term value, not immediate gains.
- **Strategic Point of View.** Flexibility means thinking through the future on where we might want the U.S. Navy to go.
- **A Tactical Approach.** With any upcoming repairs, implement small modularity capabilities instead of repairing back to the original.
- The next phase of the research looks at building business case models to justify flexibility. We need to consider the need to “cut steel” during major ship alterations vs. faster implementation. Also, the higher the number of deployments and ships on station we obtain with flexible ships (opportunity costs of not being active in the fleet, back on station faster, faster schedule and lower labor and ship alteration costs in the future).



Case Examples of Flexible Ships (I)

- **AEGIS Ashore.** Aegis with SPY-6 Radar where modularity was a result rather than being designed-in up front. The need was for reusability on ships with rapid setup and deployment as well as rapid take down of equipment. MDA working with the Navy and ACE. The relocatable requirement forced the need for modularity.
- **Air Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) SPY-6** architecture has enhanced capabilities including longer range and greater number of issues detection, a game changer. The main advancement is its longer range and ability in simultaneous threat assessments, and is integrated with the AEGIS system. AMDR is sensor agnostic with an open architecture, solid state system, and standard interface. Additional data links can be added quickly and cheaply, with simple maintenance and higher efficiency. Can also be integrated with other Electronic Warfare (EW) systems for rapid kill assessments and coordination of soft kill and hard kills.

Case Examples of Flexible Ships (II)

• Directed Energy Weapons

- A lot of unknowns such as power density needs (watts/cm), aperture physics, capability of continuous tracking and targeting high speed objects, and other advanced threats (e.g., Hyperglides, UAV swarms).
- The idea is to have excess and on-demand power (you have it the instant you need it, and to have more than you will need).

• Hybrid Power Systems and Storage for Directed Energy Weapons

- Leverage 30X sensor improvement with only 2X power needs.
- Constraints are the ship's size, weight, cooling, and fire control.
- Need capabilities to face unknown future advanced threats. Capability gaps are identified with the help and coordination of the intelligence community.
- The idea is not to have a perfect single point estimate foresight of capability needs but to be prepared to implement a range of future unknown systems to meet a set of future unknown threats.

Case Examples of Flexible Ships (III)

- **High Density Power**

- DDG51 FLT III presents an opportunity to upgrade its power plant for FY2020 to accommodate directed energy weapons (sponsor buy-in and the budget requirement to make sure things get into production on time... clock starts now).
- Requires fast charge and ready at a moment's notice and instantaneous requirements. Power and energy is the foundation of the kill chain.
- The uncertainty is that there is a stochastic load demand, which means that if the Navy is using directed energy weapons, they'd better have plenty of it.
- With a capability to handle large demand loads, advanced solid state circuit protection and robust combat power controls are also required.
- There needs to be a multifunction energy storage capability with a compact power conversion structure to reduce size and weight.
- Unknowns: AC vs. DC, 6/12/18 KV system, heat loads and coolant levels, outputs (4MW x 20 buses) for Medium Volt DC, frequency, power conversion, storage area, fit on smaller ships, decoupled buses and needs for rotor alignments...

Case Examples of Flexible Ships (IV)

• CANES Backfit


- Started in 2013. Expectation that all surface combatants (~180) would be fully operational by 2022.
- CANES undergoes a software refresh every two years and hardware upgrades every four years.
- Given the extra volume that was built into LPD-17 (margin for growth and easier accessibility – wider main peeway to accommodate larger item) the CANES backfit (replacing SWAN) on LPD-17 been more cost efficient than the CANES backfit on the DDG Flight IIA.

• LCS Missile Module

- Initial module was funded by the Army for the XM501 NLOS Launch System.
- The program was canceled in 2010 and the Navy was left without a replacement. Because the LCS was designed for a modular missile payload instead of being designed with a structurally integral missile system, the LCS was able to deploy and meet mission requirements while a new modular missile payload was developed.
- The Hellfire Longbow was structurally tested in 2017 on USS Detroit.
- This example highlights the cost savings of modularity. If LCS has been structurally designed with the XM501, replacement would have been costly, with extended yard periods and the ship would not have been able to deploy. With the modular missile bay, LCS was able to deploy while parallel development of a new missile module took place.

1
RISK IDENTIFICATION

QUALITATIVE MANAGEMENT SCREENING



Start with a list of projects or strategies to be evaluated that have already been through qualitative screening...

2
RISK PREDICTION


FORECAST PREDICTION MODELING



...with the assistance of forecasting algorithms, future outcomes can be predicted...

3
RISK MODELING

BASE CASE STATIC MODELS



Traditional analysis stops here!

...create traditional static base case financial or economic models for each project...

4
RISK ANALYSIS

DYNAMIC MONTE CARLO RISK SIMULATION


Simulate thousands of scenario outcomes



...Tornado analysis identifies critical success factors, then dynamic sensitivities and Monte Carlo risk simulations are run...

5
RISK MITIGATION


REAL OPTIONS PROBLEM FRAMING



...strategic real options are framed to hedge and mitigate downside risks and take advantage of upside potential...

6
RISK HEDGING


REAL OPTIONS VALUATION AND MODELING



...the real options are valued using binomial lattices and closed-form partial-differential models with simulation...

7
RISK DIVERSIFICATION


PORTFOLIO AND RESOURCE OPTIMIZATION




...stochastic optimization on multiple projects for efficient asset allocation subject to resource constraints...


8
RISK MANAGEMENT

REPORTS, PRESENTATION, AND UPDATES



...create reports, make decisions, and update analysis iteratively when uncertainty is resolved over time...





Yeah, there's some math involved...

For instance, we first start by solving for the critical value of I , an iterative component in the model using:

$$X_2 = Ie^{-q(T_2-t_1)} \Phi \left(\frac{\ln(I/X_1) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)(T_2-t_1)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T_2-t_1)}} \right) - X_1 e^{-r(T_2-t_1)} \Phi \left(\frac{\ln(I/X_1) + (r-q-\sigma^2/2)(T_2-t_1)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T_2-t_1)}} \right)$$

Then, solve recursively for the value I above and input it into the

$$\text{Compound Option} = Se^{-qT_2} \Omega \left[\frac{\ln(S/X_1) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)T_2}{\sigma\sqrt{T_2}}; \frac{\ln(S/I) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)t_1}{\sigma\sqrt{t_1}}; \sqrt{t_1/T_2} \right] - X_1 e^{-rT_2} \Omega \left[\frac{\ln(S/X_1) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)T_2 - \sigma\sqrt{T_2}}{\sigma\sqrt{T_2}}; \frac{\ln(S/I) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)t_1 - \sigma\sqrt{t_1}; \sqrt{t_1/T_2}}{\sigma\sqrt{t_1}} \right] - X_2 e^{-rt_1} \Phi \left[\frac{\ln(S/I) + (r-q+\sigma^2/2)t_1 - \sigma\sqrt{t_1}}{\sigma\sqrt{t_1}} \right]$$

The preceding closed-form differential equation models are then verified using the risk-neutral market-replicating portfolio approach assuming a sequential compound option. In solving the market-replicating approach, we use the following functional forms (Mun, 2006):

- Hedge ratio (h):

$$h_{i-1} = \frac{C_{up} - C_{down}}{S_{up} - S_{down}}$$

- Debt load (D):

$$D_{i-1} = S_i(h_{i-1}) - C_i$$

- Call value (C) at node i :

$$C_i = S_i(h_i) - D_i e^{-rf(\delta t)}$$

- Risk-adjusted probability (q):

$$q_i = \frac{S_{i-1} - S_{down}}{S_{up} - S_{down}} \text{ obtained assuming}$$

$$S_{i-1} = q_i S_{up} + (1 - q_i) S_{down}$$

- This means that

$$S_{i-1} = q_i S_{up} + S_{down} - q_i S_{down} \text{ and } q_i [S_{up} - S_{down}] = S_{i-1} - S_{down},$$

so we get $q_i = \frac{S_{i-1} - S_{down}}{S_{up} - S_{down}}$