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ABSTRACT

The operation of a two-phase charge-coupled shift register is discussed and analyzed. A parametric study for simultaneous operation with more than one input signal is presented. The output circuit is analyzed for its contribution to non-linearity. An analog signal processing linear model of the charge-coupled device is proposed.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ................................................................. 9
   A. CHARGE-COUPLED SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES ......................... 9
      1. Multi-Phase Charge-Coupled Devices ......................... 10
      2. Two-Phase Operation ............................................. 10
   B. ANALOG SIGNAL PROCESSING APPLICATIONS ....................... 11
      1. Transversal Filters ............................................. 11
      2. Recursive Filters ............................................... 12
      3. Variable Delay Lines ........................................... 12

II. NATURE OF PROBLEM ....................................................... 13
   A. SAMPLED NATURE OF THE OUTPUT ................................... 13
   B. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE OPERATING POINT ......................... 14
   C. TWO-PORT MODEL ..................................................... 15
   D. OUTPUT LINEARITY ................................................... 15

III. TWO-PHASE CHARGE-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTER ....................... 16
   A. TYPES OF TWO-PHASE CCD'S ........................................ 16
   B. DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE ............................ 17
   C. OPERATION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE ......................... 20
      1. Charge-Transfer Operations ................................... 20
      2. Signal Input to Experimental Device ......................... 24
      3. Output Circuit Used in the Study ............................. 25

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES .............................................. 29
   A. TO ACCOMPLISH CHARGE TRANSFER ................................ 29
   B. TO ESTABLISH LINEAR SIGNAL TRANSFER .......................... 31
   C. TO DETERMINE DEVICE GAIN ....................................... 33
D. TO DETERMINE CIRCUIT MODEL PARAMETERS .................. 36
E. TO ESTABLISH LINEAR OPERATING REGIONS .................. 36
F. TO DETERMINE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE OUTPUT MOSFET .... 38

V. PRESENTATION OF DATA ................................................ 41
A. DC GAIN MEASUREMENTS ............................................ 41
B. LINEAR REGIONS ....................................................... 43
C. AC GAIN CONFIRMATION ............................................. 46
D. OUTPUT CIRCUIT ANALYSIS ......................................... 48
   1. Data Curve Fitting .............................................. 49
   2. Output MOSFET Characteristics .................................. 52
   3. Output Diode Effects ........................................... 54
   4. Input to the Source Follower .................................. 61
   5. Output of the Source Follower ................................ 63
E. LINEAR MODEL ......................................................... 67

VI. CONCLUSIONS ............................................................. 70

APPENDIX A Tabulations of Computer Output ....................... 71
APPENDIX B Program to Solve the Initial Value Problem ......... 79
APPENDIX C Modified Initial Value Problem Solution ............. 82
  Program
APPENDIX D Program to Fit a Curve Through a Set ................. 85
  of Data by the Method of Least Squares
APPENDIX E Program to Evaluate the Output of ................... 89
  a MOSFET Source Follower

BIBLIOGRAPHY ............................................................. 91
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION .................................................. 92
# List of Figures

1. Typical Input-Output Signals ............................................. 14
2. Types of Two-Phase Charge-Coupled Structures ..................... 16
3. Cross-Sectional View and Plan of 8-Stage Shift Register Used 19
4. Charge-Transfer Operation of the 2-Phase CCD ..................... 22
5. Charge Input Operation .................................................. 26
6. Output Circuit ............................................................ 28
7. Experimental Circuit Diagram .......................................... 30
8. Typical Processing Waveforms ......................................... 32
9. MOSFET Test Setup ...................................................... 39
10. MOSFET Saturation Characteristics .................................. 40
11. Output Current Pulse Amplitude as a Function of DC Voltage on Gate No. 1 ........................................ 42
12. Locus of Linear Operation as a Function of the DC Voltages on Gates 1 & 2 ........................................ 44
13. Locus of Linear Operation as a Function of the DC Voltages on Gates 1 & 3 ........................................ 45
14. Small Signal AC Gain as a Function of the DC Voltage on Gate No. 1 ........................................ 47
15. Output Circuit ............................................................ 48
16. Typical Output MOSFET Drain Characteristics ................... 55
17. Equivalent Circuit Seen by the Output Diode ..................... 57
18. Output Diode Response to a Step Function Input .................. 60
19. Peak Diode Output Voltage as a Function of the Peak Channel Signal Potential Adjacent to the Output Diode 62
20. Simplified Output Circuit........................................65

21. Peak System Output as a Function of.........................66
the Peak Channel Potential Adjacent
to the Output Diode

22. Small Signal Linear Model of CCD.............................69
I. INTRODUCTION

Charge-coupled semiconductor devices (CCD's) were invented in 1970 at the Bell Laboratories [Ref. 1]. Since their invention and initial experimental investigation, they have held forth promise of low noise, high dynamic range, analog delay lines. Great effort has been successfully expended to develop charge-coupled devices into self-scanned solid-state image sensors. The devices are held to be attractive for analog and digital signal processing and digital memory. The simpler fabrication of charge-coupled as opposed to Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (MOSFET) or bipolar transistor technologies promises increased production yields to manufacturers. The net result is a quickening interest in charge-coupled devices for signal processing.

A. CHARGE-COUPLED SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES

Charge-coupled semiconductor devices consist of closely spaced MOS capacitors pulsed into deep depletion by the clock phase voltages. For times much shorter than that required to form an inversion layer of minority carriers by thermal generation, potential wells will be formed at the silicon surface. The minority carrier charge representing the information will be stored or confined in these potential wells. The propagation of the information is accomplished by clock pulses applied to the electrodes of the successive MOS capaci-
itors (i.e., charge-coupled elements), resulting in motion or spilling of charges from the potential wells that become shallower to the potential wells that become deeper. Such propagation of signal into successive minima of the surface potential produces a shift register for analog signals having signal transfer efficiency approaching unity. Such analog shift registers may be used for various signal processing applications such as electronically variable delays or self-scanning photosensor arrays.

1. **Multi-Phase Charge-Coupled Devices**

If the charge-coupled structures are formed with symmetrical potential wells, at least three phases are required to determine the direction of the signal flow. The use of more than three phases may be dictated by either the construction design symmetry, as in the case of a four-phase silicon gate overlapped by aluminum structures, or special signal coding schemes in which more than one bit may be propagated in one clock cycle. One important feature of the three-phase system is that it may be used for a bidirectional charge-coupled channel in which the flow of information may be reversed by reversing the timing of two of the three phase clocks.

2. **Two-Phase Operation**

Two-phase operation requires that the charge-coupled structures be formed so that the potential wells induced by the phase voltage pulses are deeper in the direction of the
signal flow. In this case, as one phase voltage is lowered, the resulting potential barriers force a unidirectional signal flow. It should be noted that a one-clock operation can also be obtained in a two-phase charge-coupled shift register if a proper dc voltage is applied to one of the phases [Ref. 2]. Furthermore, a true single-phase or a uniphase charge-coupled structure [Ref. 3] can be formed by replacing the dc-biased phase with a structure involving a fixed charge in the oxide.

B. ANALOG SIGNAL PROCESSING APPLICATIONS

Greatly overshadowed, until recently, by imager development, analog signal processing is a fertile area for charge-coupled devices. Electronically variable analog delay lines, correlators, transversal filters and recursive filters are among the possibilities. It is assumed in all of these applications that the process is linear, that the technique of superposition applies to the input-output relationships. It is this assumption, or at least the linearity of charge-coupled devices, that is examined in this study.

1. Transversal Filters

Filters using the variable delay function of the charge-coupled device without employing signal feedback are classified as transversal filters. These filters are characterized by a finite memory and little or no phase distortion. Sharp cutoff in such a filter can only be achieved with a large number of terms, or delays, requiring many delay units to be fabricated. Such filters inherently require big charge-
coupled device arrays.

2. **Recursive Filters**

Recursive filters employ negative feedback of the output of the circuit to the input. Such filters enjoy infinite memory and use fewer terms than do transversal filters. The economy of terms, and hence delay lines, usually results in poor phase characteristics. It is simpler to obtain sharp cutoff using recursive techniques than with transversal. This represents an economy in charge-coupled structures required.

3. **Variable Delay Lines**

Since the charge-coupled device moves signals along the surface of a channel beneath clocking gates, it is the frequency of the clocking signal and the number of charge-coupled elements that determine the delay to which a signal is subjected. Variation of the clocking frequency can be easily achieved. Such variation permits simple electronic control of the delay provided by the device. Use of low clocking frequencies provides the capability for small long time delay units, as well as providing a fine tuning capability.
II. NATURE OF PROBLEM

The principle of superposition is so pervasive in the area of analog signal processing that nearly all circuit design and analysis is dependent upon the linear nature of the components used. Specifically, in the design of both transversal and recursive filters, the process is assumed to be linear as a fundamental concept. In analog signal processing, it is vital that the charge-coupled device be operated in such a manner that the assumption of linearity be valid. How to assure linear operation is the nature of the problem.

A. SAMPLED NATURE OF THE OUTPUT

In charge-coupled devices, an output signal is only available when the clock phases have moved a quantity of charge to the output of the device. This quantity of charge may be proportional to an input signal level present at the input of the device at a time in the past equal to the number of charge-coupled structures divided by twice the clocking frequency. The output of the device appears as a sampled version of the input waveform delayed in time as shown in Figure 1. The sample rate and the delay time are set by the clock frequency. While a sampled output signal can hardly be considered linear; provided that the clock frequency is high relative to the signal frequency, the linear nature may be easily provided by the use of a sample and hold circuit, or
in some cases by a low pass filter.

NOTE: Clock frequency is 12.625 times signal frequency in an eight stage shift register.

_TYPICAL INPUT-OUTPUT SIGNALS_  

**Figure 1**

B. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE OPERATING POINT

The experimental devices used in this study required the setting of ten variables for operation. In most cases, these variables were tuned for operation only. The establishment of an operating point for linear operation was primarily a function of the dc-bias levels placed on the input ports of the device. The absolute level of these biases was effected by some of the other parameters, but the relative value of one to the others established the linear region desired. An extensive part of this study was the determination of the bias levels necessary for linear operation.
C. TWO-PORT MODEL

When electronic devices are operated so that non-linear distortion is small, they can be approximated by linear equations and circuit elements. In such cases, all the procedures of linear circuit analysis may be applied and complex circuits may be analyzed with a minimum of effort. Such an approximation is a linear model or equivalent circuit of the device. This model can be used to determine the effect of the electronic device on an external circuit. It is important to realize that a model does not represent the actual internal behavior of the device. Most active devices used in electronic circuits may be modeled as a two-port linear system. It is reasonable to assume that a charge-coupled device may also be so modeled.

D. OUTPUT LINEARITY

The representation of the signal by a quantity of charge in a potential well within the semiconductor produces a high impedance sensing problem. The output circuitry must provide for sensing the quantity of charge on each sampling by the clock phase, provide power to the succeeding circuits without diminishing the signal charge, and finally, it must discard the remnants of the signal charge after sampling. If the device is to be linear, then this output circuit must also be linear.
III. TWO-PHASE CHARGE-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTER

A. TYPES OF TWO-PHASE CCD'S

The charge-coupled devices initially described by Boyle and Smith [Ref. 1] require three or more phase clocks to obtain directionality of signal flow. However, for most applications, high packing density and better performance may be achieved with two-phase charge-coupled structures. As illustrated in Figure 2, the asymmetrical potential wells or barriers in the surface potential, needed to provide directionality of the information flow for the two-phase operation can be achieved by incorporating into the charge-coupled structures one of the fol-
lowing features:

1. two thicknesses of the channel oxide,
2. dc offset voltage between two adjacent gates powered by the same phase voltage,
3. two levels of fixed charge in the channel oxide, or
4. ion-implanted barriers.

The first three of the above two-phase charge-coupled shift registers can be conveniently implemented by self-aligned, closely spaced structures in the form of polysilicon gates overlapped by aluminum gates [Ref. 4]. In the experimental part of this study, a charge-coupled device of the first type above was used. Described in this section in greater detail, it was a two-phase CCD with two thicknesses of channel oxide using polysilicon and aluminum gates.

B. DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE

The device used in this study was fabricated by TRW, Incorporated, for the purpose of experimental studies. The substrate used was 1.5 ohm-cm., n-type silicon with <111> orientation. Construction of the device is illustrated in cross-section with a plan view of the final metallization in Figure 3. Six p⁺-diffusions were inserted to act as input and output diodes, and as the source and drain diffusions for two output circuit MOSFETs. Thermally grown silicon dioxide, SiO₂, was grown to approximately 1000 Angstrom (Å) to act as channel oxide. Polysilicon film was deposited and defined into the polysilicon gates. A second layer of silicon
dioxide was thermally grown for the aluminum gates to a total thickness of 3000 Å. The device structure was completed by opening contacts through the silicon dioxide to the $p^+$-diffusions and the polysilicon gates. A final metallization of aluminum was deposited and defined into gates, contact lands and leads. The entire structure was approximately 33 mils long by 14 mils wide. The shift register input gates consisted of two aluminum gates and a polysilicon gate, each 0.5 mils long by 2.0 mils wide, and a polysilicon gate, 1.5 mils long by 2.0 mils wide. The channel gates were each 0.5 mils long by 2.0 mils wide, resulting in a channel length of 2.0 mils per shift register stage. The output gate was 0.5 mils long by 2.0 mils wide. The two MOSFETs were 0.6 mils long by 0.4 mils wide.

As shown in Figure 3, the device had an input stage consisting of the source or input diode, D-1, the input gates, G-1, G-2, G-3 and G-4. Separate electrical access had also been provided to the polysilicon and aluminum electrodes of each phase; i.e., $\phi$-1 (polysilicon), $\phi$-1 (aluminum), $\phi$-2 (polysilicon), and $\phi$-2 (aluminum). The output was sensed as a current flow out of the source diffusion or output diode, D-0. The output gate, G-0, provided a means of setting the surface potential in the vicinity of the output diode. Two MOSFETs were diffused onto the substrate, with one having its gate and drain available for connection, G-5 and D-5. The other MOSFET had its drain and source available as D-6 and S-6. The second MOSFET gate, the source of the other
Cross-sectional view and plan of 8-stage shift register used. 

Figure 3
MOSFET and the output diode were connected together on the substrate, but were not available off the substrate for external connection.

The device was mounted in a forty lead flat pack, but was not sealed or protected against the environment.

C. OPERATION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE

The experimental device used in this study was a two-phase charge-coupled shift register employing two thicknesses of channel oxide to achieve the asymmetrical potential wells necessary for signal flow.

1. Charge-Transfer Operations

Assuming essentially zero fixed charge in the channel oxide, substrates with relatively large doping concentrations are required to obtain a substantial difference between the surface potential under the polysilicon gates and the potential under the aluminum gates powered by the same phase voltage. It has been found [Ref. 5] that the potential barrier formed under the aluminum gate with respect to the surface potential under the polysilicon gate of the same phase is not constant as the phase voltage changes. The maximum amount of charge signal that can be stored and transferred depends in some degree on the frequency and the waveshapes of the phase voltages. These waveshapes may be symmetrical with equal rise time and fall time, nonoverlapping, or overlapping. In the overlapping case, the transfer of charge is preceded by the condition in which both phase voltages are minimum. If it is assumed that the signal charge is originally contained
in the potential well beneath the phase-one gate, then as the phase voltage, \( V_{\phi_1} \) is changed from \( V_{\phi_1} = -23 \) volts to \( V_{\phi_1} = -13 \) volts, the surface potential under the phase-one gate is raised to a higher value, the charge signal is transferred to the potential well under the phase-two gate. This mode of operation for two-phase charge-coupled devices may be referred to as the "complete charge-transfer" mode.

The experimental device used in this study was operated in the complete charge-transfer mode using overlapping wave-shapes with near equal rise and fall times for the two phase voltages. This complete charge-transfer mode of operation is illustrated in Figure 4 by two profiles of the surface potential. In this case, at time \( t_1 \), the charge signal is accumulating in the potential wells under the phase-two gates; and in the second half-cycle, at time \( t_2 \), the charge signal will be transferred to the potential wells under the phase-one gates.

Another mode of operation of two-phase charge-coupled devices can be achieved if the surface potential under the aluminum gates is maintained high enough in all cases so that the potential wells under the polysilicon gates can never be completely emptied. In other words, the surface potential under the polysilicon gates powered by the minimum phase voltage is lower than the surface potential under the aluminum gates powered by the maximum phase voltage. This mode of operation may be considered the "bias charge" or "bucket-brigade" mode. A bias charge, or background charge will
Charge-transfer operation of the 2-phase CCD.

Figure 4
always be present under all the polysilicon gates in this mode. In steady-state operation, the bias charge will be maintained by thermal generation.

The transition from the complete charge-transfer mode to the bias-charge mode of operation could be accomplished by increasing the dc-bias level of the phase voltages. The presence of positive charge in the channel oxide, in the case of n-channel devices, will also increase the barriers under the aluminum gates sufficient to cause bucket-brigade mode of operation. The bias-charge mode of operation of two-phase charge-coupled shift registers is very similar to the operation of bucket-brigade [Ref. 6] shift registers. In the bucket-brigade device case, the bias charge regions are replaced by floating diffusions; otherwise, the operation of the two types of shift registers is very similar.

As was generally known in connection with the operation of a bucket-brigade shift register [Ref. 6], a two-phase charge-coupled shift register can also be operated with one of the phases dc-biased at a level used on the other phase. Since no switching levels are used on the dc phase, this is referred to as "one-phase" operation. This one-phase operation was confirmed with the experimental device used in this study.

A uniphase charge-coupled structure requiring only one set of externally controlled gates could be fabricated. Such a device would require that the dc-biased phase gate be
replaced by built-in bias in the structure. This bias may be obtained by the intentional presence of fixed charge in the channel oxide.

2. **Signal Input to the Experimental Device**

Many different techniques have been developed for the insertion of charge in charge-coupled shift registers. For use as an analog signal processing device, it is desirable that the technique used produce a charge quantity in the potential well of the charge-coupled shift register that is linearly related to the signal potential or current. Further, the technique should not inject noise and preferably should demonstrate high transfer efficiency.

In the device studied, charge injection by potential equilibrium or "sloshing" technique was used. This method of signal charge injection is demonstrated in Figure 5. This diagram shows the three sequential surface potential conditions that exist during one transfer cycle. Also shown are the relative voltage levels required to produce the diagrammed surface potentials at the times $t_1$, $t_2$ and $t_3$. The input diode is pulsed to a low potential, $V_{DI}$, to inject charge into the potential wells formed under the input gates G1, G2, G3 and G4. The quantity of charge injected is a function of the level to which the diode is forward biased, the duration of the pulse, and the depth of the potential wells under the input gates. It is limited by the magnitude of the input pulse and the potential barrier under the first phase gate. This condition is demonstrated by the surface potential dia-
gram in Figure 5b, the condition existing at time $t_1$. At the end of the input pulse, the input diode is reverse biased. Excess charge in the potential wells under the input gates is drained through the reverse biased input diode. The charge level is set by the surface potential under the first input gate, $G_1$, and the first clocking phase gate. The quantity of charge is established by the depths of the wells formed by the surface potentials under the input gates, $G_2$, $G_3$ and $G_4$. This condition is shown in Figure 5c, existing at time $t_2$. Notice that, provided that the input pulse produced sufficient charge to fill the wells under the input gates, the signal charge is no longer a function of the input pulse. A major source of input non-linearity, the input diode, has been thus dispatched. Following the disposal of excess charge through the input diode, the first clocking gates are pulsed to a low potential by the clock voltage. Accumulated charge under the input gates is now transferred to the potential well under the first clocking gates. This condition is diagramed in Figure 5d, as the condition existing at time $t_3$. As can be seen, the input signal charge quantity is a function of the surface potentials beneath the input gates. The determination of the signal levels for which a linear input can be obtained was a very large portion of this study.

3. **Output Circuit Used in the Study**

The output circuit used in the experimental study employed an output diode, a reset MOSFET, and an output MOSFET connected as a source follower. The circuit schematic is
Charge input operation.
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shown in Figure 6. The output diode, DO, is reverse biased by the drain bias voltage, \( E_{DD} \), through the reset transistor, Q5. The degree of reverse bias is determined by the surface potential adjacent to the diode diffusion in the charge-coupled device channel. This surface potential is set by a dc-bias on an output gate not shown in the diagram. This output gate is an aluminum gate immediately adjacent to the output diode diffusion. This output gate could be connected to the phase clock voltage of the gates next to it, but experimentally, better noise immunity was obtained with a dc-bias. When the phase clocked gates at the end of the charge-coupled structure are sent to their low potential, the surface potential well developed beneath them accepts the signal charge of minority carriers. The presence of this signal charge modifies the potential at the cathode of the output diode. Since the charge in the depletion layer of the diode is bound, the potential at the anode rises to reflect the signal charge. The increasing potential at the anode of the output diode is applied to the gate of the output MOSFET, Q6. The more positive potential at the gate of the output MOSFET decreases the drain to source current flowing through this transistor. The lessened drain current results in a more positive voltage at the output.

At the completion of the clock phase cycle which opened the clocking gates at the end of the shift register, the surface potential barrier again blocks the transfer of charge signal to the output diode. A refreshing pulse is applied
to the heretofore cutoff reset MOSFET, Q5. This negative going refresh pulse turns Q5 on and restores the output diode to a fully reverse biased condition; sets the quiescent voltage on the gate of the output MOSFET, Q6, at or near the value of the drain bias supply; and provides a low impedance path for the previous signal charge to flow to ground through the reverse biased output diode.

This output circuit permitted isolation of the small charge packets that represented samples of the input signal from the loading effects of the electronic test instrumentation that was used in the study. The linearity, that is the relationship between the potential produced by the charge signal at the cathode of the output diode and the voltage output of the source follower, of this circuit will be analyzed further in another section of this study.

![OUTPUT CIRCUIT](Figure 6)
IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The experimental study of the two-phase charge-coupled shift register reported in this paper evolved into six areas:

1. To accomplish charge transfer,
2. To establish linear signal transfer,
3. To determine the device gain,
4. To determine circuit model parameters,
5. To establish linear operating regions, and
6. To determine the characteristics of the output MOSFET.

A. TO ACCOMPLISH CHARGE TRANSFER

The experimental device was connected as shown in Figure 7. Using parameter values provided by the manufacturer based on a computer study of a typical device, charge transfer was established by trial and error. As a general technique, dc-bias levels were applied to the input gates, G1, G2, G3 and G4. Since no data was available on the surface potential-gate voltage relationship of these gates, these levels were determined empirically and usually were found to be the most critical of all parameters effecting the performance of the device. The potential on the output gate, \( E_0 \), was set at a previously successful value of -30 volts. The MOSFET drain-bias, \( E_{DD} \), was likewise set at a nominal value of -25 volts. The clocking phase voltages were set at the manufacturer's
recommended values. The dc-bias level and pulse amplitude of
the refresh pulse, \( V_{\text{ref}} \), were set to a value that would turn
the reset MOSFET off and on (pulsing from +2 to -1 volts re-
relative to the drain bias). The dc level and pulse amplitude
of the input diode supply, \( V_{\text{DI}} \), was then adjusted until sig-
nals could be observed by oscilloscope at the output terminal.
Minor perturbations were made on all parameters to maximize
the output current pulses. A typical set of operating wave-
forms are shown in Figure 8. For these waveforms, the dc-bias
levels were:

1. MOSFET Drain Bias, \( E_{\text{DD}} = -25.0 \) volts
2. Output Gate Bias, \( E_0 = -30.0 \) volts
3. Input Gate One Bias, \( E_{G1} = -19.6 \) volts
4. Input Gate Two Bias, \( E_{G2} = -20.0 \) volts
5. Input Gate Three Bias, \( E_{G3} = -22.0 \) volts
6. Input Gate Four Bias, \( E_{G4} = V_{\phi1} \)

B. TO ESTABLISH LINEAR SIGNAL TRANSFER

With the charge-coupled device providing signal charge
transfer as above without an external signal applied, the in-
put diode pulse amplitude was increased slightly. If the
output pulses showed no increase in amplitude, then poten-
tial equilibrium input had been obtained...the signal level
was not a function of the input diode pulse. Should this not
occur, the input gate biases were modified until potential
equilibrium input was obtained. A small ac-signal level was
then impressed upon any of the input gates for testing. The
TYPICAL PROCESSING WAVEFORMS
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device parameters were then again tuned to optimize the signal output for amplitude and linearity. With a small signal, linearity was usually optimized by slightly varying the dc-bias level of the input signal so that the output quiescent value of voltage lay halfway between the no signal level and the fully saturated output. The phase shift between the input and the output, i.e., the time delay, was then checked to insure that shift register action had been obtained. The observed delay was to be eight times the period of the clocking voltage.

C. TO DETERMINE DEVICE GAIN

Once the device was set into an operating mode, two techniques were established for gain measurement. The first termed dc-gain measurement, involved setting the dc-bias values on all the input gates save one at independent parametric values. The dc-bias on the remaining, or now, signal, input gate was varied at small voltage intervals. The resulting source current of the output MOSFET was determined and plotted as a function of the input gate potential. The second technique involved inserting a small, known, ac signal on the input gate and measuring the output signal either with an oscilloscope or a wave analyzer. The small signal ac-gain thus determined by the ratio of these ac values or from the slope of the plot of the first technique was recorded as the gain. In the actual case, the first technique was used to the exclusion of the second except for spot checks of results.
Use of the peak pulse output current as a function of the input signal voltage permitted a more precise determination of the device gain. The slope of this plot multiplied by the value of the output resistance yielded the small signal voltage gain.

A minicomputer, an instrument/computer interface unit and two digital multimeters were used for semiautomatic data collection. The equipment employed was:

Minicomputer, TEKTRONIX Model 31
Calculator-Instrument Interface, TEKTRONIX 153
Digital Multimeter, TEKTRONIX DM 501

One voltmeter was connected across the device output and the other was connected to the selected input gate. A series of calibration runs were conducted to determine the peak output pulse current as a function of the output voltage read on the digital voltmeter. The slope of this curve provided a proportionality constant for converting the dc voltage read on the digital meter to a peak pulse current value. An extremely low frequency function generator was connected to the selected input gate as the signal source. A ramp function at a frequency of about 0.002 Hz was used to vary the input gate signal at such a rate that the input voltage would change by only the least significant digit of interest in one sample period of the digital voltmeter. The computer, which sampled both the input and output voltages, was programmed to store both voltages read at the instant that the input voltage was equal to a comparison value stored in the comput-
er. When the read condition had been satisfied and the data stored, the comparison value would be incremented by the delta value inserted previously by the operator. The computer would then again wait for a matching condition. In such a manner, it was possible to automatically record the input and output voltages for as many values as the operator designated at the start of a run, commencing at a given input voltage and incremented by a given change of input. After the desired number of data points had been recorded, the computer would print out the values of the input voltage and the product of the output voltage and the previously determined proportionality constant, i.e., the output peak pulse current.

As inputs to the semiautomatic routine, the operator need only type in:

1. An arbitrary run number,
2. Initial input voltage,
3. Desired incremental increase in input voltage,
4. The number of input data points desired, and
5. The proportionality constant to convert output dc voltage to peak pulse source current.

The operator would then start the function generator at some value of output less than the initial input voltage selected at the frequency necessary to permit the voltage to change at a slow enough rate, and then pursue other investigations until the computer printed out the data.
D. TO DETERMINE CIRCUIT MODEL PARAMETERS

Initially, in the study, it was felt that the charge-coupled shift register could be modeled as a two-port linear device. To support such a model, considerable effort was expended in efforts to determine the input admittance, output impedance and transfer functions. In fact, none of the required parameters were successfully measured except for the gain as described above. The pulsed nature of the device, that is, the presence of a pulsed waveform at the output, even with no input signal present interfered with the determination of the output impedance. The many signals necessary to cause a CCD to function, even at a quiescent level, produced a need for many connections to the device. These connections terminated in several signal generators and power supplies. All efforts to measure the input admittance of the device were swamped by the background capacitance and noise of the experimental setup.

E. TO ESTABLISH LINEAR OPERATING REGIONS

With the multiple input gates, the possibility of using the charge-coupled shift register as an adder or subtractor of analog signals is obvious. A major requirement for such operations to be successful would be that the device be linear with respect to all signals inputed. It is thus necessary to know for what values of input voltages on all gates will linear operation result. The individual dc gain curves were evaluated to determine the regions over which operation
was linear. These curves showed a prompt departure from linearity at a high and a low value for whichever input gate was being used as the controlling gate. These departures occurred when the potential wells were nearly filled, saturation; and when the wells were nearly empty, that is the signal charges could not be sensed at the output.

F. TO DETERMINE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE OUTPUT MOSFET

At the request of the experimenter, the device manufacturer established the test connections shown in Figure 9 on the output MOSFET of four devices similar to those used in this study. Under such an experimental connection, the voltage between the gate and the drain, \( V_{GD} \), is zero. With this potential difference at zero, the gate to source voltage, \( V_{GS} \) is equal to the drain to source voltage, \( V_{DS} \). This is the simplest arrangement for testing a MOSFET, for the transistor is then in the saturation region. From the basic circuit theory developed about the Sah MOSFET model [Ref. 7], under conditions of saturation; that is, when:

\[
|V_{GS} - V_T| \leq |V_{DS}|
\]

then:

\[
I_{DS} = -\left(\frac{\mu_p \varepsilon \varepsilon_r}{2t_{ox}}\right) \left(\frac{W}{L}\right) (V_{GS} - V_T)^2
\]

where:
\( \mu_p \) = average surface mobility of holes in the channel
\( t_{ox} \) = thickness of the oxide over the channel
\( \varepsilon_r \) = relative permittivity of the oxide
\( \varepsilon_o \) = permittivity of free space, \( 8.854 \times 10^{-14} \) farad/cm
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\[ l = \text{length of the channel in the direction of current flow} \]
\[ W = \text{width of the channel} \]
\[ V_{GS} = \text{gate to source voltage} \]
\[ V_{DS} = \text{drain to source voltage} \]
\[ V_T = \text{threshold voltage} \]

Collecting some of the more or less constant terms from the above expression (1), permits the definition of two new constants:

\[ k' = \frac{\mu p e_{ox}}{2 \epsilon_0} \]  
\[ k = \frac{k' W}{l} \]

Use of these new consolidated constants permits a simplification of the expression for the source-drain current to:

\[ I_{DS} = -k(V_{GS} - V_T)^2 \]  

Note that the parameter, \( k \), is a design variable which is a function of the ratio of the channel width, \( W \), and of the length of the channel in the direction of current flow, \( l \). The parameter \( k' \) is fixed by the fabrication process. For purposes of nomenclature, \( k \) is described as the gain parameter, and \( k' \) is termed the conduction factor.

Using the same transistor model, the drain to source current in the non-saturation condition becomes:

\[ I_{DS} = -k[2(V_{GS} - V_T)V_{DS} - (V_{DS})^2] \]

when:

\[ |V_{GS} - V_T| > |V_{DS}| \]

In the saturation condition depicted in Figure 9, if drain to source resistance is neglected, a plot of \(|\sqrt{I_{DS}}|\)
as a function of $|V_{GS}|$, (or $V_{DS}$ since the two potentials are equal in this configuration) yields a straight line. The slope of this curve is $\sqrt{k}$, with an abscissa intercept of $|V_T|$. That this is so, may be quickly established by taking the square root of both sides of the equation expressing the drain-source current relationship to the gate-source voltage in saturation (1):

$$\sqrt{I_{DS}} = \sqrt{k} (V_{GS} - V_T)$$

(6)

Such a relationship is demonstrated in Figure 10.

The experimental device manufacturer provided the source to drain current and source to drain voltage data for ten data points on four samples of the output MOSFET.
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V. PRESENTATION OF DATA

In reviewing the data obtained in this study, it should be remembered that it represents determinations made on three devices of the same design; and as near as possible, the same fabrication techniques. During the course of the study, no effort was made to produce a modified device that would be optimized to the conditions of the experiment. Rather, a stock device for basic study of charge-coupled shift register principles was used to study analog signal processing.

A. DC GAIN MEASUREMENTS

DC gain determinations and output current pulse amplitude as a function of control gate voltage measurements were made for thirty-six variations of the independent parameters. In these measurements, control gate 4 was connected to the clocking signal for phase one, thus becoming part of the clocking structure. The voltage on control gate one was varied as the independent variable. The peak pulse current amplitude of the output signal pulse was defined as the dependent variable. The dc potentials, or biases, on control gates 2 and 3 were set as independent parameters. The functional relationship demonstrated in Figure 11 is typical of the data obtained. From such data, the device gain can be obtained by multiplying the slope of the curve times the output load resistance, as:

\[ g = \frac{dI}{dV} R_L \]  

(7)
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A gain of 4.8 was observed over most of the useful range of input signal. At the limits of operability, the gain was reduced.

B. LINEAR REGIONS

In the DC gain studies, there were two independent parameters, the voltages on control gates 2 and 3. Since adjustment of these parameters changed the voltage range of control gate one over which the output was linearly related to the input, it seemed that a second gate could be used to permit a dual input. In such an arrangement, input signals could be applied to gates one and two, or gates one and three. No study was made to determine the relationship of inputs on gates two and three, or for inputs on three or more gates at the same time. The capability to respond to inputs on more than two gates certainly exists, however.

The DC gain curves were studied to determine at what voltages on gate one departure from linearity would occur as a function of the voltages on gates two and three. This parametric study provided the regions over which linear operation could be acheived as a function of the voltage on two control gates with the voltage on the third gate as an independent parameter. The resulting loci of linear operation are presented in Figures 12 and 13. The range of voltage used on control gate one was kept the same for the study of the effect of control gate two and the effect of control gate three. As
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can be seen from the two loci, simultaneous linear operation can be achieved for signals on two gates. It should be noted, however, that the dynamic range of simultaneous inputs is small, approximately 0.1 to 0.2 volts.

The upper limits of the loci were not defined in this study. This was because linear operation could be continued with proper adjustment of the various potentials on the CCD as the signals became more and more negative. The limit of such accommodation would appear to be the breakdown potential of the various gates concerned. A "saturation" effect of the potential on gate one can be seen in the upper limits of Figure 13, however.

C. AC GAIN CONFIRMATION

Small signal AC gain determinations were made on the device to confirm the DC measurements. A 20 millivolt (rms) signal was applied to control gate one with all other input gates at bias levels equivalent to those used in the DC gain measurements. The output voltage was measured with a wave analyzer, and the ratio of the output rms voltage at the signal fundamental frequency to the input rms voltage was recorded as the voltage gain. The dc-bias on control gate one was varied as an independent parameter and the AC gain determined as a function of this bias. Figure 14 is representative of the results obtained. No significant departure from this curve was noted by varying the input signal frequency. The gain level of 4.75 observed agrees well with the 4.8 from the DC gain determinations. A horizontal translation of the
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of the curve along the abscissa was noted when the independent parameters of control gate two and three dc-biases were changed. This result was expected based on the DC observations.

D. OUTPUT CIRCUIT ANALYSIS

The operation of the output circuit has been previously described in an earlier section of this paper. The circuit, shown in Figure 15, must provide an extremely high impedance input to prevent loading the preceding stage, which in this device was the small charge packet delivered through the channel to the output diode. To achieve this high input impedance, not only was MOSFET circuitry employed, but the circuit was capacitively coupled to the channel through the reverse
biased output diode. While utilization of the transition capacitance of a reverse biased diode does indeed represent a high impedance coupling scheme, it is not without disadvantages. The reverse bias must be maintained. This is an additional requirement on the output circuit. The transition capacitance is nonlinear; i.e., it varies with the applied voltage. It is the purpose of this section of the study to examine these effects on the output voltage as a function of the channel potential immediately adjacent to the output diode within the device.

1. **Data Curve Fitting**

It became apparent that much of the output circuit analysis would require numerical solutions using a computer. The output of such techniques can be presented in tabular or graphical form, but not in closed analytical form. It was desirable to have an ability to reduce such data to an analytical expression that would closely approximate the original numerical solution.

Generally, a curve can be closely approximated by a polynomial. Such a polynomial would have a set of coefficients and be of the form:

\[ y = c_1 + c_2x + c_3x^2 + \cdots + c_nx^{n-1} \]  

At the \( i \)th data point, where the independent variable is \( x_i \) and the corresponding dependent variable value is \( y_i \); the error between the polynomial and the measured data value can be mathematically expressed as follows:
\[ e_i = c_1 + c_2 x_i + c_3 x_i^2 + \cdots + c_n x_i^{n-1} - y_i \] (9)

The intent is to evaluate the coefficients in such a manner as to minimize the total of the errors at all data points. It is possible, in fact probable, that individual errors could be either positive or negative. In such an event, building a quantity based on the sum of the errors would produce an invalid conclusion, as large negative errors added to large positive errors could produce a small total. To generate a monotonically increasing function that is an inverse measure of the "goodness" of fit, the sum of the square of the errors is used. That is:

\[ M = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i^2 \] (10)

or,

\[ M = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 x_i^2 + \cdots + c_n^2 x_i^{2(n-1)} \right) + \cdots \] (11)

\[ \cdots + (2c_1 c_2 x_i + 2c_1 c_3 x_i^2 + \cdots + 2c_1 c_n x_i^{n-1}) + \cdots \]

\[ \cdots + (2c_2 c_3 x_i^3 + 2c_2 c_4 x_i^4 + \cdots + 2c_2 c_n x_i^n) + \cdots \]

\[ \cdots + (2c_{n-1} c_n x_i^{2n-3}) + \cdots \]

\[ \cdots + (-2c_1 y_i - 2c_2 x_i y_i - \cdots - 2c_n x_i^{n-1} y_i) \}

To determine the coefficients, it is necessary to determine the partial derivatives of the sum of the square of the errors with respect to each of the n coefficients. Setting these partial derivatives equal to zero yields a set of n simultaneous linear equations. The solution vector of this set of equations is the set of n coefficients what provides a polynomial of degree n-1 that best fits the data points.
That is, the coefficients have been so selected as to minimize the summation of the square of errors at each data point. This set of equations is made up of several summation terms formed from the data points. In the makeup of the equations, wherever the summation symbol appears, it indicates the summation of the variable term following it for all data points from 1 to \( m \), the total number of data points. The set of equations to be solved is then:

\[
C_1^m + C_2^\Sigma x_i + C_3^\Sigma x_i^2 + \cdots + C_n^\Sigma x_i^{n-1} = \Sigma y_i
\]  
\[
C_1^\Sigma x_i + C_2^\Sigma x_i^2 + \cdots + C_n^\Sigma x_i^n = \Sigma y_i x_i
\]  
\[
C_1^\Sigma x_i^2 + \cdots + \Sigma x_i^{(n-1)} = \Sigma y_i x_i^{n-1}
\]

To facilitate the output circuit analysis, a computer program was written to generate the above set of equations and solve for the coefficients for any value of \( n \) from 2 to 10, with \( m \) data points. For additional utility, the program included the capability to fit data to a power function:

\[
y = ax^b
\]  
and the exponential function:

\[
y = ae^{bx}
\]

Both of these functions can be manipulated for best data fit with the same polynomial approach, with the following modifications:

1. Use \( n = 2 \), that is the best fit straight line,
2. For the power function, supply the natural logarithm of \( x \), rather than \( x \), as the data,

3. Supply the natural logarithm of \( y \) as data,

4. Evaluate the constant \( a \), as the \( \exp(C_1) \) in both cases, and

5. When printing out the data, convert back to the original data by using \( \exp(y_i) \) and in the case of the power function, \( \exp(x_i) \).

The computer program that was developed is appended here-to as Appendix D. In addition to the form of the curve, the program will supply, as part of the output, the calculated values of the dependent variable, the data points, and the sum of the square of the errors. In a case where no information is available as to the type of curve the data represents, the program is run to try the power function, the exponential function, and all polynomials to degree 9. The sum of the square of the errors will be smallest for the best fit curve.

2. Output MOSFET Characteristics

To analyze the output of the circuit in Figure 15, a knowledge of the characteristics of the output MOSFET is required. As previously discussed in section IV.F., the manufacturer provided data on the gate to source voltage and the drain to source current of four sample devices. This data is presented in Table I.

The data for device number 3, was rejected as being non-typical of the devices studied. The remaining data was processed using the data fit routine described in the preceding section. The data was processed as:
\[ y_i = \sqrt{I_{DS_i}} \]  
(15)

\[ x_i = V_{DS_i} = V_{GS_i} \]  
(16)

\[ n = 2 \]  
(17)

The results of the program are tabulated in Appendix A hereto and are displayed graphically in Figure 10. The average error in the fit was \(1.29 \times 10^{-10}\) amps. The equation describing the current-voltage relationship is:

\[ I_{DS} = -k(V_{GS} - V_T)^2 \]  
(18)

where:

\[ k = 2.2032 \times 10^{-6} \text{ amp/volt}^2 \]  
(19)

\[ V_T = -1.76466 \text{ volts} \]  
(20)

Recalling that the length of the channel in the direction of current flow is smaller than the designed or masked length, \(L\), because of the \(p^+\)-diffusions proceeding laterally under the mask by a distance called the junction depth, \(x_j\). Then:

\[ \ell = L - 2x_j \]  
(21)

In the case of the experimental device:

\[ W = 0.4 \text{ mils} \]  
(22)

\[ L = 0.6 \text{ mils} \]  
(23)

\[ x_j = 0.06 \text{ mils} \]  
(24)

\[ \ell = 0.48 \text{ mils} \]  
(25)

With this geometry, the conduction factor, \(k'\), is:

\[ k' = \frac{\mu p e r \varepsilon_0}{2t_{ox}} = \frac{\varepsilon k}{W} = 2.2644 \times 10^{-6} \text{ amps/volt}^2 \]  
(26)

Using the parameters obtained, it was possible to cons-
Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drain to Source Current - $I_{DS}$ (μA)</th>
<th>Device 1</th>
<th>Device 2</th>
<th>Device 3</th>
<th>Device 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The drain characteristics of the output MOSFET. The basic Sah model [Ref. 7] of the transistor, assuming no source to drain resistance, indicates that the drain to source current will be a constant for all values of the drain to source voltage once the transistor is in saturation. This model also provided expressions for the current under conditions of saturation, equation (4), and non-saturation, equation (5). The saturation relationship has already been used to define the threshold voltage and the gain parameter. Using these values, the two voltage-current relationships were used to establish the drain characteristic curves shown in Figure 16. A load line for operation into a 50,000 ohm load resistance is also plotted on the curves. This value or load resistance was typical of the conditions used during the experimental portion of this study.

3. **Output Diode Effects**

Capacitively coupling the output MOSFET to the CCD channel through a reverse biased diode provides the required
Typical output MOSFET drain characteristics.
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high impedance load for the channel. Unfortunately, it presents the complication of having the capacitance of the coupling capacitor, the diode, vary as a function of the voltage across it. Assuming that the reverse biased junctions involved with the output circuit are made with abrupt changes from n-region to p-region, the value of the junction capacitance is [Ref. 8]:

\[ C = \sqrt{\frac{q_0 \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 N_d}{2V_a}} \text{ farad/cm}^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where:  
\( q_0 \) = electronic charge, 1.602x10\(^{-19}\) coulomb  
\( \varepsilon_r \) = relative permittivity of the oxide, 11.8  
\( \varepsilon_0 \) = permittivity of free space, 8.854x10\(^{-14}\) farad/cm  
\( N_d \) = concentration of donors in n-region, atoms/cm\(^3\)  
\( V_a \) = applied potential in volts.

To assist in analyzing the effect of the output diode, the simplified circuit representation in Figure 17 was used. The input potential, that potential adjacent to the cathode of the output diode, is the signal, \( x \). The current \( i_1 \), flows through the output diode and then branches into currents \( i_2 \), \( i_3 \) and \( i_4 \). Current \( i_2 \), flows through the reverse biased p-n junction represented by the reset MOSFET, \( Q_5 \). Current \( i_3 \), represents the leakage through the substrate, the input resistance of the output MOSFET and the pinched off channel resistance of the reset MOSFET. Current \( i_4 \), represents the current into the gate of the output MOSFET, \( Q_6 \). The signal output is \( V_1 \), the input voltage to the output MOSFET. Writing the nodal
equations for node A:

\[ i_1 = i_2 + i_3 + i_4 \]  
(28)

Now, in general:

\[ i = \frac{d}{dt}(CV) \]  
(29)

Then, for the circuit in Figure 17:

\[ \frac{k_1}{2\sqrt{x-V_i}} \frac{dx}{dt} - \frac{k_1}{2\sqrt{x-V_i}} \frac{dV_i}{dt} = \frac{k_2}{2\sqrt{V_i-E_{DD}}} \frac{dV_i}{dt} + \frac{V_i}{R_T} + \frac{dV_j}{dt} \]  
(30)

or, solving for the derivative of the output with respect to time:

\[ \frac{dV_i}{dt} = \frac{k_1}{2\sqrt{x-V_i}} \frac{dx}{dt} - \frac{1}{R_T} \frac{V_i}{2\sqrt{V_i-E_{DD}}} \]  
(31)

where:

\[ k_1 = A_1 \sqrt{\frac{q_0 e r_o N}{d}} \]  
(32)
\[ k_2 = A_2 \sqrt{\frac{q_0 \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 N_d}{2}} \]  
\[ C_T = \frac{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 A_3}{d} \]  

Some of these quantities are known, but for others assumptions must be made. Every assumption presents a possible error in the analysis of the experimental circuit, but the values assumed will be typical of those seen in semiconductor technology. Further, if the assumptions depart from the experimental device, they will still provide meaningful analysis of the type of output circuit employed.

The value of the oxide thickness, \( d \) in equation (34) is known as 1000Å. Likewise, the values of \( q_0, N_d, \varepsilon_{DD}, \varepsilon_r \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are established quantities. The initial conditions, the input voltage function, \( x \), and the areas \( A_1, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \), are not so well defined.

The area of the output diode, \( A_1 \), is taken to be a rectangle 2.0 mils long by 0.25 mils wide. The area of the reset MOSFET source diffusion is taken to be 0.4 mils long by 0.25 mils wide. The output MOSFET gate is 0.4 mils by 0.6 mils. The distributed resistance, \( R_T \), is assumed to be \( 5 \times 10^9 \) ohms.

Since the quiescent output voltage was experimentally seen to be about \(-12\) volts, it can be seen from Figure 16, that the quiescent gate voltage must be about \(-24\) volts. The initial value of the variable, \( V_1 \), is then taken to be \(-24.0\) volts.

The input function is taken to be a voltage step from \(-25\) volts to \(-12\) volts at time equal 15 μsec returning to \(-25\) volts at the time, 47 μsec.
Using the known values and the assumed quantities, the numerical constants now become:

\begin{align*}
  k_1 &= 2.2951 \times 10^{-14} \text{ farad-volt}^\frac{3}{2} \quad (35) \\
  k_2 &= 5.902 \times 10^{-15} \text{ farad-volt}^\frac{3}{2} \quad (36) \\
  C_T &= 1.618 \times 10^{-13} \text{ farad} \quad (37)
\end{align*}

A computer program to solve the general initial value problem:

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} = f(x, y, z) \quad (38) \]

where:

\begin{align*}
  x &= g(t) \quad (39) \\
  z &= \frac{dx}{dt} \quad (40)
\end{align*}

and:

\begin{align*}
  y &= y_0 \quad (41) \\
  t &= 0 \quad (42)
\end{align*}

was developed using fourth order Runge-Kutta methods. This program is listed in Appendix B hereto. The program was executed and the results are tabulated in Appendix A and graphically displayed in Figure 18.

The results of the solution to the differential equation represent the response of the output diode to an input pulse in the CCD channel. This response would represent one sample of the output signal. In order to determine the linearity of the output diode to an input waveform, of which the function \( g(t) \) is only one sample; and which will be processed by a sample and hold circuit, it was necessary to modify the
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computer program.
This was done, and the results are discussed as the input to the source follower.

4. **Input to the Source Follower**

While the transient analysis of the output diode to a step function provides the waveform of the input to the output MOSFET, it does not represent the response to the signal input. Rather, it represents the response to a single sampling of the signal. What is required is the relationship between the peak amplitude of the potential adjacent to the output diode in the CCD channel and the peak of the output diode response.

To accomplish this, the differential equation solution routine was modified. The program was modified to solve equation (31) forty-one times. The amplitude of the channel potential step function was increased from -20 volts to -10 volts in 0.25 volt steps. The duration of the step and the quiescent value of the voltages were maintained as before. The program was required to provide an output of only the peak input pulse amplitude, \( x \), and the peak output pulse, \( V_i \).

The modified program is appended hereto in Appendix C. The results of the program are tabulated in Appendix A and presented graphically in Figure 19. The solution data from this program was used as an input to the curve fitting routine. It was found that the best fit of the results was with a polynomial of degree 3. The expression for the peak MOSFET
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input voltage as a function of the peak channel potential adjacent to the output diode is:

\[- V_i = 22.15 + 3.81 \times 10^{-2} x - 6.15 \times 10^{-4} x^2 + 2.33 \times 10^{-5} x^3 \quad (46)\]

The average error of fit was found to be 2.41x10^{-5} volts.

An advantage of presenting the results as a polynomial is that a measurement of linearity is available. The value of the coefficient of \(x\) to the first power may be ratioed to the sum of the coefficients of all powers of \(x\), to express the fraction of linearity. Likewise, the sum of the coefficients of all \(x\) terms with exponents larger than one is an expression of the departure from linearity. Using the more precise coefficient values tabulated in Appendix A, it is seen that the output of the output diode is 98.35 percent linear. Or, only 1.65 percent of the output variation is caused by non-linear relationships to the input.

5. **Output of the Source Follower**

The next element in the output circuit is the output MOSFET itself. Using the model of the transistor that has been used throughout, an expression for the output as a function of the MOSFET input can be developed. Referring to Figure 20 for nomenclature, the expression for the output current as a function of the gate input voltage will be developed. By examination of Figure 16 remembering that the output quiescent voltage was about -12 volts, it is seen that with a drain bias supply of -25 volts, that the transistor is always in saturation. Utilizing equation (18), the output current is seen to be:
\[ I_{DS} = -k(V_i - V_o - V_T)^2 \]  

but,  
\[ V_o = I_{DS}R \]  

then,  
\[ V_o = -kR(V_i - V_o - V_T)^2, \]  

and by simple manipulation,  
\[
V_o = -\frac{1}{2}\{2(V_T - V_i) + \frac{1}{kR}\}\pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\left(2(V_T - V_i) + \frac{1}{kR}\right)^2 - 4(V_i^2 + V_T^2 - 2V_iV_T)}
\]  

This output relationship was evaluated using the computer with the program listed in Appendix E. The input voltage, \( V_i \), was programmed into the calculation as the third degree polynomial developed in the preceding section. The negative option for the radical in equation (50) was ignored in the evaluation, since it would produce output voltages more negative than the drain bias voltage, \( E_{DD} \), a physical impossibility.

The results of the computer run, then, was a tabulated listing of the output voltage at the source follower load resistor as a function of the channel potential adjacent to the output diode within the CCD. This is the relationship that was desired as a result of the output circuit analysis. The results are tabulated in Appendix A, and presented graphically in Figure 21. Visually, the output voltage dependence on the channel peak potential appears quite close to a linear relationship.

Once again, the curve fitting program was employed to
determine an analytical expression for the output voltage pulse peak as a function of the peak potential in the CCD channel adjacent to the output diode. The best fit was found with a polynomial of degree 3. The functional relationship is:

\[-V_0 = 10.58 + 2.72 \times 10^{-2} V_{p} - 4.98 \times 10^{-4} V_{p}^2 + 1.78 \times 10^{-5} V_{p}^3\]  \hspace{1cm} (51)

The average error was found to be $6.77 \times 10^{-6}$ volts.

Once again using the more precise coefficients in Appendix A, the output circuit is 98.14 percent linear. That is, only 1.86 percent of the variation in the peak output voltage due to the channel potential pulse peak is contributed by higher order, or nonlinear, terms.
Figure 21

PEAK SYSTEM OUTPUT AS A FUNCTION OF PEAK CHANNEL POTENTIAL ADJACENT TO THE OUTPUT DIODE

32 μsec Signal Pulse
E. LINEAR MODEL

If electronic devices can be operated so that the non-linear distortion is small, then they can often be approximated by linear equations and circuits. In such cases, all the procedures of linear-circuit analysis can be applied and complex circuits can be analyzed with a minimum of effort. In this section, a linear model of the CCD in an analog signal processing mode is suggested, but no experimentally determined parameter values are provided.

The currents of the network in Figure 22 can be represented as linear functions of the voltages. Thus,

\[ I_1 = y_{11}V_1 + y_{12}V_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (52)

\[ I_2 = y_{21}V_1 + y_{22}V_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (53)

The parameters of these equations are termed \( y \) parameters. They have the dimensions of admittances. It is most convenient to measure these parameters under short-circuit conditions. It was the experimental application of this concept that proved beyond the capabilities of this experimenter.

The intent, was to apply a voltage generator to the input gate and short-circuit the output, or vice versa. Or, failing that, with the circuit otherwise operating, to determine the parameters from the definitions, that is:

\[ y_{11} = \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial V_1}, \text{ Short-circuit input driving point admittance} \]  \hspace{1cm} (54)

\[ y_{21} = \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial V_1}, \text{ Short-circuit forward transfer admittance} \]  \hspace{1cm} (55)
\[ y_{12} = \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial V_2}, \text{ Short-circuit reverse transfer admittance} \quad (56) \]
\[ y_{22} = \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial V_2}, \text{ Short-circuit output driving point admittance} \quad (57) \]

In working with the CCD, it became apparent that little or no short-circuit reverse transfer admittance existed. In light of the manner in which the CCD is fabricated and functions, this is not unreasonable. It was also apparent that the short-circuit input driving point admittance was small and mainly capacitive. The arrangement of the output circuit would indicate that the short-circuit output driving point admittance would be the reciprocal of the output MOSFET's dynamic drain-source resistance. The quantity that would be peculiar to a CCD was the short-circuit forward transfer admittance, \( y_{21} \). This quantity would have to indicate the sampled nature of the output, as well as the time delay of the output with respect to the input. Neglecting the output dynamic drain-source resistance, such a quantity would be:

\[ y_{21} = \frac{dI_0}{dV_i} \left( u(t - \frac{nN}{f_c}) - u(t - \frac{nN}{f_c} - \tau) \right) \quad (58) \]

where:

\[
\frac{dI_0}{dV_i} = \text{The slope of the dc gain curve.} \\
u(t) = \text{The unit step function} \\
\text{n} = \text{The sequence of consecutive integers that best represents the sampling during the period of interest.} \\
N = \text{The number of charge-coupled structures} \\
f_c = \text{The phase clocking frequency} \\
\tau = \text{The duration of the sampling pulse.} \]
In arriving at N, the number of charge-coupled structures, a structure constitutes one delay element, that is, in a two-phase device, a set of four consecutive gates connected to the two different phase clocking signals.

![Small Signal Linear Model of CCD](image)

In those applications where multiple inputs are used, a modification would have to be imposed on the model. Each input would have its own input driving point admittance; but beyond that point, each input would have to be ratioed based on its effect on the output current. The ratioed potentials would act on a summing point, the voltage of which would be the input voltage to the expression for the model's dependent current source.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

It was established that the two-phase charge-coupled shift register could be used to process analog signals. In the course of such processing, a consistent gain was displayed and an electronically controlled delay could be inserted. The output of the charge-coupled shift register was a sampled version of the input signal, but a sample and hold circuit restored the wholly analog nature to the output signal. Little nonlinear distortion was observed over a small range of input signal level, i.e., 0.1 to 0.2 volts.

Further, it was found that the charge-coupled shift register could be made to function as an analog signal adder-subtractor with good linearity. Once again the dynamic range over which linearity could be achieved was 0.1 to 0.2 volts. The small dynamic range is attributed to the small surface potential wells of the experimental device. If more charge could be transferred, a larger dynamic range would be evidenced.

An analysis of the output circuit employed established that very slight nonlinearities were introduced by the output technique used on the experimental device.

Finally, a linear model of the charge-coupled shift register is proposed.
**TABULATION OF COMPUTER OUTPUTS**

**Saturation characteristics of output device**

**POLYNOMIAL CURVE FIT:**

\[ y = \left( 2 \times 10^3 \times x^5 + 1.4 \times 10^3 \times x^4 + (1 + x^2) \times x^3 \right) + ( \frac{1}{2} \times x^7 + ( \frac{1}{2} \times x^5 + ( \frac{1}{2} \times x^3 + ( \frac{1}{2} \times x^1 + ( \frac{1}{2} \times x^0 ) ) ) ) \]

**The value of the gain parameter \( a \) is:** \( 2 \times 10^3 \times x^5 \)

**The value of the threshold voltage is:** \(-1.7 \times 10^4 \)

**Data points and calculated values of \( y \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of ( x )</th>
<th>Value of ( y )</th>
<th>Calculated ( y )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>-3.1022E-4</td>
<td>-4.1038E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-4.1038E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-3.1022E-4</td>
<td>-2.2041E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-1.04147E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-1.04147E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.14</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-2.03451E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.14</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-2.03451E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.04</td>
<td>-1.1022E-4</td>
<td>-3.03723E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.77</td>
<td>-7.0403</td>
<td>-2.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.77</td>
<td>-7.0403</td>
<td>-2.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.75</td>
<td>-7.0403</td>
<td>-2.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.42</td>
<td>-7.0403</td>
<td>-3.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>-7.0403</td>
<td>-3.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-4.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-4.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.07</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-4.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.07</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-4.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-5.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6.4n</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-6.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6.4n</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-6.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-5.7253E-3</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-8.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-8.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-8.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-8.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-9.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-9.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.87</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-9.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.87</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-9.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7.91</td>
<td>-7.30171E-3</td>
<td>-9.30171E-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The sum of the square of the errors = 1.10485E-7**
### COS OUTPUT CLOSED RESPONSE TO A STEP FUNCTION INPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALUE OF t</th>
<th>VALUE OF x</th>
<th>VALUE OF y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.54932E-5</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.44932E-5</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-22.3218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.4046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.36932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-27.3798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.3431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.3214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.2437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.31932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.22932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.21932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.19932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.14932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.07932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.06932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03932E-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-23.1359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIME: 47.44 SECS.**

**READY**
### PEAK OUTPUT DIODE VOLTAGE AS A FUNCTION OF PEAK CHANNEL VOLTAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X PEAK</th>
<th>Y PEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-22.4928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.25</td>
<td>-22.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>-22.5091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.75</td>
<td>-22.5173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-22.5256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.25</td>
<td>-22.5338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>-22.5421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.75</td>
<td>-22.5504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-22.5588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.25</td>
<td>-22.5672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>-22.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.75</td>
<td>-22.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-22.5925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.25</td>
<td>-22.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-22.6093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.75</td>
<td>-22.6182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-22.6288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.25</td>
<td>-22.6355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-22.6433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.75</td>
<td>-22.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-22.6618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.25</td>
<td>-22.6707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.5</td>
<td>-22.6796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.75</td>
<td>-22.6888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-22.6976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.25</td>
<td>-22.7067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-22.7156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.75</td>
<td>-22.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-22.7343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.25</td>
<td>-22.7436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>-22.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.75</td>
<td>-22.7625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-22.7721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.25</td>
<td>-22.7818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>-22.7916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.75</td>
<td>-22.8015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-22.8115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.25</td>
<td>-22.8216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.5</td>
<td>-22.8319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.75</td>
<td>-22.8423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-22.8529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIME:** 1361.21 SECS
PEAK DIODE OUTPUT VOLTAGE
As a Function of
PEAK CHANNEL SIGNAL POTENTIAL ADJACENT TO THE DIODE
(Least Squares Curve Fit)

RUN
STUPO2 04:44 04-DEC-75

OUTPUT VOLTAGE AS A FUNCTION OF INPUT VOLTAGE

POLYNOMIAL CURVE FIT:

\[ \begin{align*}
Y &= (22.15) + (3.3094 \times 10^{-2}) \times X + (-6.1518 \times 10^{-4}) \times X^2 + \ldots \\
&\quad + (2.315333 \times 10^{-3}) \times X^3 + (8.15) \times X^4 + \ldots \\
&\quad + (9) \times X^5 + (4) \times X^6 + \ldots \\
&\quad + (9) \times X^7 + (9) \times X^8 + \ldots \\
&\quad + (9) \times X^9 
\end{align*} \]

DATA POINTS AND CALCULATED VALUES OF Y

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALUE OF X</th>
<th>VALUE OF Y</th>
<th>CALCULATED Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.1925</td>
<td>22.4927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>22.541</td>
<td>22.5066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>22.5491</td>
<td>22.5092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>22.5173</td>
<td>22.5174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.5256</td>
<td>22.5256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>22.5334</td>
<td>22.5334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>22.5421</td>
<td>22.5422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>22.5564</td>
<td>22.5565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.5608</td>
<td>22.5608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>22.5672</td>
<td>22.5672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>22.5750</td>
<td>22.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>22.594</td>
<td>22.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.5925</td>
<td>22.5925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>22.604</td>
<td>22.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of X</td>
<td>Value of Y</td>
<td>Calculated Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>22.0090</td>
<td>22.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>22.0162</td>
<td>22.0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>22.0355</td>
<td>22.0354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>22.0443</td>
<td>22.0441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>22.053</td>
<td>22.0529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.0614</td>
<td>22.0617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>22.071</td>
<td>22.0719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>22.0847</td>
<td>22.0855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>22.0979</td>
<td>22.0973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>22.1101</td>
<td>22.1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>22.125</td>
<td>22.1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>22.1343</td>
<td>22.1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>22.153</td>
<td>22.1532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>22.1725</td>
<td>22.1727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>22.1741</td>
<td>22.1744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>22.1915</td>
<td>22.1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.2115</td>
<td>22.2119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>22.2316</td>
<td>22.2317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>22.2514</td>
<td>22.2513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.2723</td>
<td>22.2722</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE SUM OF THE SQUARE OF THE ERRORS = 4.7E-9,1E-7

TIME: 2.92 SECS.

READY
### OUTPUT OF SOURCE FOLLOWER AS A FUNCTION OF CHANNEL VOLTAGE IN CCD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Voltage</th>
<th>Output Voltage</th>
<th>In Saturation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-1.0142</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.25</td>
<td>-1.0239</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>-1.08235</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.75</td>
<td>-1.08352</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-1.08448</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.25</td>
<td>-1.08465</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>-1.08522</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-11.75</td>
<td>-1.08579</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-1.08636</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.25</td>
<td>-1.08693</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>-1.08751</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12.75</td>
<td>-1.08849</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-1.08876</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.25</td>
<td>-1.08925</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-1.08994</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13.75</td>
<td>-1.09043</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-1.09112</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.25</td>
<td>-1.09162</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-1.09221</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14.75</td>
<td>-1.09232</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-1.09342</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.25</td>
<td>-1.09413</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.5</td>
<td>-1.09465</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.75</td>
<td>-1.09526</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-1.09586</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.25</td>
<td>-1.09651</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-1.09714</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16.75</td>
<td>-1.09778</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-1.09842</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.25</td>
<td>-1.09926</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>-1.09971</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17.75</td>
<td>-1.10037</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-1.10078</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.25</td>
<td>-1.10117</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>-1.10237</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18.75</td>
<td>-1.10305</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-1.10373</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.25</td>
<td>-1.10442</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.5</td>
<td>-1.10512</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.75</td>
<td>-1.10582</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-1.10653</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time:** 1.02 SECS
PEAK SYSTEM OUTPUT VOLTAGE

As a Function of

PEAK CHANNEL POTENTIAL ADJACENT TO THE OUTPUT DIODE

(Least Squares Curve Fit)

OUTPUT VOLTAGE FROM MOSFET SOURCE FOLLOKER
AS A FUNCTION OF CHANNEL VOLTAGE

POLYNOMIAL CURVE FIT:

\[
f = (1.8573) \times (2.7213 \times 10^{-2}) \times x + (4.2765 \times 10^{-4}) \times x^2 + \ldots
\]

DATA POINTS AND CALCULATED VALUES OF Y

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALUE OF X</th>
<th>VALUE OF Y</th>
<th>CALCULATED Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.5182</td>
<td>10.5182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>10.5239</td>
<td>10.5238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>10.5295</td>
<td>10.5295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>10.5352</td>
<td>10.5351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.5404</td>
<td>10.5408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>10.5455</td>
<td>10.5456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>10.5502</td>
<td>10.5522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>10.5554</td>
<td>10.5570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10.5603</td>
<td>10.5608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>10.5653</td>
<td>10.5664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>10.5701</td>
<td>10.5751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>10.5759</td>
<td>10.5809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.5807</td>
<td>10.5807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>10.5856</td>
<td>10.5892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of X</td>
<td>Value of Y</td>
<td>Calculated Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.8984</td>
<td>10.8984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>10.9433</td>
<td>10.9433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.9162</td>
<td>10.9162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>10.9162</td>
<td>10.9222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>10.9221</td>
<td>10.9221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>10.9262</td>
<td>10.9262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.9342</td>
<td>10.9343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>10.9465</td>
<td>10.9465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>10.9526</td>
<td>10.9526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>10.9588</td>
<td>10.9588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.9651</td>
<td>10.9651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>10.9714</td>
<td>10.9714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>10.9773</td>
<td>10.9777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>10.9842</td>
<td>10.9841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.9966</td>
<td>10.9966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>10.9971</td>
<td>10.9971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>11.0037</td>
<td>11.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>11.0143</td>
<td>11.0143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.017</td>
<td>11.0169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>11.0237</td>
<td>11.0237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>11.0345</td>
<td>11.0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>11.0373</td>
<td>11.0373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>11.0442</td>
<td>11.0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>11.0512</td>
<td>11.0512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>11.0562</td>
<td>11.0563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>11.0653</td>
<td>11.0654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sum of the square of the errors = 7.7d513E-6

Time: 2.88 secs.

Ready
NAME--BIDLA1

DESCRIPTION--SOLVES THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM,

\[ y' = f(x, y, z) \]

WHERE: \[ x = g(t) \]

\[ y(t_0) = y_0 \]

\[ z(t) = x' \]

SOURCE--RUNGE-KUTTA (FOURTH ORDER ACCURACY)

INSTRUCTIONS--THE INTEGRATION STEP IS \( h \) AND THE SOLUTION IS TABULATED OVER THE INTERVAL \( t_0 \leq t \leq t_1 \) IN STEPS OF SIZE \( L \).

THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM INPUTS ARE REQUIRED:

510 PRINT "DESIZED OUTPUT TITLE"

530 DEF FNF(X,Y,Z)=[*****]

510 DATA I,

620 DATA n, L

640 LET M=6 IF M=12 THEN...

640 DEF FNF(T)=[*****]

THE OUTPUT WILL BE THE TABULATION OF \( t, x, \) AND \( y \) FROM \( t_0 \) TO \( t_1 \). IF \( M=2 \). IF \( M=12 \) IS SELECTED, THE VALUES OF \( x' \) AND \( y' \) WILL ALSO BE TABULATED.

FOR MODIFICATIONS OF THE PRINT ROUTINE, FOR EXAMPLE TO PLOT THE SOLUTION RATHER THAN TO TABULATE ITS VALUES, THE FOLLOWING LINES, AT LEAST, SHOULD BE MODIFIED:

550 PRINT "VALUE OF T","VALUE OF X","VALUE OF Y"

560 PRINT 

560 PRINT T, X, Y

1460 PRINT T, X3, Y7

* * * * * * MAIN PROGRAM * * * * * * *

REM INSERT THE PRINTOUT TITLE.

PRINT "GCD OUTPUT OPCODE RESPONSE TO A STEP FUNCTION INPUT"

REM DEFINE THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION.

DEF FNF(X,Y,Z)

LET C=1.4755E-14/SQR(ABS(X-Y))

LET D=2.951E-15/SQR(Abs(X*2+Y))

LET F=C*Z-(2.9E-10)*Y

LET G=C*D+1.618E-13

FNF = F/G
640 \text{LET } T=10 \text{ and } \text{READ } T, Y, B, H, L \text{ and } \\
650 \\text{DEF \text{FNG(T)}} \text{ by: }
660 \text{IF } T<15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ THEN 740; }
670 \\text{IF } T>47 \times 10^{-6} \text{ THEN 740; }
680 \text{FNG}=-12 \times 10^6 \text{ and FNG by: }
740 \text{FNEND}
750 \text{IF } (5-Td) \cdot H>0 \cdot 0 \text{ THEN 770; }
760 \text{LET } H=-H \text{ and IF } (5-Td) \cdot L>0 \cdot 0 \text{ THEN 790; }
770 \text{LET } L=-L \text{ and LET } X=FNG(T) \text{ and LET } Z=(FNG(T+H/2)-FNG(T-H/2))/H \text{ and }
780 \text{IF } H=0.0 \text{ THEN 850; }
790 \\text{PRINT } "T", "X", "Y", "Z", "X1", "Y1" \text{ and }
800 \text{GO TO 860; }
810 \\text{PRINT } "VALUE OF T", "VALUE OF X", "VALUE OF Y" \text{ and }
820 \text{PRINT and IF } H=0.0 \text{ THEN 900; }
830 \text{PRINT } T, X, Y, Z, \text{ FNF(X,Y,Z) and GO TO 910; }
840 \text{PRINT T, X, Y and GO TO 1090; }
850 \\text{PRINT } "T", "X", "Y" \text{ and PRINT } "VALUE OF T", "VALUE OF X", "VALUE OF Y" \text{ and }
860 \\text{PRINT and IF } H=0.0 \text{ THEN 900; }
870 \\text{PRINT } T, X, Y, Z, \text{ FNF(X,Y,Z) and GO TO 910; }
880 \\text{PRINT T, X, Y and GO TO 1090; }
890 \text{RESTART PERFORM THE BASIC ITERATION; }
930 \text{LET } Z=(FNG(T+H/2)-FNG(T-H/2))/H \text{ and LET } X=FNG(T) \text{ and }
940 \text{LET } X1=FNG(T+H/2) \text{ and LET } Y1=Y+H \cdot K1/2 \text{ and LET } K2=FNF(X1,Y1,Z1) \text{ and }
950 \text{LET } Y2=Y+H \cdot K2/2 \text{ and LET } K3=FNF(X1,Y2,Z1) \text{ and LET } Y3=Y+H \cdot K3 \text{ and }
960 \text{LET } X2=FNG(T+H) \text{ and LET } Z2=(FNG(T+3 \cdot H/2)-FNG(T+H/2))/H \text{ and LET } K4=FNF(X2,Y3,Z2) \text{ and }
970 \text{LET } Y=Y+H \cdot (K1 \cdot 2+K2 \cdot Z2 \cdot 3+4)/6 \cdot 3 \text{ and LET } T=T+H \text{ and }
1000 \text{RESTART CHECK TO SEE IF IT'S TIME TO PRINT OUT; }
1040 \text{IF } (T+H-5) \cdot SGN(L)+1 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ THEN 1120; }
1050 \text{LET } H=T+H \text{ and GO TO 1130; }
1120 LET A=0
1130 LET A=INT(E*R)/E+L*(SGN(H)-1.0)/2
1140 IF (A+L-H)*SGN(L) -1.0E-9 = 0 THEN 1160
1150 LET A=A+L
1160 IF (T-A)*SGN(L) +1.0E-9 = 0 THEN 1190
1170 LET Q=A
1180 GOSUB 1270
1190 IF R=0 THEN 1220
1200 GO TO 930
1210 REM ARE WE DONE YET?
1220 IF A<s (R-A)<0.5E-3 THEN 1250
1230 LET Q=R
1240 GOSUB 1270
1250 STOP
1260 REM ITERATION AND PRINTOUT SUBROUTINE.
1270 LET H1=0-1
1280 LET Z3=(FNG(T+H1/2)-FNG(T-H1/2))/H1
1290 LET X3=FNG(T)
1300 LET K3=FNG(X3+Y4+Z3)
1310 LET Z4=(FNG(T+H1)-FNG(T))/H1
1320 LET X4=FNG(T-1/2)
1330 LET Y4=Y+H1*K4/2
1340 LET X6=FNG(X4*Y4+Z4)
1350 LET Y6=Y+H1*K6/2
1360 LET K7=FNG(X4*Y5+Z4)
1370 LET T6=Y+H1*K7
1380 LET X5=FNG(T+H1)
1390 LET Z5=(FNG(T+H1/2)-FNG(T-H1/2))/H1
1400 LET K8=FNG(X5*Y6+Z5)
1410 LET Y7=Y+H1*(K5+2*K6+2*K7+K8)/6.0
1420 IF M=0 THEN 1460
1430 LET Q=(Y7-Y)/H1
1440 PRINT T, X3, Y7, Z3, 0
1450 GO TO 1470
1460 PRINT T, X3, Y7
1470 RETURN
1480 END

READY
NAME--H1DLN1

DESCRIPTION--A MODIFICATION OF H1DLN1. THIS PROGRAM SOLVES THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM DEFINED FOR H1DLN1. IN THIS CASE, THE MAXIMUM INPUT VOLTAGE LEVEL IS INCREASED FROM 10 VOLTS TO 20 VOLTS IN STEPS OF 0.25 VOLTS. THE PEAK OUTPUT VOLTAGE IS PRINTED ALONG WITH THE CORRESPONDING INPUT VOLTAGE. THESE VALUES PERMIT A DETERMINATION OF LINEARITY FOR THE SAMPLED OUTPUT REPRESENTED BY THE OUTPUT PULSE.

REM INSERT THE PRINTOUT TITLE.
PRINT "PEAK OUTPUT DIODE VOLTAGE AS A FUNCTION OF"
PRINT "PEAK CHANNEL VOLTAGE"
REM DEFINE THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION.
DEF F=(x'y+z)
LET C=1.04755E-14/S0k(Abs(x-y))
LET D=2.351E-15/S0k(Abs(y+25•v))
LET F=C•z-(2•1E-10)•y
LET G=C•z+1•1E-13
FNF=F/G
FEND
FOR I=10 TO 20 STEP 0.25
REM INSERT ITERATION AND TABULATION DATA.
LET T0=1.4965E-5
LET T=-24•d
LET s=4.6965E-5
LET H=5•1E-9
LET L=4.6965E-5
LET E=1•L/L
LET T=T0
REM DEFINE THE DRIVING FUNCTION
DEF FN(T)
FN=-25•d
IF T<15•1E-6 THEN 510
IF T>47•1E-6 THEN 510
FN=-1
FNEND
IF (T-T0)•H>0•d THEN 540
LET H=-H
IF (T-T0)•L>0•d THEN 560
LET L=-L
550 LET X=FNG(I)
560 GO TO 750
565 REM PERFORM THE BASIC ITERATION.
570 LET Z=(FNG(I+H/2)-FNG(I-H/2))/H
580 LET X=FNG(I)
590 LET K1=FNG(X*Y*Z)
600 LET Z1=(FNG(I)+FNG(I))/H
610 LET X1=FNG(I+H/2)
620 LET Y1=Y+H*H/2
630 LET K2=FNF(X1,Y1,Z1)
640 LET Y2=Y+H*H/2
650 LET K3=FNF(X1,Y2,Z1)
660 LET Y3=Y+H*K3
670 LET X2=FNG(I+H)
680 LET Z2=(FNG(I+3*H/2)-FNG(I+H/2))/H
690 LET X4=FNF(X2,Y3,Z2)
700 IF Y=Y+(X3+Y2+2*X3+Y4)/6 THEN
710 LET I=I+H
720 REM CHECK TO SEE IF IT'S TIME TO PRINT OUT.
730 IF (I+H-5)*SGN(L)+1.0E-9>0 THEN 790
740 LET H=I+H
750 GO TO 730
760 REM ARE WE DONE YET?
770 IF ABS(K-A)<0.05E-9 THEN 710
780 LET Q=K
790 GOSUB 940
800 IF R=3 THEN 880
810 GO TO 830
820 REM ITERATION AND PRINTOUT SUBROUTINE.
830 LET H1=0-I
840 LET Z3=(FNG(I+H1/2)-FNG(I-H1/2))/H1
850 LET X3=FNG(I)
860 LET K5=FNF(X3*Y*Z3)
870 LET Z4=(FNG(I+H1)-FNG(I))/H1
880 LET X4=FNG(I+H1/2)
890 LET Y4=Y+H1*H5/2
900 LET K6=FNF(X4*Y4*Z4)
910 LET Y5=Y+H1*H6/2
920 STOP
930 REM OUTPUT AND PRINTOUT SUBROUTINE.
940 LET H1=0-I
950 LET Z3=(FNG(I+H1/2)-FNG(I-H1/2))/H1
960 LET X3=FNG(I)
970 LET K5=FNF(X3*Y*Z3)
980 LET Z4=(FNG(I+H1)-FNG(I))/H1
990 LET X4=FNG(I+H1/2)
1030 LET \( A7 = FNF(x4, y5, 24) \)
1040 LET \( Y6 = Y + H1 \times A7 \)
1050 LET \( X5 = FNV(T + H1) \)
1060 LET \( Z5 = (FNV(T + 3 \times H1/2) - FNV(T + H1/2))/H1 \)
1070 LET \( K8 = FNF(x5, y6, Z5) \)
1080 LET \( Y7 = Y + H1 \times (X5 + 2 \times X6 + 2 \times X7 + \eta 6)/6.0 \)
1090 PRINT X3, Y7
1100 RETURN
1110 END
NAME=SDLN2

A PROGRAM TO FIT A CURVE THROUGH A SET OF DATA
BY THE METHOD OF LEAST SQUARES.

N INDICATES THE TYPE OF CURVE TO BE USED TO FIT THE DATA.

THE POWER FUNCTION $y = a \times x^n$ WILL BE SELECTED IF N=0.

THE EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION $y = a \times \exp(-bx)$ WILL BE SELECTED IF N=1.

THE POLYNOMIAL $y = c(1) \times x + c(2) \times x^2 + \ldots + c(10) \times x^{10}$ WILL BE SELECTED IF N EXCEEDS 1. (N WILL INDICATE THE DEGREE OF THE POLYNOMIAL. FOR EXAMPLE, N=3 INDICATES A SECOND-DEGREE POLYNOMIAL. NOTE THAT N IS THE NUMBER OF CONSTANTS IN THE POLYNOMIAL--HENCE, N CANNOT EXCEED TEN.)

THE PROGRAM REQUIRES THE FOLLOWING INPUTS:

LET $n = \ldots$ THE NUMBER OF DATA PAIRS, NOT TO EXCEED 100 POINTS.
LET $n = \ldots$ THE TYPE OF CURVE TO BE FITTED AS DISCUSSED ABOVE. N CANNOT EXCEED 10 OR WHICHEVER IS SMALLER.

LET $m = \ldots$ THE DESIRED OUTPUT FORMAT 1 OR 2.

DATA X(1), Y(1), X(2), Y(2) THE DATA TO BE FITTED.
DATA $x_3, \ldots, x_{10}$ IN PAIRS X AND Y AS MANY AS WILL FIT A LINE.
PRINT "THE TITLE DESIRED ON THE OUTPUT PRINTOUT".

WITHIN THE PROGRAM, THE FOLLOWING DATA IS CONTAINED:

A(J) = THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE UNKNOWN CONSTANTS.
C(J) = THE UNKNOWN CONSTANTS THAT DEFINE THE FITTED CURVE.
D(J) = THE RIGHT HAND TERMS OF THE SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS.

`MAIN PROGRAM

`
1130 END
1040 IF N=1 THEN 1040
1041 FOR I=1 TO N
1050 LET X(K)= EXP(K(X(K))
1060 NEXT K
1070 PRINT "DATA POINTS AND CALCULATED VALUES OF Y"
1080 PRINT
1090 PRINT "VALUE OF X","VALUE OF Y","CALCULATED Y"
1100 PRINT
1110 LET S=0.0
1120 FOR K=1 TO N
1130 IF Y(K)=1 THEN 1730
1140 LET Z4=C(2)*X(K)
1150 GU TO 1510
1160 IF Y(K)=1 THEN 1760
1170 LET Z4=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1180 LET Z4=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1190 LET Z4=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1200 LET Z4=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1210 LET Z3=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1220 LET Z1=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1230 LET Z0=C(1)+C(2)*X(K)+C(3)*X(K)
1240 LET Z7=(Z4-Z1-Z2-Z3+C(11))*X(K)
1250 LET S=S+Z4-Z1-Z2-Z3+C(11)
1260 END
NAME 2-JULY3

DESCRIPTION--A PROGRAM TO EVALUATE THE OUTPUT VOLTAGE

UP A MOSFET SOURCE FOLLOWER WITH THE INPUT FROM A

CCD CHANNEL THROUGH A REVERSE BIASED DIODE. THE CCD

CHANNEL VOLTAGE WILL BE X • THE INPUT TO THE SOURCE

FOLLOWER WILL BE VI* THE OUTPUT OF THE SOURCE FOLLOWER

14:3

THE MOSFET INPUT VOLTAGE IS APPROXIMATED BY THE

POLYNOMIAL RELATIONSHIP:

\[ V_1 = -(A_1 + 1 \cdot A + C_1 \cdot x + U_1 \cdot x^3) \]

22:4

THE MOSFET DRain-SouRCE CURRENT IS APPROXIMATED BY:

\[ I = -k \cdot (V_1 - y - V_0)^2 \]

27:9

WHERE:

- \[ k = \text{ THE GAIN PARAMETER IN ANPERE/VOLT} \]
- \[ V_0 = \text{ THE THRESHOLD VOLTAGE IN VOLTS} \]

32:4

THE SOURCE FOLLOWER LOAD RESISTANCE IS R.*

33:0

35:4

* * * * * * * * * * MAIN PROGRAM * * *

36:0

PRINT "OUTPUT OF SOURCE FOLLOWER AS A FUNCTION OF"

PRINT "CHANNEL VOLTAGE IN CCD"

PRINT PRINT "CHANNEL","OUTPUT","IN","VOLTAGE","SATURATION"

PRINT

43:0 LET K=2.2y32E-6

44:0 LET Vd=-1.76466

45:0 LET A=5.0E 4

46:0 LET A1=22.150

47:0 LET A1=3.9049E-2

48:0 LET C1=-5.15115E-4

49:0 LET U1=2.33133E-5

50:0 LET E=-2.5*4

51:0 FOR x=10 10 200 STEP 4.25

52:0 LET C2=D1*x+C1

53:0 LET C2=C2*x+C1

54:0 LET V1=-2*x+A1

55:0 LET V1=-V1

89
LET $b = 2 \cdot (Vf - V1) + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + \beta)}$

LET $C = V1 + V2 - 2 \cdot V1 \cdot Vf$

LET $x1 = -x$

LET $y = 50 \cdot (x1 - 4 \cdot C)$

LET $y = (y + y) / 2 \cdot \beta$

LET $L = \text{ABS}(V1 - y - y)$

LET $J = \text{ABS}(E - y)$

IF $L < J$ THEN 660

PRINT $x1$, $y$, "NO"

GO TO 670

PRINT $x1$, $y$, "YES"

NEXT $x$

STOP

END
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