



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive**  
**DSpace Repository**

---

NPS Scholarship

Publications

---

1996

## Short wavelength free electron lasers in 1996

Colson, W.B.

---

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, Volume 375, 1996, pp. 669-671.  
<https://hdl.handle.net/10945/44216>

---

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

*Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun*



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

## Short wavelength free electron lasers in 1996

W.B. Colson

*Physics Department, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 93943, USA*

The list of existing and proposed relativistic free electron lasers (FELs) in 1996 is presented in Table 1. The top part of the table lists existing FELs. These are substantially complete experiments that may not be operating at the present time. The bottom part of the table lists proposed FELs. Each FEL, existing or proposed, is identified by a location, or institution, followed by the FEL's name in parentheses. The table can be found at <http://www.physics.nps.navy.mil/fel.html>. Additions and corrections can be transmitted to us for inclusion on the table in the future.

The first column of the table lists the operating wave-

length  $\lambda$ , or wavelength range, in micrometers ( $\mu\text{m}$ ). The large range of operating wavelengths, six orders of magnitude, indicates the flexible design characteristics of the FEL mechanism. The second column describes the electron pulse length divided by the speed of light  $c$ , and ranges from CW to short picosecond pulse time scales. The expected optical pulse length can be 3 to 5 times shorter or longer than the electron pulse depending on the optical cavity  $Q$ , the FEL desynchronization, and the FEL gain. Most FEL oscillators produce an optical spectrum that is Fourier transform limited by the optical pulse length.

The electron beam energy  $E$  and peak current  $I$  provided

Table 1  
Relativistic short wavelength free electron lasers (1996)

FEL	$\lambda$ ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	$\sigma_z$	$E$ (MeV)	$I$ (A)	$N$	$\lambda_0$ (cm)	$K$ (rms)	Acc., Type [Ref.]
Existing FELs								
UCSB (mm FEL)	340	25 $\mu\text{s}$	6	2	42	7.1	0.7	EA, O [1]
Stanford (FIRFEL)	80–200	15 ps	4	8	50	1	0.7	RF, O [2]
UCSB (FIR FEL)	60	25 $\mu\text{s}$	6	2	150	2	0.1	EA, O [1]
Osaka (ILE/ILT)	47	3 ps	9	50	50	0.66	0.5	RF, O [27]
Tokyo (UT-FEL)	43	10 ps	13	20	40	4	0.7	RF, O [3]
Nieuwegein (FELIX1)	5–35	5 ps	25	50	38	6.5	1.2	RF, O [4]
Osaka (ISIR)	40	30 ps	17	50	32	6	1	RF, O [5]
Bruyeres (ELSA)	20	30 ps	18	100	30	3	0.8	RF, O [6]
Nieuwegein (FELIX2)	20–110	5 ps	45	50	38	6.5	1.8	RF, O [4]
Stanford (FIREFLY)	19–65	1–5 ps	20	14	25	6	1	RF, O [7]
Frascati (LISA)	15	7 ps	25	5	50	4.4	1	RF, O [8]
Grumman (CIRFEL)	14	5 ps	14	150	73	1.4	0.2	RF, O [9]
Beijing (IHEP)	10	4 ps	30	14	50	3	1	RF, O [10]
Orsay (CLIO)	3–40	0.2–4 ps	25–50	80	48	5	2	RF, O [11]
LANL (AFEL)	4–6	10 ps	15	200	24	1	0.3	RF, O [12]
Osaka (FELI1)	5.5	10 ps	33.2	42	58	3.4	1.0	RF, O [41]
Darmstadt (IR-FEL)	5	2 ps	40	2.7	80	3.2	1	RF, O [13]
Stanford (SCAFEL)	3–10	0.7 ps	37	10	72	3.1	0.8	RF, O [14]
Vanderbilt (FELI)	2.2–9.6	2 ps	43	50	52	2.3	1	RF, O [15]
Duke (MarkIII)	3	3 ps	44	20	47	2.3	1	RF, O [16]
Osaka (FELI)	2–6	2 ps	170	100	50	6	1.3	RF, O [27]
Osaka (FELI2)	1.88	10 ps	68	42	78	3.8	1.0	RF, O [41]
BNL (ATF)	0.5	6 ps	50	100	70	0.88	0.4	RF, O [17]
Tsukuba (NIJI-IV)	0.35	160 ps	300	5	2 $\times$ 42	7.2	2	SR, O [19]
Orsay (Super-ACO)	0.35	20 ps	20	0.1	2 $\times$ 10	13	4	SR, O [20]
Okazaki (UVSOR)	0.3	6 ps	500	5	2 $\times$ 8	11	2	SR, O [21]
BNL (ATF-UV)	0.25	6 ps	70	100	70	0.88	0.4	RF, O [17]
Novosibirsk (VEPP)	0.24	35 ps	350	6	2 $\times$ 33	10	1.6	SR, O [22]

Table 1 (continued)

FEL	$\lambda$ ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	$\sigma_z$	$E$ (MeV)	$I$ (A)	$N$	$\lambda_0$ (cm)	$K$ (rms)	Acc., Type [Ref.]
Proposed FELs:								
Florida (CREOL)	200–600	CW	1.7	0.2	185	0.8	0.15	EA, O [23]
Netherlands (TEUFEL)	180	20 ps	6	350	50	2.5	1	RF, O [24]
Rutgers (IRFEL)	140	25 ps	38	1.4	50	20	1	MA, O [25]
Moscow (Lebedev)	100	20 ps	30	0.25	35	3.2	0.8	MA, O [26]
Tokai (SCARLET)	40	40 ps	15	10	62	3.3	1	RF, O [27]
LBL (IRFEL)	3–50	30 ps	55	60	40	5	1	RF, O [28]
CEBAF (IRFEL)	2.5–25	1.5 ps	200	36	$2 \times 12$	20	4	RF, O [35]
Boeing (kW FEL)	0.2–4	7 ps	120	500	220	2.18	1.31	RF, O [30]
Stanford (FEL)	10	4 ps	24	25	52	2.6	0.9	RF, O [31]
UCLA (IRFEL)	10	2 ps	20	200	40	1.5	1	RF, A [32]
Novosibirsk (RTM)	7	50 ps	51	100	$4 \times 40$	9	1	RF, O [33]
BNL (HGFG)	3.4	10 ps	30	110	83	1.8	1.4	RF, A [34]
CEBAF (UVFEL)	0.16–1	0.2 ps	200	270	72	3.3	1.3	RF, O [35]
Rockctdync (FEL)	0.84	3 ps	90	500	160	2.4	1.4	RF, MOPA [36]
Dortmund (DELTA)	0.4	50 ps	500	90	90	25	2	SR, O [37]
Harima (HIT)	0.28	100 ps	500	3	170	1.8	4.2	SR, O [27]
BNL (DUVFEL)	0.075	6 ps	310	300	682	2.2	1.5	RF, A [38]
Frascati (COSA)	0.08	10 ps	215	200	400	1.416	1	RF, O [41]
Duke (Ring)	0.05	10 ps	1000	350	$2 \times 33$	10	1.7	SR, O [39]
SLAC (LCLS)	0.0004	0.1 ps	7000	2500	723	8.3	4.4	RF, A [40]

RF – RF linac accelerator, MA – Microtron accelerator, SR – Electron storage ring, EA – Electrostatic accelerator, A – FEL amplifier, O – FEL oscillator, MOPA – Master oscillator power-amplifier.

by the accelerator are listed in the third and fourth columns in units of MeV and amperes. The accelerator type is listed as the first entry in the last column with a code such as RF for the radio-frequency linac. While there are a variety of accelerators used, about 75% are RF and about 15% are electron storage rings. Storage rings tend to be used for the short wavelength applications, while the electrostatic accelerators provide longer wavelengths.

The next three columns list the number of undulator periods  $N$ , the undulator wavelength  $\lambda_0$  in centimeters, and the undulator parameter  $K = e\bar{B}\lambda_0/2\pi mc^2$  where  $e$  is the electron charge magnitude,  $\bar{B}$  is the rms undulator field strength, and  $m$  is the electron mass. For an FEL klystron undulator, there are multiple undulator sections as listed in the  $N$ -column. Note that the range of values for  $N$ ,  $\lambda_0$ , and  $K$  are much smaller than for the other parameters indicating that most undulators are similar. Only about 15% of the FELs use the klystron undulator, none use the tapered undulator at present, and the rest use the conventional periodic undulator. The FEL resonance condition,

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0(1 + K^2)}{2\gamma^2},$$

where  $\gamma$  is the relativistic Lorentz factor  $\gamma = E/mc^2$ , provides a relationship that can be used to derive  $K$  from  $\lambda$ ,  $E$ , and  $\lambda_0$ . The middle entry of the last column lists the FEL type: ‘‘O’’ for oscillator, ‘‘A’’ for amplifier, etc. Less than 10% of the FELs are amplifiers, while the rest are FEL oscillators. A reference describing the FEL is provided at the end of each line entry.

For the conventional undulator, the peak optical power can be estimated by the fraction of the electron beam peak power that spans the undulator spectral bandwidth,  $1/4N$ , or  $P \approx EI/4eN$ . For the FEL using a storage ring, the optical power causing saturation is substantially less than this estimate and depends on ring properties. For the high-gain FEL amplifier, the optical power at saturation can be substantially more. The average FEL power is determined by the duty cycle, or spacing between electron pulses, and is generally many orders of magnitude lower than the peak power.

In the FEL oscillator, the optical mode that best couples to the electron beam in an undulator of length  $L = N\lambda_0$  has Rayleigh length  $z_0 \approx L/\sqrt{12}$  and has a mode waist radius of  $w_0 \approx \sqrt{N}\gamma\lambda/\pi$ . The FEL optical mode typically has more than 90% of the power in the fundamental mode described by these parameters.

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful for support of this work by the Naval Postgraduate School and SURA/CEBAF.

### References

- [1] G. Ramian, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 318 (1992) 225.
- [2] R.H. Pantell et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 358 (1995) 24.

- [3] E. Nishimura et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 39.
- [4] R.J. Bakker et al., J. appl. Phys. 74 (1993) 1501;  
D. Oepts et al., Infrared Phys. Technol. 36 (1995) 297.
- [5] S. Okuda et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 59.
- [6] P. Guimbal et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 43.
- [7] T.I. Smith et al., Proc. SPIE 1854 (1993) 23;  
A. Schwettman et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS19.
- [8] M. Castellano et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 304 (1991) 204.
- [9] I.S. Lehrman et al. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS31.
- [10] J. Xie et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 204;  
Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 34.
- [11] J.M. Ortega et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 54.
- [12] D.C. Nguyen et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 29.
- [13] J. Auerhammer et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 63.
- [14] T. Smith and A. Marziali, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 59;  
T.I. Smith et al., Proc. SPIE 1854 (1993) 23.
- [15] C. Brau, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 318 (1992) 38.
- [16] S.V. Benson et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 250 (1986) 39.
- [17] K. Batchelor et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 318 (1992) 159.
- [19] T. Yamazaki et al., Nucl. Instr. and Method A 331 (1993) 27;  
T. Yamazaki et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS3.
- [20] T. Hara et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 21.
- [21] S. Takano et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 20;  
H. Hama et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 12.
- [22] I.B. Drobyasko et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 282 (1989) 424.
- [23] L.R. Elias et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 304 (1991) 219;  
M. Tecimen, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 219.
- [24] J.I.M. Botman et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 402.
- [25] E.D. Shaw et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 318 (1992) 47.
- [26] K.A. Belovintsev et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS45;  
A. Agafonov et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 186.
- [27] M. Asakawa et al., Proc. 15th Annual Meeting of the Laser Society of Japan, Osaka, Japan, January 19–20, 1995.
- [28] K.J. Kim et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 280.
- [30] J.L. Adamski et al., Proc. 1995 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, Dallas, TX, May 1–5, 1995.
- [31] J.F. Schmerge and R. Pantell, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 335.
- [32] G. Baranov et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 228.
- [33] N.A. Vinokurov et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 331 (1993) 3.
- [34] I. Ben-Zvi et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 318 (1992) 208.
- [35] G. Neil et al., Free Electron Lasers for Industry, vol. 2, UV Demo Conceptual Design.
- [36] R.J. Burke et al., Proc. SPIE: Laser Power Beaming, Los Angeles, Jan. 27–28, vol. 2121 (1994).
- [37] D. Nolle et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS7;  
Schmidt et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) ABS9.
- [38] S. Krinsky (ed.), The BNL DUV FEL Report, January 1994.
- [39] J.M.J. Madey et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 363.
- [40] C. Pellegrini et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 341 (1994) 326.
- [41] F. Ciocci, G. Dattoli, A. De Angelis, B. Faatz, F. Garosi, L. Giannessi, P.L. Ottoviani and A. Toree, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-31 (1995) 1242.